CS315	: Principles of Databas	se Syster	ns, IIT Kanpur	Endsem	(25 Nov 2024
Name Roll N		Dept.			40 marks Page 1 of 4
struction This que Write ye Write ae Hardco For que uery tak		s (4 sides o rtment abo ack pen an dit. ding will be nay get no	ove in block letters neat ad not pencil. Don't over e done by firing your ans marks even if it (eventu	rwrite/scratch MCQ. swer as a query to an ally) produces the rig	
1 re	hen entity sets with com presented as SQL schem tisfy 3NF. If T , give an exa	nata, flatt	ening (creating mul	tiple columns) is o	done to
2 If	a relation doesn't satisfy	1NF, it ca	annot satisfy BCNF.	f T , give a proof. I	f F , give

3	For a relation $R(A, B, C)$, if A isn't a superkey, then R cannot \mathbf{F} give a proof. If \mathbf{F} fill in the table below giving a sount		-			
3	If T , give a proof. If F , fill-in the table below giving a counte example must use exactly 3 rows and the cells must contain	-				
If T , §	give proof here			nterexample		
		A	\	В		;
4	For a relation R satisfying the dependency $X \to Y$ where X , then so is X . If T , give a proof using Armstrong's axioms. If T ,			=	-	
7	using 3 columns (specify what is X, Y), 3 rows, integer value	_				
If T , §	give proof here	If F , giv	e cou	nterexample	here	
		A	<u> </u>	В		<u> </u>
	For an SQLite relation $R(A,B,C)$ satisfying the dependency SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT A) FROM R; must return the same					
5	SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT A) FROM R; If T , give a proof. If				ow	
	giving a counterexample using exactly 3 rows, only integers	s in cell	s and	d no nulls.		
If T , {	give proof here	If F , giv	e cou	nterexample	here	
		Α	1	В	()

CS315: Pr	inciples of Database Systems, IIT Kanpur	Endsem (25 Nov 2024)
Name		40 marks
Roll No	Dept.	Page 3 of 4
R:(X	$(i) \equiv \text{transaction } i \text{ is reading variable } X. W_i(Y) \equiv t_i$	ransaction i is writing to Y

Q2. Consider a relation R(A, B, C, D, E) satisfying the FDs $A \to BC, CD \to E$ and $AD \to E$ that was decomposed into 3 relations S(A, B), T(B, C, D), U(C, D, E). Execute the Chase algorithm giving only the initial and final states of the tableaus (exactly 3 rows in tableau). (4+4+2=10 marks)

Initial state of tableau before starting Chase

Α	В	С	D	E

Final state of tableau after finishing Chase

Α	В	С	D	E

You may have noticed that the Chase algorithm indicates that the decomposition is not guaranteed to be lossless? However, Chase's failure does not mean that all decompositions are guaranteed to be lossy. Give an example of the relation R that demonstrates this. Your example must use exactly 2 rows, only integer values in the cells and no nulls. Also show the relations S, T, U that result from decomposing your relation R. Your S, T, U tables must also contain no nulls and exactly two rows. Your example relation R must satisfy all 3 dependencies i.e., $A \rightarrow BC, CD \rightarrow E$ and $AD \rightarrow E$. Your table R must result in a lossless decomposition i.e., $R = S \bowtie T \bowtie U$ where \bowtie is the natural join.

