

# Polynomial identity testing (PIT)

- PIT is the following algorithmic problem:

Given an arithmetic circuit  $C(\bar{x})$ , over a ring  $R$ , test whether  $C$  is identically zero.

(We want an algorithm that runs in time polynomial in  $\text{size}(C)$ .)

- We will focus on the case of  $R$  being a field  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

Theorem [Schwartz, Zippel et al]  $\text{PIT} \in \text{CoRP}$ .

Proof:

- Let  $C(\bar{x})$  be the given circuit of size  $s$ , over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ .
- $\Rightarrow \deg C < s^d$ .
- We could assume  $|\mathbb{F}| > 2 \cdot s^d$ , otherwise we can use an appropriate field extension.

(Fast constructions are known due to  
[Adleman, Lenstra '86])

• The algorithm is simply a random evaluation:

0) Pick an SSIF of size  $2 \cdot 8^8$ .

1) Pick a random  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S^n$ .

2) If  $C(\bar{a}) = 0$  then OUTPUT Zero  
else " nonZero.

• It has been proved before (in an Assignment) that: if  $C$  is a nonzero polynomial then

$$\text{Prob}_{\bar{a} \in S^n} [C(\bar{a}) \neq 0] > 1 - \frac{\deg C}{25^5} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

• Clearly,  $C(\bar{a})$  can be computed in time  $\text{poly}(8, \lg |F|)$ .

• In the case when  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $C(\bar{a})$  may be doubly-exp. large!

In that case, we pick a random prime  $p$  & evaluate  $C(\bar{a}) \bmod p$ .  
(Exercise: compute the error probability)

no mistake  
on identities  
(CORP)

• Thus, in all cases PIT has a randomized poly-time algorithm.  $\square$

- Note that in the above algorithm the specifics of the circuit  $C$  were not used. (Only the size bound was needed.)

- Such an algorithm is called a blackbox identity test.  
(One can only evaluate a blackbox.)

Definition: For a family  $\mathcal{C}$  of circuits  <sup>$n$ -variate</sup> of size  $s$ ,  
a hitting-set  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$  is a  $\text{poly}(s)$ -sized set of points such that: If  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  is nonzero then  $\exists \bar{a} \in \mathcal{H}, C(\bar{a}) \neq 0$ .

Or,  $\mathcal{H}$   
hits  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Lemma: Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2$  be of size  $\beta^{3D}$  &  $\mathcal{C}$  be the family of size- $s$  circuits,  $n$ -variate, over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .  
Then, a random  $\bar{a} \in S^n$  hits  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Proof:

$$\bullet \Pr_{\bar{a} \in S^n} [\exists 0 \neq c \in \mathcal{C}, c(\bar{a}) = 0]$$

$$\leq |\mathcal{C}| \cdot \frac{\beta^D}{|S|} < \beta^D \cdot \frac{\beta^D}{\beta^{3D}} = \beta^{-D}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr_{\bar{a}} [\forall 0 \neq c \in \mathcal{C}, c(\bar{a}) \neq 0] > 1 - \beta^{-D}.$$

□

OPEN (Derandomization): Can a hitting-set be computed in det. poly-time?

- Given  $H$ , by interpolation, we can find polynomials  $(p_1(y), \dots, p_n(y)) =: \bar{p}(y)$  such that their first few values, on fixing  $y$ , give us the points in  $H$ .

Also,  $\deg p_i \leq |H|$ .

- This motivates us to define arithmetic analogs of prgs (pseudorandom generators).

Defn:  $\{(p_1^n(y), \dots, p_n^n(y)) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is called an  $s(n)$ -prg against  $\mathcal{C}$ , if

- each  $p_i^n(y)$  has  $\deg \leq s(n)$  & is computable in time  $\text{poly}(s(n))$ ,
  - for any nonzero  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  on  $n$ -variables,  $C(p_1^n(y), \dots, p_n^n(y)) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{g(y)}$ .
- depending on  $\mathcal{C}$  one might want to go  $\rightarrow$*

Derandomization Qn: Do efficient prgs exist?

- Apart from being a fundamental qn., this is also related to proving lower bounds (close to  $VP \neq VNP$ ).

- A PIT algorithm would imply some lower bound:

Thm [Kabanets, Impagliazzo '03]:  $P \cap \text{IT} \in P \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{NEXP} \not\subseteq P/\text{poly}$  or  $\text{VNP} \neq \text{VP}$ .

- We will skip this proof & instead focus on the implications of an efficient prog (& a converse!).

Thm [Agrawal '05]: Let  $f$  be an  $s(n)$ -prog against  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then, there is a multilinear polynomial computable in  $\text{poly}(s(n))$ -time that is not in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Assume  $s(n) \leq 2^{n/2}$ .

Proof:

- Consider  $f(n) = (p_1(y), \dots, p_n(y))$  for a large enough  $n$ .
- Define  $\ell(n) := \lg s(n)$  &  $m := 2\ell \leq n$ .
- The idea is to consider an annihilating polynomial  $q(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  for  $(p_1(y), \dots, p_m(y))$ .