

CS 744: Pseudorandomness Generators

Lecture Notes 19: Introduction to Expander Graphs

March 28, 2015

We recall a few facts in linear algebra related to spectral graph theory. If $x = a + ib$ is a complex number, where a and b are reals, then we denote its complex conjugate by $x^* = a - ib$.¹

If M is an $n \times n$ complex matrix, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $v \in \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ is a vector, such that $Mv = \lambda v$, then we say that λ is an *eigenvalue* and v is an *eigenvector* corresponding to the eigenvalue λ of M .

$Mv = \lambda v$ iff $(M - \lambda I)v = 0$ iff $\det(M - \lambda I) = 0$. Since this determinant is a univariate (in λ) polynomial of degree n , it has at most n distinct roots. Hence an $n \times n$ matrix has precisely n eigenvalues, counting multiplicities.

We will be interested in the connection between undirected graphs and their adjacency matrices. If G is a graph with n vertices labelled 1 through n , then the $n \times n$ adjacency matrix has its $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ element equal to 1 precisely when (i, j) is an edge in G .

0.1 Undirected Graphs

When G is undirected, (i, j) is an edge precisely when (j, i) is. Hence the adjacency matrix of an undirected graph is symmetric. The eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix are real, as we now show.

Definition 1. A matrix $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is Hermitian if $M_{ij} = M_{ji}^*$ for every i, j .

Lemma 2. If M is Hermitian, then all its eigenvalues are real.

Proof. If $Mv = \lambda v$, then we need to show that $\lambda = \lambda^*$. Define the inner product over vectors in \mathbb{C}^n by

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^* \cdot w_i.$$

¹The material in this lecture is taken from Lecture 2 of Luca Trevisan's course CS359G: Expander Graphs and their Applications.

Now,

$$\langle Mx, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n M_{ij} x_j \cdot x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n M_{ji} x_i \cdot x_j^* = \langle x, Mx \rangle,$$

since M is Hermitian. Now, if x is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ , then $\langle Mx, x \rangle = \langle \lambda x, x \rangle$. We have

$$\langle Mx, x \rangle = \langle \lambda x, x \rangle = \lambda^* \langle x, x \rangle = \langle x, Mx \rangle = \lambda \langle x, x \rangle$$

Since x is non-zero, it follows that λ is real. \square

Definition 3. If M is a real symmetric matrix and λ its eigenvalue (hence real), then λ admits a real eigenvector. ² The set of real eigenvectors is a vector subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , called the eigenspace

0.2 Some properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors

We will look at the relation between the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of a graph and the combinatorial properties of the graph.

Lemma 4. The eigenspaces of distinct eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix M are orthogonal.

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue with a real eigenvector x and λ' be an eigenvalue distinct from λ with a real eigenvector y . Then $\langle Mx, y \rangle$ is $\lambda \langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle x, My \rangle$ is $\lambda' \langle x, y \rangle$. Since all the quantities involved in $\lambda \langle x, y \rangle$ and $\lambda' \langle x, y \rangle$ are real, we can verify that these two quantities are equal. Thus, $(\lambda - \lambda') \langle x, y \rangle$ is zero, hence $\langle x, y \rangle$ is zero. \square

Definition 5. The algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue λ of M is the multiplicity of λ as a root of M .

Its geometric multiplicity is the dimension of its eigenspace.

For a real symmetric matrix M , the algebraic and geometric multiplicities coincide for every eigenvalue. Thus if $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are its eigenvalues, then M has an orthonormal basis of real eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n .

Now we build connections between spectral and combinatorial properties of graphs.

Lemma 6. *Let M be a symmetric (not necessarily real) matrix. Then its largest eigenvalue λ_1 is*

$$\lambda_1 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|=1} x^T M x. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Since $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\| = 1\}$ is a compact space, there is a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ which attains the supremum. We will show that the supremum in (??) is upper bounded and lower bounded by λ_1 , which yields the conclusion.

To show that the supremum in (??) is lower bounded by λ_1 , we note that v_1 , the eigenbasisvector corresponding to λ_1 satisfies

$$v_1^T M v_1 = v_1^T (\lambda_1 v_1) = \lambda_1 v_1^T v_1 = \lambda_1. \quad (2)$$

Since there is one vector in the compact space which attains value λ_1 , it follows that λ_1 bounds the supremum in (??) from below.

To establish the upper bound, expand y in the basis as

$$y = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots \alpha_n v_n, \quad (3)$$

whence the supremum is at least $y^T M y = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \lambda_i$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 = 1$ and $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have that the supremum is at most λ_1 . \square

0.3 Undirected d -regular graphs