

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF CONSTRUCTIVE DIMENSION

Satyadev Nandakumar

Iowa State University  
Presented by David Doty

- 1 Introduction to Algorithmic Randomness
  - Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach
  - Martingales
  - Measures of Impossibility
  - Questions

# Outline

- 1 Introduction to Algorithmic Randomness
  - Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach
  - Martingales
  - Measures of Impossibility
  - Questions
- 2 Background

# Outline

- 1 Introduction to Algorithmic Randomness
  - Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach
  - Martingales
  - Measures of Impossibility
  - Questions
- 2 Background
- 3 Converting a martingale into a measure of impossibility

# Outline

- 1 Introduction to Algorithmic Randomness
  - Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach
  - Martingales
  - Measures of Impossibility
  - Questions
- 2 Background
- 3 Converting a martingale into a measure of impossibility
- 4 Converting a measure of impossibility to a martingale

# Outline

- 1 Introduction to Algorithmic Randomness
  - Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach
  - Martingales
  - Measures of Impossibility
  - Questions
- 2 Background
- 3 Converting a martingale into a measure of impossibility
- 4 Converting a measure of impossibility to a martingale
- 5  $s$ -gales

# Outline

- 1 Introduction to Algorithmic Randomness
  - Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach
  - Martingales
  - Measures of Impossibility
  - Questions
- 2 Background
- 3 Converting a martingale into a measure of impossibility
- 4 Converting a measure of impossibility to a martingale
- 5  $s$ -gales
- 6 Constructive Dimensions

# Outline

- 1 Introduction to Algorithmic Randomness
  - Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach
  - Martingales
  - Measures of Impossibility
  - Questions
- 2 Background
- 3 Converting a martingale into a measure of impossibility
- 4 Converting a measure of impossibility to a martingale
- 5  $s$ -gales
- 6 Constructive Dimensions
- 7  $s$ -Improbability



- 1 Introduction to Algorithmic Randomness
  - Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach
  - Martingales
  - Measures of Impossibility
  - Questions
- 2 Background
- 3 Converting a martingale into a measure of impossibility
- 4 Converting a measure of impossibility to a martingale
- 5  $s$ -gales
- 6 Constructive Dimensions
- 7  $s$ -Improbability
- 8 Characterization of Constructive Dimension

# Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach to Randomness

We consider a finite alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . The space of infinite binary sequences drawn from the alphabet is denoted  $\mathbf{C}$ .

# Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach to Randomness

We consider a finite alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . The space of infinite binary sequences drawn from the alphabet is denoted  $\mathbf{C}$ .

## Definition

A *probability measure* on  $\mathbf{C}$  is a function  $P : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying

- 1  $P(\lambda) = 1$ ,
- 2 For every  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $P(w) = P(w0) + P(w1)$ .

# Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach to Randomness

We consider a finite alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . The space of infinite binary sequences drawn from the alphabet is denoted  $\mathbf{C}$ .

## Definition

A *probability measure* on  $\mathbf{C}$  is a function  $P : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying

- 1  $P(\lambda) = 1$ ,
- 2 For every  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $P(w0) + P(w1)$ .

Martin-Löf in 1966 defined the notion of an effective measure-0 set.

# Constructive Measure Theoretic Approach to Randomness

We consider a finite alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . The space of infinite binary sequences drawn from the alphabet is denoted  $\mathbf{C}$ .

## Definition

A *probability measure* on  $\mathbf{C}$  is a function  $P : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying

- 1  $P(\lambda) = 1$ ,
- 2 For every  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $P(w) = P(w0) + P(w1)$ .

Martin-Löf in 1966 defined the notion of an effective measure-0 set.

## Theorem

(*Martin-Löf 1966*) For every computable probability measure  $P$  defined on  $\mathbf{C}$ , there is a unique largest effective measure-0 set.

The complement of the largest effective measure-0 set is the set of *individual constructively random sequences*.

# Martingale Approach to Randomness

## Definition

(Ville 1939, Schnorr 1970,1971) A *martingale* is a function  $d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that the following hold.

$$d(\lambda) \leq 1.$$

$$(\forall w \in \Sigma^*) d(w)P(w) = d(w0)P(w0) + d(w1)P(w1).$$

A constructive martingale is a lower semicomputable martingale.

# Martingale Approach to Randomness

## Definition

(Ville 1939, Schnorr 1970,1971) A *martingale* is a function  $d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that the following hold.

$$d(\lambda) \leq 1.$$

$$(\forall w \in \Sigma^*) d(w)P(w) = d(w0)P(w0) + d(w1)P(w1).$$

A constructive martingale is a lower semicomputable martingale.

