#### Testing Algebraic Independence over Finite Fields

Nitin Saxena (IIT Kanpur, India)

(Joint work with Johannes Mittmann, Peter Scheiblechner)

\*\*all pictures are works of others.

2013

- Algebraic independence
- Jacobian criterion
- p-adic Jacobian
- Witt-Jacobian criterion
- Proof de Rham-Witt complex
- At the end...

## Algebraic independence

- We call polynomials f<sub>1</sub>,...,f<sub>m</sub> ∈ F[x<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>n</sub>] algebraically dependent if there is an A ∈ F[y<sub>1</sub>,...,y<sub>m</sub>] such that A(f<sub>1</sub>,...,f<sub>m</sub>)=0.
- A is called an annihilating polynomial.
  - Eg. polynomials  $x_1$ ,  $x_2^2$ ,  $x_1^2 + x_2$ :
  - They annihilate  $A := (y_3 y_1^2)^2 y_2$ .
- This concept defines the transcendence degree of a set of polynomials.
  - trdeg{f<sub>1</sub>,...,f<sub>m</sub>} = the maximal number of alg.independent polynomials there.

## Alg. independence – Applications

- trdeg generalizes linear-algebra to higher-degree.
- So, it has several known applications in algebraic complexity.
  - [Kalorkoti '85] showed a formula lower bound for determinant.
  - → It provides a notion of entropy for polynomial maps G:  $F_p^n \rightarrow F_p^n$ .
  - [Dvir Gabizon Wigderson '07] used this to construct explicit extractors, condensers and dispersers.
  - [Beecken-Mitmann-S '11, Agrawal-Saha-Saptharishi-S '12] have shown various *identity testing* algorithms.
  - Forsman '92] gives applications in *control theory*.

## Alg. independence – Geometry?

- trdeg is a concept in the center of commutative algebra.
- Does it have a *geometric* meaning?
  - Eg. trdeg{ $x_1, x_1x_2$ } = 2.
  - $\Rightarrow \dim F[x_1, x_2] / \langle x_1, x_1 x_2 \rangle = 1.$ Krull dimension
  - dim  $F[x_1, x_1x_2] = 2$ .



Krull 1899-1971

F is alg.closed

trdeg equals the dim of the subset { (f<sub>1</sub>(α),...,f<sub>m</sub>(α)) | α ∈ F<sup>n</sup> }, in the m-affine space.

# Alg. Independence – Bounds

- Given explicit polynomials f<sub>1</sub>,...,f<sub>m</sub> ∈ F[x<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>n</sub>] of degrees at most d.
- [Perron 1927] The annihilating polynomial degree is at most d<sup>trdeg</sup>.



Perron 1880-1975

- Thus, using linear-algebra we can produce the annihilating polynomial in PSPACE ! Log of size of system of eqns.
- [Kayal '09] showed that computing the annihilating polynomial is #P hard (*per coefficient*).
- Annihilating polynomial route is hard!

- Algebraic independence
- Jacobian criterion
- p-adic Jacobian
- Witt-Jacobian criterion
- Proof de Rham-Witt complex
- At the end...

Jacobian criterion

- Definition: The m x n matrix (∂<sub>j</sub>f<sub>i</sub>)<sub>i,j</sub> is called the Jacobian J<sub>x</sub>(f<sub>1</sub>,...,f<sub>m</sub>).
- Theorem [Jacobi 1841, BMS'11]: If char(F)=0 or >d<sup>r</sup> then rk J<sub>x</sub>(f<sub>1</sub>,...,f<sub>m</sub>) = trdeg{f<sub>1</sub>,...,f<sub>m</sub>} =:r.
  Efficiently computable !



Jacobi 1804-51

- A modern proof is via the de Rham complex.
- Let A be an R-algebra then the de Rham complex is:
  - $\Omega^{\bullet}_{A/R}: 0 \to A \to \Omega^{1}_{A/R} \to \Omega^{2}_{A/R} \to \cdots \to \Omega^{i}_{A/R} \to \Omega^{i+1}_{A/R} \to \cdots$
  - Each is an R-module.
  - $\Omega^{1}_{A/R}$  has elements da (a  $\in$  A) satisfying d(ab) = a.db+b.da.

