

Defn 1: An ABP is a layered graph with unique source (resp. sink) vertex  $s$  (resp.  $t$ ). Edges from layers  $i$  to  $(i+1)$  are labelled by a linear poly.

The polynomial computed by the ABP is  $f = \sum_{\text{path } \gamma: s \rightarrow t} \text{wt}(\gamma)$ ,

where wt( $\gamma$ ) is the product of the edge weights in  $\gamma$ .

The width of the ABP is the max number of vertices in any layer.

The depth is the length of the max path from  $s \rightarrow t$ .



in width = 2 & depth = 3.



- In this example, note that we can also represent  $f$  by using the adjacency matrices of the level transitions.

$$\text{eg. } [x_1 + x_2, -x_3] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = x_2 x_3.$$

$$\text{Also } = [1, -1] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Defn: Iterated matrix multiplication polynomial  
 $\text{GMM}_{n,d}$  is the  $(1,1)$ -th entry of the product  
 $X^{(1)} \cdot X^{(2)} \cdots X^{(d)}$ ,  
where  $X^{(i)}$  are  $n \times n$  symbolic matrices (i.e. with each entry being a constant or a variable).

Theorem: If  $f$  has a width- $w$ , depth- $d$  ABP, then it has an  $\text{GMM}$  of size  $O((wn)^2 \cdot d)$ .

If  $f$  has an  $\text{GMM}_{w,d}$  then it has a width- $w$ , depth- $d$  ABP.

Pf: (easy exercise)



▷ Any polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of sparsity  $s$  & degree  $d$  has an ABP of size  $O(sd)$ .

Pfi: Build the ABP for each monomial.  $\square$

Defn: Symbolic determinant  $D(\bar{x})$  is a polynomial that equals the determinant of an  $m \times m$  matrix with entries as  $\mathbb{F} \cup \bar{x}$ . ( $m$  is the size)

- Our next big connection is the one between ABP & symbolic determinant.

For that, we need a graph interpretation of determinant.

- Any permutation  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)$  can be decomposed into cycles. Eg.  $[5] \rightarrow (1, 3, 2, 5, 4)$  has the cycle decomposition:  $(2\ 3)(4\ 5)$  with  $\text{sign} = (-1)^{\# \text{even-cycles}} = (-1)^2 = 1$ .

- In a graph  $G$ , a cycle cover is a



partition of  $V(G)$  into cycles (simple disjoint).

Theorem: Let  $G$  be the graph on  $V(G) = [n]$  with adjacency matrix  $X = (x_{ij})_{n \times n}$ . Then,  
$$\det(X) = \sum_{C \in \text{cycle cover}(G)} \text{sgn}(C) \cdot \text{wt}(C),$$

where  $\text{sgn}(C)$  :=  $(-1)^{\#\text{even-cycles in } C}$  &  
 $\text{wt}(C)$  :=  $\prod_{\text{edge } e \in C} \text{wt}(e)$ .

Proof: • We have  $\det(X) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \prod_{i \in [n]} x_{i, \sigma(i)}$ .

• The summand corresponding to  $\sigma$  can be seen to be equal to  $\text{sgn}(C) \cdot \text{wt}(C)$ , where  $C$  is the cycle cover of  $G$  specified by the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma$ .

• Finally, note that every cycle cover  $C$  of  $G$  uniquely specifies some  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)$ .  $\square$



Corollary:  $\text{per}_n(x) = \sum_{C \in \text{CycleCover}(G)} \text{wt}(C)$ .

- We are ready to reduce ABP to det.

Lemma: If  $f$  has a width- $w$ , depth- $d$  ABP, then it has a  $O(wdn)$ -size determinant.

Proof:

- We can first make the ABP edge weights symbolic, i.e. in  $\mathbb{F}U\bar{x}$ .

Ensure length  $d'$  paths  $\rightarrow$  This makes the depth  $O(d) =: d'$ .

- Let  $G$  be the directed graph underlying this ABP. Modify it to a graph  $G'$ :

- Add a  $\text{wt}=1$  edge from  $t$  to  $s$ .

- On all other vertices add a  $\text{wt}=1$  self-loop.

- Observe that any  $C \in \text{CycleCover}(G')$  uniquely specifies a path from  $s \rightarrow t$ , & the  $\text{sgn}(C)$  is the same for all  $C$  (say 1).



- Thus, det of the adjacency matrix  $A'$  of  $G'$  is  $\det(A') = f$ .
- Clearly,  $A'$  has dimension  $w_{nd'} = O(w_{dn})$ .  $\square$

- More surprising is the converse:

Theorem (Mahajan, Vinay '97):  $\det_n$  has a width- $O(n^2)$ , depth- $O(n)$  ABP, over any  $\mathbb{F}$ .

$\Rightarrow \det_n \in VP$  (depth- $\lg n$ ) (P-uniform)  
(unbounded fanin/fanout)

- The main tool in the proof is a relaxation of disjoint cycles to closed walks (while still having the det connection).

Defn: Let  $G$  be a graph on  $V(G) = [n]$ .

A clow of  $G$  is a closed walk of length, say,  $l$  such as  $C = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l, v_1)$  with  $v_1$  being unique min. head( $C$ ) is  $v_1$ .

[head does not repeat in a clow.]



A clow sequence is a clow-tuple  $(C_1, \dots, C_r)$  with increasing heads, i.e.  $\text{head}(C_1) < \dots < \text{head}(C_r)$ .

fix it to  
be  $n$   $\rightarrow$  The length of a clow sequence is the sum of the lengths of the underlying clows.

The weight of a clow sequence is the product of the weights of the underlying edges.