## Definition

The martingale *succeeds* on a set  $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$  if

$$(\forall \omega \in X) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\omega[0 \dots n-1]) = \infty.$$

The success set of a martingale is denoted  $S^\infty[d]$ .

# Martingale Approach to Randomness

## Definition

(Ville 1939, Schnorr 1970,1971) A *martingale* is a function  $d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that the following hold.

$$d(\lambda) \leq 1.$$

$$(\forall w \in \Sigma^*) d(w)P(w) = d(w0)P(w0) + d(w1)P(w1).$$

A constructive martingale is a lower semicomputable martingale.

## Definition

The martingale *succeeds* on a set  $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$  if

$$(\forall \omega \in X) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\omega[0 \dots n - 1]) = \infty.$$

The success set of a martingale is denoted  $S^\infty[d]$ .

A sequence  $\omega \in \mathbf{C}$  is random if and only if there is a constructive martingale that succeeds on it.



## Definition

(Gács 81, Vovk, V'yugin 93) A *measure of impossibility* with respect to a computable probability measure  $P$  is a function  $\rho : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that the following hold.

## Definition

(Gács 81, Vovk, V'yugin 93) A *measure of impossibility* with respect to a computable probability measure  $P$  is a function  $p : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that the following hold.

- $p$  is lower semicomputable.
- $\int p dP \leq 1$ .

## Definition

(Gács 81, Vovk, V'yugin 93) A *measure of impossibility* with respect to a computable probability measure  $P$  is a function  $p : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that the following hold.

- $p$  is lower semicomputable.
- $\int p dP \leq 1$ .

A sequence  $\omega \in \mathbf{C}$  is random if and only if there is a measure of impossibility  $p$  such that  $p(\omega) = \infty$ .

- Martingales have been successful in the study of resource bounded measure, resource-bounded dimension (Lutz 2000), and as a tool in computational complexity.

- Martingales have been successful in the study of resource bounded measure, resource-bounded dimension (Lutz 2000), and as a tool in computational complexity.
- Measures of impossibility have been useful in proving the effective ergodic theorem for individual random sequences (V'yugin 97).

- Martingales have been successful in the study of resource bounded measure, resource-bounded dimension (Lutz 2000), and as a tool in computational complexity.
- Measures of impossibility have been useful in proving the effective ergodic theorem for individual random sequences (V'yugin 97).

QUESTION:

Can measures of impossibility be generalized to characterize resource-bounded dimension?

## Definition

Let  $\Omega$  be  $\mathbf{C}$  or  $\Sigma^*$ . A function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is called *lower semicomputable* if  $S = \{(w, q) \mid w \sqsubseteq x, q < f(x)\}$  is the union of a computably enumerable sequence of cylinders in the natural topology on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{Q}$ .

## Definition

Let  $\Omega$  be  $\mathbf{C}$  or  $\Sigma^*$ . A function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is called *lower semicomputable* if  $S = \{(w, q) \mid w \sqsubseteq x, q < f(x)\}$  is the union of a computably enumerable sequence of cylinders in the natural topology on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{Q}$ .

A function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is *upper semicomputable* if  $-f$  is lower semicomputable. A function  $f$  is *computable* if it is both upper and lower semicomputable.

A probability measure on  $\mathbf{C}$  is *computable* if  $P : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a computable function.



# Converting a martingale into a measure of impossibility

Let  $d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a lower semicomputable  $P$ -martingale that succeeds on an  $\omega \in \mathbf{C}$ .

# Converting a martingale into a measure of impossibility

Let  $d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a lower semicomputable  $P$ -martingale that succeeds on an  $\omega \in \mathbf{C}$ .

## Definition

A martingale  $d$  *succeeds strongly* on  $X$  if

$$(\forall \omega \in X) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\omega[0 \dots n - 1]) = \infty.$$

The strong success set of a martingale  $d$  is denoted  $S_{str}^\infty[d]$ .

## Lemma

*(folklore)* Let  $\omega \in S_{str}^\infty[d]$ . Then there exists a martingale  $d' : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that  $\omega \in S_{str}^\infty[d']$ .

Proof is by the “savings account trick”.  $d' = bc + sa$

# Converting a martingale into a measure of impossibility

Let  $d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a lower semicomputable  $P$ -martingale that succeeds on an  $\omega \in \mathbf{C}$ .

