## About the Course

CS738: Advanced Compiler Optimizations

## Welcome \& Introduction

Amey Karkare
karkare@cse.iitk.ac.in
http://www.cse.iitk.ac.in/~karkare/cs738
Department of CSE, IIT Kanpur


- Program Analysis
- Analysis of a Program, by a Program, for a Program ${ }^{1}$
- Of a Program - User Program
- By a Program - Analyzer (Compiler, Runtime)
- For a Program - Optimizer, Verifier
- Transforming user program based on the results of the analysis
${ }^{1}$ "Democracy is the government of the people, by the people, for the people" Abraham Lincoln

Your Expectations

## ? Share through the Google Form

Quick Quizzes (QQs)

- There will be small quizzes (10-15 min duration) during the class.
- Always keep a pen and some loose papers handy.


## Assignments

- Short assignments to apply the lecture material.
- Assignments will have some written and some programming tasks.
- 4-5 Assignments for the semester

QQ \#1 (Ungraded)

- What are the various phases of a typical compiler? (5 minutes)



## Using Program Analysis

- Compiler Code Optimizations
- Why are optimizations important?
- Why not write optimized code to begin with?
- Where do optimizations fit in the compiler flow?


## Code Optimization

- Machine Independent
- Remove redundancy introduced by the Programmer
- Remove redundancy not required by later phases of compiler
- Take advantage of algebraic properties of operators
- Machine dependent


## Machine Independent Optimizations

## Motivational Example

```
void quicksort(int m, int n)
/* recursively sort a[m] through a[n] */
{
    int i, ji
    if(n <= m) return;
    i =m-1; j = n; v = a[n];
    while (1) {
        do i = i+1; while (a[i] < v);
        do j = j-1; while (a[j] > v);
        if (i > j) break;
        x =a[i]; a[i] = a[j]; a[j] = x;
```

    \(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{i}] ; \mathrm{a}[\mathrm{i}]=\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{n}] ; \mathrm{a}[\mathrm{n}]=\mathrm{x}\);
    quicksort (m, j); quicksort (i+1, n) ;
    $\}$

| ( 1) i $=\mathrm{m}-1$ | (16) | $t 7=4 * i$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( 2) j $\quad$, $n$ | (17) | t8 $=4 * j$ |
| ( 3) t1 $=4 * n$ | (18) | t9 $=$ a[t8] |
| ( 4) $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{t} 1]$ | (19) | $a[t 7]=t 9$ |
| ( 5) i $=i+1$ | (20) | $t 10=4 * j$ |
| ( 6) t2 $=4 * i$ | (21) | a [t10] $=\mathrm{x}$ |
| ( 7) t3 $=a[t 2]$ | (22) | goto (5) |
| ( 8) if t3 < v goto (5) | (23) | t11 = 4*i |
| ( 9) j $=$ j-1 | (24) | $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{t} 11]$ |
| (10) t4 $=4 * j$ | (25) | t12 = 4*i |
| (11) t5 $=a[t 4]$ | (26) | t13 $=4 * n$ |
| (12) if t5 > v goto (9) | (27) | t14 $=\mathrm{a}$ [t13] |
| (13) if i >= j goto (23) | (28) | $a[t 12]=t 14$ |
|  | (29) | t15 $=4 * n$ |
|  | (30) | a [t15] $=\mathrm{x}$ |

```
( 1) i = m-1
(2) j = n
(3) t1 = 4*n
( 4) v = a[t1]
(5) i = i+1
(6) t2 = 4*i
( 7) t3 = a[t2]
( 8) if t3 < v goto(5)
( 9) j = j-1
(10) t4 = 4*j
(11) t5 = a[t4]
(12) if t5 > v goto(9)
(13) if i >= j goto(23)
```

Common Subexpression Elimination


Common Subexpression Elimination


Common Subexpression Elimination


Common Subexpression Elimination


Common Subexnreccion Flimination
Did we miss one expression?


Common Subexpression Elimination


Copy Propagation


Copy Propagation


## Strength Reduction



Copy Propagation


## Strength Reduction



Induction Variable Elimination


## Dead Code Elimination (Again!)



Induction Variable Elimination


## Benefits

- Assumptions:
- Unit cost for each stmt
- Outer loop: 10 iterations
- Inner loops: 100 iterations each
- Cost of Execution:
- Original Program:
$1^{*} 4+100^{*} 4+100^{*} 4+10^{*} 1+10^{*} 9+1^{*} 8=912$
- Optimized Program:
$1^{*} 6+100^{*} 3+100^{*} 3+10^{*} 1+10^{*} 3+1^{*} 3=649$


## Peephole Optimizations

## Machine Dependent Optimizations

## Peephole Optimizations: Examples

- Redundant loads and stores
- Consider the code sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { move } R_{0}, ~ a \\
& \text { move } a, ~ \\
& R_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Is instruction 2 redundant? Can we always remove it?
- YES, if it does not have label
- Target code often contains redundant instructions and suboptimal constructs
- Examine a short sequence of target instruction (peephole) and replace by a shorter or faster sequence
- Peephole is a small moving window on the target systems

Peephole Optimizations: Unreachable code

- Consider the following code
int debug = 0;
if (debug) \{ print debugging info
\}
- This may be translated as

```
int debug = 0;
if (debug == 1) goto L1
goto L2
L1: print debugging info
```

L2:

Peephole Optimizations: Unreachable code

- Eliminate Jumps

```
int debug = 0;
if (debug != 1) goto L2
print debugging info
```

L2:

- Constant propagation

```
int debug = 0;
if (0 != 1) goto L2
print debugging info
```

L2:

Peephole Optimizations: Jump Optimizations

- Replace jump-over-jumps

- Constant folding and simplification: Since if condition is always true, the code becomes:

```
goto L2
print debugging info
```

L2:

- The print statement is now unreachable. Therefore, the code becomes
L2:


## Peephole Optimizations: Simplify Algebraic Expressions

- Remove

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=x+0 ; \\
& x=x^{*} 1 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Peephole Optimizations: Strength Reduction

- Replace $X^{\wedge} 2$ by $X * X$
- Replace multiplication by left shift
- Replace division by right shift

Peephole Optimizations: Use of Faster Instructions

- Replace

Add \#1, R
by
Inc R

## Evaluation

- Assignments
- Course project
- Mid semester exam (? for online offering)
- End semester exam (? for online offering)
- Quizzes/Class participation
- Refer to course webpage for details.