Kähler differentials module

Leibniz rule



de Rham 1903-90

## Jacobian criterion – Proof

Let A be an R-algebra. The de Rham complex is: →  $\Omega^{\bullet}_{A/R}$ :  $0 \rightarrow A = \Omega^{0}_{A/R} \rightarrow \Omega^{1}_{A/R} \rightarrow \Omega^{2}_{A/R} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^{i}_{A/R} \rightarrow \Omega^{i+1}_{A/R} \rightarrow \cdots$ 

- $\Omega^{i}_{A/R}$  is defined as the i-fold wedge-product  $\Lambda^{i} \Omega^{1}_{A/R}$ .
  - Like i-th tensor-product, with extra conditions:  $da \wedge db = -db \wedge da$ .
- The maps d: Ω<sup>i</sup><sub>A/R</sub> → Ω<sup>i+1</sup><sub>A/R</sub> in de Rham complex are derivatives.
   Defined via d: a.da<sub>1</sub>∧…∧da<sub>i</sub> ↦ da∧da<sub>1</sub>∧…∧da<sub>i</sub>.
- Eg. when R is the field F, and A the n-variate polynomial ring:
  - → For any polynomial  $f \in A$ ,  $df = (\partial_1 f) dx_1 + ... + (\partial_n f) dx_n$ .
  - $\Omega^{\bullet}_{A/R}$  is zero beyond i=n.
  - $\Omega^{n}_{A/R}$  is a 1-dim A-module generated by  $dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ .

### Jacobian criterion – Proof contd.

Let A be an R-algebra. The de Rham complex is: →  $\Omega^{\bullet}_{A/R}$ :  $0 \rightarrow A = \Omega^{0}_{A/R} \rightarrow \Omega^{1}_{A/R} \rightarrow \Omega^{2}_{A/R} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^{i}_{A/R} \rightarrow \Omega^{i+1}_{A/R} \rightarrow \cdots$ 

- It is particularly well-behaved as we change
  - → **R** to another **R**-algebra **R**'.  $\Omega^{\bullet}_{A/R'} \cong \mathbf{R}' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \Omega^{\bullet}_{A/R}$
  - → A to a localization B.  $\Omega^{\bullet}_{B/R} \cong B \otimes_A \Omega^{\bullet}_{A/R}$
  - → Field A to a separable algebraic extn. B.  $\Omega^{\bullet}_{B/R} \cong B \otimes_A \Omega^{\bullet}_{A/R}$
- These neatly prove the Jacobian criterion.
  - *Pf. sketch*: Let r:=trdeg{f<sub>1</sub>,...,f<sub>m</sub>} & R:=F. Wlog let B:=F(x<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>n</sub>) be algebraic over A:=F(f<sub>1</sub>,...,f<sub>r</sub>,x<sub>r+1</sub>,...,x<sub>n</sub>).
  - If char(F)=0 then B/A is a separable algebraic field extension.
  - Thus,  $J(f):=df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_r$  which is nonzero in  $\Omega^r_{A/R}$ , remains nonzero in  $\Omega^r_{B/R}$ .

Is exactly Jacobian matrix condition!

- Algebraic independence
- Jacobian criterion
- p-adic Jacobian
- Witt-Jacobian criterion
- Proof de Rham-Witt complex
- At the end...

