

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_{Y,Z}(f) \leq 2^{d-d/16} \leq 2^{n/2} \cdot 2^{-n/32}$$

(as  $n/3 \leq d \leq n/2$ )  $\square$

Det<sub>n</sub> & Per<sub>n</sub> have high  $\Gamma_{Y,Z}(\cdot)$

- Note that det<sub>n</sub> has  $n^2$  variables.

We will first reduce its variables to a random  $X$ ,  $|X| = 2m := 2 \cdot \frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}$ , & then use a random partition  $X = Y \cup Z$ .

Theorem (Lower bound) [Raz'09]: With probability  $\geq 1/2$ , a random restriction  $\sigma$  of  $\{x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn}\}$  to  $X = Y \cup Z$ ,  $|Y| = |Z| = m := \lfloor \sqrt{n}/5 \rfloor$ , yields  $\Gamma_{Y,Z}(\sigma \circ \text{det}_n) = 2^m$ .

Proof:

- The map  $\sigma$  will fix  $n^2 - 2m$  variables to  $\mathbb{F}$  values, in a certain way.
- The remaining  $2m$  variables are  $X$ .
- Let us compute the probability that



• Clearly,  $T_{y,z}(D_m) = 2^m$ . (Multiplicativity)

$$\Rightarrow \Pr_{\sigma} [T_{y,z}(\sigma \circ \det_n) = 2^m] > 1 - \frac{4}{25} - \frac{1}{3} > 1/2. \quad \square$$

- Finally, we deduce an exponential lower bound against multilinear depth-3.

Corollary:  $\det_n$  or  $\text{per}_n$  require  $2^{\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$  size multilinear depth-3 circuits.

Proof:

• Suppose  $\det_n = C(\bar{x})$ , for a multilinear  $\Sigma^{\circ} \Pi \Sigma$  circuit  $C$ .

• We apply, as before, a random variable reduction  $\sigma$  both sides

$$\Rightarrow \sigma \circ \det_n = \sigma \circ C \quad (2m\text{-variate row}).$$

• The two theorems imply that

$$2^m \leq \delta \cdot 2^{2m/2 - 2m/32}$$

$$\Rightarrow D \geq 2^{m/16} = 2^{\sqrt{n}/80}.$$

□

- Note that this almost matches the best depth-3 complexity of  $\det_n$ .

Exercise: The same argument holds for  $\text{per}_n$ .

Generalizing to constant-depth multilinear

- (Raz, Yehudayoff '09) generalized the above ideas to get a result for multilinear depth- $\Delta$  circuits.

- Here, instead of a product of linear polynomials we work with the following:

Defn: A multilinear polynomial  $f = g_1 \cdots g_t$  is called a t-product if:  
each  $g_i$  depends on  $\geq t$  variables.

Lemma: Let  $f$  be a multilinear  $n$ -variate  $d$ -degree polynomial that has a size- $s$  multilinear (product)depth- $\Delta$  formula  $\phi$ . Then,  $f$  can be written as a sum of:  $\leq s$  multilinear  $t$ -products ( $t = (n/100)^{1/2\Delta}$ ) & a multilinear polynomial of degree  $\leq n/100$ .

Proof:

- If  $d \leq n/100$ , then it is clear.
- Let  $d > n/100$ . Since  $\phi$  is a formula of product-depth  $\Delta$ , there is a product gate  $v$  of fanin  $\geq (n/100)^{1/\Delta} =: t^2$ .
- Let us expand the formula wrt this gate:

$$f = \phi_v + \phi_{v=0}$$

$\uparrow$  output at  $v$



- As  $\phi_v$  is a product of  $t^2$  polynomials we can group them to see that  $\phi_v$  is multilinear  $t$ -product.
- As  $\phi_{v=0}$  is of smaller size, we can recurse. □

- Now we need to study the effect of a random partitioning on a  $t$ -product.

Lemma: Let  $f(x)$  be  $n$ -variate & computable by a size- $s$  multilinear depth- $\Delta$  formula.

If  $X = Y \sqcup Z$ ,  $|Y| = |Z| = n/2$ , is random then with probability  $1 - s \cdot \exp(-n^{\Omega(1/\Delta)})$ :

$$\Gamma_{Y,Z}^1(f) = s \cdot 2^{n/2} \cdot \exp(-n^{\Omega(1/\Delta)}).$$

Proof:

• By the previous lemma, write  $f = g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^s g_i$  where  $\deg g_0 \leq n/100$  &  $g_1, \dots, g_s$  are multilinear  $t$ -products.

• Note that  $g_0$ 's sparsity can be at most  $\sum_{i \leq n/100} \binom{n}{i} = 2^{H_2(1/100) \cdot n - O(\log n)} < 2^{n/10}$ .

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_{Y,Z}^1(g_0) < 2^{n/10} \text{ (sub-additivity).}$$

• All that remains is to bound  $\Gamma_{Y,Z}^1(g_1)$  for a random partition  $X = Y \sqcup Z$ .