The sign of a clow sequence is  $(-1)^{\#\text{even-clows}}$ . [Even-clow has even length]

$\triangleright$  A cycle cover is a clow sequence of the same weight & sign.

[Obviously, converse is false.]

- The surprise is:

Lemma [Mahajan, Vinay 1997]: If  $A$  is the adjacency matrix of  $G$ , then  $\det(A) = \sum_{C \in \text{clowSequence}(G)} \text{sgn}(C) \cdot \text{wt}(C)$ .



Proof: • The key idea is to show that the contributions of flow sequences, that are not cycle covers, cancel each other!

• Consider a flow seq.  $C = (C_1, \dots, C_r)$  of length  $l$ . If  $C$  is not a cycle cover then some vertex must repeat.

• Let  $i \in [r]$  be the largest such that  $C_i = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, v_1)$  has a vertex that repeats (somewhere in  $C_i, C_{i+1}, \dots, C_r$ ).

$\Rightarrow (C_{i+1}, \dots, C_r)$  are disjoint cycles but  $(C_i, \dots, C_r)$  are not.

• This can happen in two ways:

Case 1:  $\exists j' < j \in [k], v_{j'} = v_j$ .

Case 2:  $\exists j \in [k], v_j$  occurs in  $C_{i+1}, \dots, C_r$ .

Over the cases, pick the least  $j$ .



• In case 1, vertices  $v_{j+1}, \dots, v_j$  are all distinct (as  $v_j$  is the first occurrence of a repeated node). So, this gives a cycle.

This cycle is disjoint from  $C_{i+1}, \dots, C_r$ .

• Define a new claw seq.  $C'$  by breaking  $C_i$  into the claw  $(v_1, \dots, v_j, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_k, v_1)$  & the cycle  $(v_{j+1}, \dots, v_j)$ .

Note: The heads in  $C'$  are distinct. else use case 2

Note that  $wt(C') = wt(C)$  &

$$\text{sgn}(C') = (-1)^{\ell+r+1} = -\text{sgn}(C).$$

$$\# \text{ odds} \equiv \sum_{\text{even } C_i} |C_i| + \sum_{\text{odd } C_i} |C_i| = \ell = n$$

• In case 2,  $v_j \in C_i$  also appears in  $C_{i'}$  for  $i < i' \leq r$ . (Note:  $i'$  is unique.)

Here we join the claws  $C_i$  &  $C_{i'}$  at the vertex  $v_j$  to get the claw  $C'_i :=$

$$(v_1, \dots, v_j, C_{i'} \setminus \{v_j\}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_k, v_1).$$

Call the new claw sequence  $C'$ .

• Note that  $wt(C') = wt(C)$  &  $\text{sgn}(C') = (-1)^{\ell+r-1} = -\text{sgn}(C)$ .

Note:  $v_j = \text{head}(C_i) < \text{head}(C_{i'})$

▷ The above gives us a map  $\tau$  from



$\text{ClowSequence}(G) \setminus \text{CycleCover}(G)$  to itself such that:  $Z$  has no fixed point, it is invertible, & flips the sign.

• Thus,  $\sum_{C \in \text{ClowSequence}(G)} \text{sgn}(C) \cdot \text{wt}(C)$  ----- (1)

$$= \sum_{C \in \text{CycleCover}(G)} \text{sgn}(C) \cdot \text{wt}(C)$$

$$= \det(A). \quad \square$$

- The sum over clow sequences can be computed by an ABP:

Lemma [Mahajan, Vinay '97] Expression (1) has a width- $n^2$ , depth- $(n+1)$  ABP, where  $G$  has  $n$  vertices.

- ABP-width corresponds to memory (registers) & depth corresponds to time.



Proof: • The layers are labelled  $l \in [n+1]$ .

Layer  $l \in [2 \dots n]$  has  $\Theta(n^2)$  nodes labelled  $v_{i,j}^{(l)}$ ,  $i \neq j \in [n]$ .

Layer  $l=1$  has the node  $s = v_{1,1}^{(1)}$  with  $wl = x_{1i}$  edge to  $v_{1,i}^{(2)}$ ,  $\forall 1 < i \leq n$ .

• Idea: In  $v_{i,j}^{(l)}$ ,  $i$  remembers the head &  $j$  the current node in the current clow.

With this we intend to hard-code a clow sequence as a path  $s \rightarrow t$  & vice versa.

For this the ABP has:

1)  $\forall i < j \in [n], 1 < l < n$ ,  $v_{i,j}^{(l)}$  has an edge of  $wl = x_{jk}$  to  $v_{i,k}^{(l+1)}$ , for all  $k > i$ .

[grows the  $i$ -headed clow from  $j$  to  $k$ .]

2)  $\forall i < j \in [n], 1 < l < n$ ,  $v_{i,j}^{(l)}$  has an edge of  $wl = -x_{ji}$  to  $v_{k,i}^{(l+1)}$ , for all  $k > i$ .

[clow ends, sign changes & new head =  $k$ .]



3) Last layer:  $\forall i < j \in [n]$ ,  $v_{i,j}^{(n)}$  has an edge of  $w_t = -x_{ji}$  to  $t$ .

Sign in a path =  $(-1)^{\# \text{clows}}$

[ clow ends, sign changes & the clow sequence ends. ]

▷ Each ABP path corresponds to a unique clow sequence of  $G$ . Moreover, the respective weight & signed-weight match.

with first-head = 1

▷ Each clow sequence of  $G$  corresponds to a unique path in ABP.

□

Corollary: 1)  $\det_n$  has an IMM  $O(n^2), O(n)$ .

2)  $\det_n$ , over any commutative ring, has  $O(\lg n)$ -depth, unbounded fanin/out,  $\text{poly}(n)$ -size arithmetic circuit.

[det over noncommutative ring?]