### p-adic Jacobian

- Why does the Jacobian fail?
  - → Eg. B:= $F_p(x) \supset A$ := $F_p(x^p) \supset R$ := $F_p$ .
  - Here,  $dx^p$  is nonzero in  $\Omega^1_{A/R}$ , but vanishes in  $\Omega^1_{B/R}$ .
  - Because, B/A is an inseparable algebraic field extension.
- A natural way, to avoid this problem, is to change the characteristic!
  - Instead of  $F_p$  move to the p-adic integers  $Z_p$ .
  - Recall, a p-adic integer corresponds to a *formal* series [a<sub>0</sub>] +
     [a<sub>1</sub>]p + [a<sub>2</sub>]p<sup>2</sup> +..., where a<sub>0</sub>, a<sub>1</sub>,... ∈ F<sub>p</sub>.
  - → In particular,  $Z_p$  has characteristic zero and  $Z_p/pZ_p \cong F_p$ .

## p-adic Jacobian – Witt ring

- We now fix k=F<sub>p</sub>, for a prime p.
- Construction of p-adic integers was significantly generalized by Witt (1936).
  - For any k-algebra A, there is a  $Z_p$ -algebra W(A).
- W(A) is the Witt ring of A.
  - → Its elements are  $a = [a_0] + [a_1]p + [a_2]p^2 + \cdots$ , where  $a_0, a_1, \ldots \in A$ .
  - → The ring morphism F:  $a \mapsto [a_0^p] + [a_1^p]p + [a_2^p]p^2 + \cdots$ . (Frobenius)
  - The additive morphism V:  $a \mapsto pF^{-1}$ . (Verschiebung)
- Thus, any  $a \in W(A)$  has the form  $a = [a_0] + V[a_1] + V^2[a_2] + \cdots$ , where  $a_0, a_1, \ldots \in A$ . (a is a Witt vector)





### p-adic Jacobian – Filtration

The nice action of V defines a filtration on W(A).

- VW(A),  $V^2W(A)$ ,  $V^3W(A)$ ,... are ideals of W(A).
- → Further, W(A)  $\supset$  VW(A)  $\supset$  V<sup>2</sup>W(A)  $\supset$  V<sup>3</sup>W(A)  $\supset$ ...
- So, the projection of W(A) to the first l coordinates is  $W_l(A) := W(A) / V^l W(A)$ .
  - W<sub>l</sub>(A) is called Witt vectors of A of length l.
  - $W_1(A) = A$ .
  - → V induces a map  $W_l(A) \rightarrow W_{l+1}(A)$ .
- When A := k[x], W<sub>l</sub>(A) is explicitly realizable in<sup>−</sup> C := W(k)[x<sup>4</sup>{p<sup>-∞</sup>}] := U<sub>i≥0</sub>W(k)[x<sup>4</sup>{p<sup>-i</sup>}].

→ *Idea:* To realize  $F^{-1}$ ,...,  $F^{-l}$  we need  $x_1^{1/p}$ ,...,  $x_1^{1/(p^{-l})}$ .

Realize for finite l, not the Witt vectors of *infinite* length

Frobenius is *not surjective*on the polynomial ring

### p-adic Jacobian – Degeneracy

- Fix  $k=F_p$  and A = k[x]. Let  $f := \{f_1, ..., f_n\} \subset A$ .
- Consider their lift  $\mathbf{f'} := \{ f_1', ..., f_n' \} \subset W(k)[\mathbf{x}].$
- Consider the p-adic Jacobian polynomial,
  - →  $J'(\mathbf{f}) := (x_1 \cdots x_n) \cdot \det (\partial_j f_i')_{i,j}$ .
  - What could be a possible criterion for the alg.independence of f?
- Degeneracy: J' is degenerate if for every  $\alpha$ ,  $v_p(coef(\mathbf{x}^{\alpha})(J')) > v_p(\alpha)$ .
- Theorem 1: **f** are alg.dependent  $\Rightarrow$  J'(**f**) is degenerate.
  - Converse is false
  - Eg. J'( $x_1^p$ ,  $x_2^p$ ) =  $p^2 x_1^p x_2^p$ .

New notion of zeroness

v<sub>p</sub> is the p-adic valuation

- Algebraic independence
- Jacobian criterion
- p-adic Jacobian
- Witt-Jacobian criterion
- Proof de Rham-Witt complex
- At the end...