## Definition

A martingale  $d$  *succeeds strongly* on  $X$  if

$$(\forall \omega \in X) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\omega[0 \dots n - 1]) = \infty.$$

The strong success set of a martingale  $d$  is denoted  $S_{str}^\infty[d]$ .

## Lemma

*(folklore)* Let  $\omega \in S_{str}^\infty[d]$ . Then there exists a martingale  $d' : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that  $\omega \in S_{str}^\infty[d']$ .

Proof is by the “savings account trick”.  $d' = bc + sa$

Define  $p : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by

$$p(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sa(\omega[0 \dots n - 1]).$$

# Converting a Martingale into a Measure of Impossibility

Let  $\mathbf{C}_w = \{\omega \mid \omega \in \mathbf{C}, w \sqsubseteq \omega\}$ , and  $\omega_n = \omega[0 \dots n - 1]$ .

- $p$  is lower semicomputable

# Converting a Martingale into a Measure of Impossibility

Let  $\mathbf{C}_w = \{\omega \mid \omega \in \mathbf{C}, w \sqsubseteq \omega\}$ , and  $\omega_n = \omega[0 \dots n - 1]$ .

- $p$  is lower semicomputable
- 

$$\begin{aligned} \int p dP &= \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sa(\omega[0 \dots n - 1]) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{C}_{\omega_n}} sa(\omega_n) dP && \text{[Fatou's Lemma]} \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} sa(\omega_n) P(\omega_n) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\omega_n) P(\omega_n) \\ &\leq 1. && \text{[Kraft's Inequality]} \end{aligned}$$

# Converting a Martingale into a Measure of Impossibility

Let  $\mathbf{C}_w = \{\omega \mid \omega \in \mathbf{C}, w \sqsubseteq \omega\}$ , and  $\omega_n = \omega[0 \dots n - 1]$ .

- $p$  is lower semicomputable
- 

$$\begin{aligned} \int p dP &= \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sa(\omega[0 \dots n - 1]) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{C}_{\omega_n}} sa(\omega_n) dP && \text{[Fatou's Lemma]} \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} sa(\omega_n) P(\omega_n) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\omega_n) P(\omega_n) \\ &\leq 1. && \text{[Kraft's Inequality]} \end{aligned}$$

- $p(\omega) = \infty$  if  $d'$  strongly succeeds on  $\omega$ .

# Converting a measure of impossibility to a martingale

We consider *strongly positive probability measures*: There exists a computable function  $h : \Sigma^* \times 0^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^+$  such that if  $P(w) \neq 0$  and  $\hat{P} : \Sigma^* \times 0^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is a witness to the computability of  $P$ , then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h(w, 0^n) < P(w)$ .

# Converting a measure of impossibility to a martingale

We consider *strongly positive probability measures*: There exists a computable function  $h : \Sigma^* \times 0^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^+$  such that if  $P(w) \neq 0$  and  $\hat{P} : \Sigma^* \times 0^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is a witness to the computability of  $P$ , then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h(w, 0^n) < P(w)$ .

Let  $p : \Sigma^\infty \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a  $P$ -measure of impossibility. Then define  $d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$d(wb) = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_{\mathbf{C}_{wb}} p(\omega) dP}{P(wb)} & \text{if } P(wb) > 0, \\ 2d(w) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



# Converting a Measure of Impossibility to a Martingale

- $d$  is a martingale by linearity of expectation, and  $\int pdP \leq 1$ .

# Converting a Measure of Impossibility to a Martingale

- $d$  is a martingale by linearity of expectation, and  $\int pdP \leq 1$ .
- $d$  is lower semicomputable with a computable monotone sequence of integrals of step functions converging to the value of  $d$ .

# Converting a Measure of Impossibility to a Martingale

- $d$  is a martingale by linearity of expectation, and  $\int p dP \leq 1$ .
- $d$  is lower semicomputable with a computable monotone sequence of integrals of step functions converging to the value of  $d$ .
- $p(\omega) = \infty$  implies  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\omega_n) = \infty$ . The proof uses the lower semicomputability of  $p$ .

# Converting a Measure of Impossibility to a Martingale

- $d$  is a martingale by linearity of expectation, and  $\int p dP \leq 1$ .
- $d$  is lower semicomputable with a computable monotone sequence of integrals of step functions converging to the value of  $d$ .
- $p(\omega) = \infty$  implies  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\omega_n) = \infty$ . The proof uses the lower semicomputability of  $p$ .

Thus  $d$  is a lower semicomputable martingale that succeeds on  $\omega$ .

