

List and local list decoding of error-correcting codes

Satyadev Nandakumar

September 11, 2026

Contents

1 The need for list decoding

1

1 The need for list decoding

Using unique decoding - that is, if we want to ensure that there is exactly one original message corresponding to the erroneous received message, then the fractional Hamming distance can be at most $1/2$. This means that at most $1/4$ fraction of the message can be erroneous, if we want to uniquely decode.

This is a strict barrier. However, a breakthrough was achieved when we realized the following - suppose we want to recover from a message that has 40 percent error, there are *not many* original codewords corresponding to that received message. So we can output a *short list* of possible original messages. This approach is called *list decoding*.

First, we have the formal bound on how the number of possible messages.

Theorem 1.1. *Johnson bound, 1962* If $E : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^m$ is an error-correcting code with distance at least $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > \sqrt{\epsilon n}$, then for every $w \in \{0,1\}^m$, there are at most $\frac{1}{2\delta^2}$ vectors $y_1, \dots, y_\ell$ such that for every i , $\Delta(y_i, x) \leq 1/2 - \delta$.

For example, let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n^2}$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$. Then the number of possible strings in the list is at most $\frac{1}{2}n^2$ according to the above bound. In general, if ϵ is inverse polynomial in n , the length of the list is some polynomial in n .

Proof. Suppose $w, y_1, \dots, y_\ell \in \{0,1\}^m$ satisfy this condition. Now, define ℓ vectors $z_1, \dots, z_\ell \in \{0,1\}^m$ as follows. For each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and position $1 \leq j \leq n$ in the vector z_i , let $z_i[k] = 1$ if $y_i[k] = x[k]$ and set $z_i[k] = -1$ otherwise.

By assumption, each y_i agrees with x on $1/2 + \delta$ coordinates. Hence for every $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_i[1] + \dots + z_i[m] &= |\{k \mid y_i[k] = w[k]\}| \\ &\geq 2\delta m. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$
$$\tag{2}$$

Also, E is a code with fractional Hamming distance at least $1/2 + \epsilon$. Hence, for every distinct i, j between 1 and ℓ , we have

$$\langle z_i, z_j \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^m m z_i[k] z_j[k] \leq 2\epsilon m \leq 2\delta^2 m. \quad (3)$$

We now show that the above two bounds imply the required bound on ℓ .

Set $v = z_1 + \dots + z_\ell$. By the first estimate, $v[1] + \dots + v[m] \geq 2\ell\delta m$. Hence $\langle v, v \rangle \geq \frac{|\sum_{k=1}^m v[k]|^2}{m} \geq 4\delta^2 m \ell^2$.

Now, consider $\langle v, v \rangle$. By the second estimate above, we have

$$\langle v, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle z_i, z_i \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \delta_{ij} \langle z_i, z_j \rangle \leq \ell m + \binom{\ell}{2} 2\delta^2 m \leq \ell m + \ell^2 2\delta^2 m. \quad (4)$$

By putting these two bounds together, we get the required bound on ℓ . $\square$