### Witt-Jacobian criterion

The correct version of p-adic Jacobian is Witt-Jacobian, for l ≥ 0,
WJP<sub>l+1</sub>(f) := (f<sub>1</sub>'…f<sub>n</sub>')^{p<sup>l</sup>-1} • J'(f)
= (f<sub>1</sub>'…f<sub>n</sub>')^{p<sup>l</sup>-1} • (x<sub>1</sub>…x<sub>n</sub>) • det (∂<sub>i</sub>f<sub>i</sub>')<sub>i,i</sub>.

• The Witt-Jacobian criterion is  $(fix l \ge log_p deg(f)) -$ 

Theorem 2: **f** are alg.dependent  $\Leftrightarrow$  WJP<sub>l+1</sub>(**f**) is degenerate.

- Efficiency issues:
  - Degeneracy testing requires computing coefficients of a compactly given polynomial. So, doable in NP<sup>#P</sup> ⊆ PSPACE.
  - But, is also #P-hard !
- Conjecture: Alg.dependence testing has an efficient algorithm.

- Algebraic independence
- Jacobian criterion
- p-adic Jacobian
- Witt-Jacobian criterion
- Proof de Rham-Witt complex
- At the end...

## Proof – de Rham-Witt Complex

 We prove the Witt-Jacobian criterion using the de Rham-Witt pro-complex of A.



Illusie 1940-

- Essentially, we would like to work with the de Rham complex of the W<sub>l</sub>(k)-algebra W<sub>l</sub>(A), i.e. Ω<sup>•</sup><sub>W l(A) / W l(k)</sub>.
- But, we can do better: We can remember the V-filtration of W<sub>l</sub>(A).
  - This gives us quotient-modules,  $W_{l}\Omega^{\bullet}_{A}$ .
- We get the following pro-complex  $W_{\bullet}\Omega_{A}^{\bullet}$  (with action of V & derivation d).

$$W_{1}\Omega^{\bullet}{}_{A}: 0 \rightarrow W_{1}\Omega^{0}{}_{A} \rightarrow W_{1}\Omega^{1}{}_{A} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow W_{1}\Omega^{i}{}_{A} \rightarrow W_{1}\Omega^{i+1}{}_{A} \rightarrow \cdots$$

$$W_{2}^{\bullet}\Omega^{\bullet}{}_{A}: 0 \rightarrow W_{2}^{\bullet}\Omega^{0}{}_{A} \rightarrow W_{2}^{\bullet}\Omega^{1}{}_{A} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow W_{2}^{\bullet}\Omega^{i}{}_{A} \rightarrow W_{2}^{\bullet}\Omega^{i+1}{}_{A} \rightarrow \cdots$$

## Proof – de Rham-Witt Complex

- All that's left is:
  - Show that the pro-complex W<sub>•</sub>Ω<sup>•</sup><sub>A</sub> changes in a *natural* way as we vary A.
  - Consider the differential WJ(f):=d[f<sub>1</sub>]∧···∧d[f<sub>n</sub>] in W<sub>l</sub>Ω<sup>n</sup><sub>A</sub>, for a suitable l ≥ 0.
  - Show that: WJ(f) vanishes iff f are alg.dependent.
  - The explicit form of WJ(f) using C = W(k)[x^{p-∞}] proves the Witt-Jacobian criterion.

- Algebraic independence
- Jacobian criterion
- p-adic Jacobian
- Witt-Jacobian criterion
- Proof de Rham-Witt complex
- At the end...

### At the end ...

- We proved the first *nontrivial* criterion for alg.independence over k=F<sub>p</sub>.
  - Explicitization of the functorial properties of the de Rham-Witt pro-complex of A=k[x].
- It is not efficient enough. We expect a better criterion to exist.
  - Is there a more geometric approach?
  - Is p-adic analysis of use?
- Study WJP for really small primes, eg. p=2 (=m=n)?