## Definition

(Lutz 2000) Let  $s \in [0, \infty)$ . A function  $d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an *s-gale* if

## Definition

(Lutz 2000) Let  $s \in [0, \infty)$ . A function  $d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an *s-gale* if

- $d(\lambda) \leq 1$ .
- For all  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $d(w)P^s(w) = d(w0)P^s(w0) + d(w1)P^s(w1)$ .

## Definition

(Lutz 2000) Let  $s \in [0, \infty)$ . A function  $d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an *s-gale* if

- $d(\lambda) \leq 1$ .
- For all  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $d(w)P^s(w) = d(w0)P^s(w0) + d(w1)P^s(w1)$ .

## Definition

An *s-gale*  $d$  is said to *succeed* on a set  $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}$  if

$$(\forall \omega \in X) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\omega_n) = \infty.$$

An *s-gale*  $d$  is said to *succeed strongly* on a set  $X$  if

$$(\forall \omega \in X) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\omega_n) = \infty.$$

## Definition

Let

$$G^{\text{constr}}(X) = \{s : \text{there is a constructive } s\text{-gale } d, X \subseteq S^\infty[d]\}.$$

The **constructive Hausdorff dimension** (Lutz 2000) is

$$\dim^{\text{constr}}(X) = \inf G^{\text{constr}}(X).$$



## Definition

Let

$$G^{\text{constr}}(X) = \{s : \text{there is a constructive } s\text{-gale } d, X \subseteq S^\infty[d]\}.$$

The **constructive Hausdorff dimension** (Lutz 2000) is

$$\dim^{\text{constr}}(X) = \inf G^{\text{constr}}(X).$$

Let

$$G_{\text{str}}^{\text{constr}}(X) = \{s : \text{there is a constructive } s\text{-gale } d, X \subseteq S_{\text{str}}^\infty[d]\}.$$

The **constructive packing dimension** (Athreya et al. 2004) is

$$\text{Dim}^{\text{constr}}(X) = \inf G_{\text{str}}^{\text{constr}}(X).$$

## Definition

A set  $X$  is said to be *s-improbable with respect to a strongly positive probability measure  $P$*  if there is a measure of impossibility  $p : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

$$\forall \omega \in X, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int \mathbf{c}_{\omega_n} p dP}{P^s(\omega_n)} = \infty.$$

## Definition

A set  $X$  is said to be *s-improbable with respect to a strongly positive probability measure  $P$*  if there is a measure of impossibility  $p : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

$$\forall \omega \in X, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{C_{\omega_n}} p dP}{P^s(\omega_n)} = \infty.$$

## Definition

A set  $X \subseteq C$  is said to be *strongly s-improbable with respect to a strongly positive probability measure  $P$*  if there is a measure of impossibility  $p : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

$$\forall \omega \in X, \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{C_{\omega_n}} p dP}{P^s(\omega_n)} = \infty.$$

# Summary of Constructions

Martingale to measure of impossibility

$$p(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sa(\omega_n).$$

s-gale to s-measure of impossibility

$$p(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sa(\omega_n) P^{s-1}(\omega_n).$$

# Summary of Constructions

Martingale to measure of impossibility

$$p(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sa(\omega_n).$$

Measure of Impossibility to Martingales

$$d(w) = \frac{\int_{C_w} p dP}{P(w)}$$

s-gale to s-measure of impossibility

$$p(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sa(\omega_n) P^{s-1}(\omega_n).$$

s-measure of Impossibility to s-gales

$$d(w) = \frac{\int_{C_w} p dP}{P^s(w)}.$$

## Lemma

*Let  $s \in [0, \infty)$ .  $X$  is  $s$ -improbable with respect to  $P$  if and only if  $s \in G^{\text{constr}}(X)$ , and  $X$  is strongly  $s$ -improbable with respect to  $P$  if and only if  $s \in G_{\text{str}}^{\text{constr}}(X)$ .*

# Alternate Characterization of Constructive Dimension

## Theorem

$$\dim^{constr}(X) = \inf\{s \mid X \text{ is } s\text{-improbable with respect to } P\}.$$

$$\text{Dim}^{constr}(X) = \inf\{s \mid X \text{ is strongly } s\text{-improbable with respect to } P\}.$$

# Alternate Characterization of Constructive Dimension

## Theorem

$$\dim^{constr}(X) = \inf\{s \mid X \text{ is } s\text{-improbable with respect to } P\}.$$

$$\text{Dim}^{constr}(X) = \inf\{s \mid X \text{ is strongly } s\text{-improbable with respect to } P\}.$$

*Thank You.*