Lightweight Data Race Detection for Production Runs

Swarnendu Biswas, UT Austin Man Cao, Ohio State University Minjia Zhang, Microsoft Research Michael D. Bond, Ohio State University Benjamin P. Wood, Wellesley College

CC 2017

A Java Program With a Data Race

Object X = null; boolean done= false;

Thread T1

Thread T2

X = new Object();
done = true;

while (!done) {}
X.compute();

Object X = null; boolean done= false;



Data race Conflicting accesses – two threads access the same shared variable where at least one access is a write

Concurrent accesses – accesses are not ordered by synchronization operations



Data Races are Evil

- Challenging to reason about the correctness of racy executions
 - May unpredictably break code
- Lack of semantic guarantees in most mainstream multithreaded languages
- Usually indicate other concurrency errors
 - Atomicity, order, or sequential consistency violations

S. Adve. Data Races Are Evil with No Exceptions: Technical Perspective. CACM 2010.

[•] S. Adve and H. Boehm. Memory Models: A Case for Rethinking Parallel Languages and Hardware. CACM 2010.

Far-Reaching Impact of Data Races



with No Exceptions

By Sarita Adve

EXPLOITING PARALLELISM HAS become the racy code. Java's safety requirement primary means to higher performance. preclude the use of "undefined" beh:

Hans-J. Boehm HP Laboratories

Get Rid of Data Races!!!

Avoiding and/or eliminating data races efficiently is a challenging and unsolved problem

Data Race Detection on Production Systems

Avoiding and/or eliminating data races efficiently is a challenging and unsolved problem

No satisfactory solution to date

Data Race Detection Techniques

Static and predictive analyses

• Too many false positives, do not scale

Data Race Detection Techniques

Dynamic analysis ound – no missed races recise – no false races	Lockset analysis	Expensive, reports many false positives
	Happens-before analysis	Sound and precise
		Expensive, not scalable, incurs space overhead
		Coverage limited to observed executions

C. Flanagan and S. Freund. FastTrack: Efficient and Precise Dynamic Data Race Detection. PLDI 2009.

Existing Approaches for Data Race Detection on Production Runs

- Happens-before-based sampling approaches
 - E.g., LiteRace¹, Pacer²
 - Overheads are still too high for a reasonable sampling rate
 - Pacer with 3% sampling rate incurs 86% overhead!!!

- 1. D. Marino et al. LiteRace: Effective Sampling for Lightweight Data-Race Detection. PLDI 2009.
- 2. M. D. Bond et al. Pacer: Proportional Detection of Data Races. PLDI 2010.

Existing Approaches for Data Race Detection on Production Runs

- Happens-before-based sampling approaches
 - E.g., LiteRace¹, Pacer²
 - Overheads are still too high for a reasonable sampling rate
 - Pacer with 3% sampling rate incurs 86% overhead!!!
- RaceMob³
 - Optimizes tracking of happens-before relations
 - Monitors only one race per run to minimize overhead
 - Cannot bound overhead, limited scalability and coverage

- 2. M. D. Bond et al. Pacer: Proportional Detection of Data Races. PLDI 2010.
- 3. B. Kasikci et al. RaceMob: Crowdsourced Data Race Detection. SOSP 2013.

^{1.} D. Marino et al. LiteRace: Effective Sampling for Lightweight Data-Race Detection. PLDI 2009.

Existing Approaches for Data Race Detection on Production Runs

DataCollider⁴

- Tries to collide racy accesses, synchronization oblivious
- Samples accesses, and uses hardware debug registers for performance
- Dependence on debug registers
 - Not portable, and may not scale well
 - Few debug registers
 - Cannot bound overhead

Outline

Data Races

Problems and Challenges

Data Race Detection in Production Systems Drawbacks of existing approaches

Our contribution: efficient, complementary analyses

RaceChaser: Precise data race detection

Caper: Sound data race detection



Decouple data race detection into two lightweight and complementary analysis

Our Contributions

Decouple data race detection into two lightweight and complementary analysis



RaceChaser: Precise Data Race Detection

Desired Properties:

- Performance and Scalability ?
- Bounded time and space overhead ?
- Coverage and Portability ?

Design:

- Monitor one data race (two source locations) per run
- Use collision analysis
- Bound overhead introduced



RaceChaser Algorithm

Instrumenting Racy Accesses

avrora.sim.radio.Medium: access\$302() byte offset 0 avrora.sim.radio.Medium: access\$402() byte offset 2

• Limited to one potential race pair

Randomly Sample Racy Accesses

Use frequency of samples taken
 and
 Compute overhead

introduced by waiting

avrora.sim.radio.Medium: access\$302() byte offset 0 avrora.sim.radio.Medium: access\$402() byte offset 2



Try to Collide Racy Accesses

• Block thread for some time



Collision is Successful



Collision is Unsuccessful

• Thread unblocks, resets the analysis state, and continues execution



Evaluation of RaceChaser

- Implementation is publicly available
 - Jikes RVM 3.1.3
- Benchmarks
 - Large workload sizes of DaCapo 2006 and 9.12bach suite
 - Fixed-workload versions of SPECjbb2000 and SPECjbb2005
- Platform
 - 64-core AMD Opteron 6272

Run-time Overhead (%) of RaceChaser



Effectiveness of RaceChaser

 Collision analysis can potentially detect data races that are hidden by spurious happensbefore relations

- Data race coverage of collision analysis depends on the perturbation and the delay
 - Prior studies seem to indicate that data races often occur close in time

RaceChaser did as well as RaceMob/LiteHB
 over a number of runs

Outline



Problems and Challenges

Data Race Detection in Production Systems Drawbacks of existing approaches Our contribution: efficient, complementary analyses RaceChaser: Precise data race detection

Caper: Sound data race detection

Sound, Efficient Data Race Detection



Caper: Sound Data Race Detection





Sound Dynamic Escape Analysis for Data Race Detection



Caper's Dynamic Analysis

deSites = { s | (∃ s' | ⟨s, s'⟩ ∈ spPairs ∪ dpPairs) ∧ s escaped in an analyzed execution }

dpPairs = {
$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$$
 | $s_1 \in deSites \land s_2 \in deSites$ }

Run-time Overhead (%) of Caper



Effectiveness of Caper

	Sound static data race detector	Caper	Dynamic alias analysis
hsqldb6	212,205	1,612	757
lusearch6	4,692	302	292
xalan6	83,488	1,241	581
avrora9	61,193	19,941	570
luindex9	10,257	192	193
lusearch9	7,303	34	39
sunflow9	28,587	200	1,086
xalan9	20,036	1,861	600
pjbb2000	29,604	11,243	1,679
pjbb2005	2,552	984	447

Efficiency vs Precision



Usefulness of Caper

 Improve performance of analyses whose correctness relies on knowing all data races

> Record and replay systems Atomicity checking Software transactional memory

Generate potential data races for analyses
 like RaceChaser/RaceMob/DataCollider

Lightweight Data Race Detection for Production Runs

Swarnendu Biswas, UT Austin Man Cao, Ohio State University Minjia Zhang, Microsoft Research Michael D. Bond, Ohio State University Benjamin P. Wood, Wellesley College

CC 2017