Relation S = SELECT A, B FROM R; Relation T = SELECT B, C, D FROM R; Relation U = SELECT C, D, E FROM R; Relation S = SELECT A, B FROM R; Relation T = SELECT B, C, D FROM R; Relation U = SELECT C, D, E FROM R; Relation S = SELECT A, B FROM R; Relation T = SELECT B, C, D FROM R; Relation U = SELECT C, D, E FROM R; Relation S = SELECT C, D, E SELECT		Relatio	n R that decom	poses lossles	ssly even if Cl	hase failed		
Deeba feels that Chase will succeed if R satisfies just one more FD in addition to the three FDs it already satisfies. Fill in boxes (one or more) next to FDs that will prove Deeba right. For example, select $A \to BCDE$ if the set of 4 FDs, namely $A \to BCDE$, $A \to BCDE$ and $AD \to E$ cause Chase to succeed. Q3***. Given a table $R(A, B, C)$ with all columns taking integer values and no nulls anywhere, Deebo wants to write a conditional SQLite query (of the kind given on the right) to print YES if the table satisfies the dependency $AB \to C$ and NO otherwise. Complete the query by giving the Boolean expression for the YES case Give only the Boolean expression and not the entire query. Hint: put parenthesis around		Α	В	С	D	E		
Deeba feels that Chase will succeed if R satisfies just one more FD in addition to the three FDs it already satisfies. Fill in boxes (one or more) next to FDs that will prove Deeba right. For example, select $A \to BCDE$ if the set of 4 FDs, namely $A \to BCDE$, $A \to BCDE$ and $AD \to E$ cause Chase to succeed. Q3***. Given a table $R(A, B, C)$ with all columns taking integer values and no nulls anywhere, Deebo wants to write a conditional SQLite query (of the kind given on the right) to print YES if the table satisfies the dependency $AB \to C$ and NO otherwise. Complete the query by giving the Boolean expression for the YES case Give only the Boolean expression and not the entire query. Hint: put parenthesis around								
Deeba feels that Chase will succeed if R satisfies just one more FD in addition to the three FDs it already satisfies. Fill in boxes (one or more) next to FDs that will prove Deeba right. For example, select $A \to BCDE$ if the set of 4 FDs, namely $A \to BCDE$, $A \to BCDE$ and $AD \to E$ cause Chase to succeed. Q3***. Given a table $R(A, B, C)$ with all columns taking integer values and no nulls anywhere, Deebo wants to write a conditional SQLite query (of the kind given on the right) to print YES if the table satisfies the dependency $AB \to C$ and NO otherwise. Complete the query by giving the Boolean expression for the YES case Give only the Boolean expression and not the entire query. Hint: put parenthesis around								
Deeba feels that Chase will succeed if R satisfies just one more FD in addition to the three FDs it already satisfies. Fill in boxes (one or more) next to FDs that will prove Deeba right. For example, select $A \to BCDE$ if the set of 4 FDs, namely $A \to BCDE$, $A \to BCDE$ and $AD \to E$ cause Chase to succeed. Q3***. Given a table $R(A, B, C)$ with all columns taking integer values and no nulls anywhere, Deebo wants to write a conditional SQLite query (of the kind given on the right) to print YES if the table satisfies the dependency $AB \to C$ and NO otherwise. Complete the query by giving the Boolean expression for the YES case Give only the Boolean expression and not the entire query. Hint: put parenthesis around								
Deeba feels that Chase will succeed if R satisfies just one more FD in addition to the three FDs it already satisfies. Fill in boxes (one or more) next to FDs that will prove Deeba right. For example, select $A \to BCDE$ if the set of 4 FDs, namely $A \to BCDE$, $A \to BCDE$ and $AD \to E$ cause Chase to succeed. Q3***. Given a table $R(A, B, C)$ with all columns taking integer values and no nulls anywhere, Deebo wants to write a conditional SQLite query (of the kind given on the right) to print YES if the table satisfies the dependency $AB \to C$ and NO otherwise. Complete the query by giving the Boolean expression for the YES case Give only the Boolean expression and not the entire query. Hint: put parenthesis around			21					
Deeba feels that Chase will succeed if R satisfies just one more FD in addition to the three FDs it already satisfies. Fill in boxes (one or more) next to FDs that will prove Deeba right. For example, select $A \to BCDE$ if the set of 4 FDs, namely $A \to BCDE$, $A \to BCDE$, and $AD \to E$ cause Chase to succeed. Q3***. Given a table $R(A, B, C)$ with all columns taking integer values and no nulls anywhere, Deebo wants to write a conditional SQLite query (of the kind given on the right) to print YES if the table satisfies the dependency $AB \to C$ and NO otherwise. Complete the query by giving the Boolean expression for the YES case Give only the Boolean expression and not the entire query. Hint: put parenthesis around				I .		7	1	
to the three FDs it already satisfies. Fill in boxes (one or more) next to FDs that will prove Deeba right. For example, select $A \to BCDE$ if the set of 4 FDs, namely $A \to BCDE$, $A \to B$				-		┧┝┷		
to the three FDs it already satisfies. Fill in boxes (one or more) next to FDs that will prove Deeba right. For example, select $A \to BCDE$ if the set of 4 FDs, namely $A \to BCDE$, $A \to B$								
to the three FDs it already satisfies. Fill in boxes (one or more) next to FDs that will prove Deeba right. For example, select $A \to BCDE$ if the set of 4 FDs, namely $A \to BCDE$, $A \to B$						1		
to the three FDs it already satisfies. Fill in boxes (one or more) next to FDs that will prove Deeba right. For example, select $A \to BCDE$ if the set of 4 FDs, namely $A \to BCDE$, $A \to B$								
l l	will prove Deeba rinamely $A \rightarrow BCDE$, $A $	ght. For expending $A \rightarrow BC$, C $R(A,B,C)$ on ulls any SQLite query YES if the condition of the condition	with all columbers, between $ED \rightarrow E$ and where, Deel by (of the kind the table satisfies and ression and ression and ression and reserves.	ect $A \rightarrow B$ $AD \rightarrow E$ of umns taking so wants to not given on atisfies the omplete the he YES case	SELECTOR WHO THE ELSE END; and the question of the contract of	e set of 4 se to succee T CASE EN [Boole HEN 'YES' E 'NO'	FDs, AB ed. B	ession