

- The proof requires a host of ideas.
One common feature is to use powers basis, instead of the standard basis of monomials, to express polynomials.

- Outline: Circuit $\xrightarrow{\text{Step 0}} \sum \prod \sum \prod \xrightarrow{\text{Step 1}}$
 $\sum \wedge \sum \wedge \sum$ circuits $\xrightarrow{\text{Step 2}} \sum \prod \sum (\text{over } \mathbb{C})$
 $\xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \sum \prod \sum (\text{over } \mathbb{Q})$.

Step 0: • Let f have a size- d_0 circuit $C_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

• By depth-4 reduction we get a size $d_1 = d_0^{O(\sqrt{d})}$ homogeneous $\sum \prod^{O(\sqrt{d})} \sum \prod^{\sqrt{d}}$ circuit C_1 .

Step 1: • First, we show a general way to "change basis" that converts " \prod " to " $\sum \wedge$:

Lemma (Fischer's trick '94): Over $\text{ch}(\mathbb{F}) \geq r$ or zero, any expression $g = \sum_{i \in [k]} \prod_{j \in [r]} g_{ij}$, $\deg g_{ij} \leq s$, can

be rewritten as $g = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \cdot g_i^r$, where $k' = k \cdot 2^r$ & $\deg g_i \leq d$. $c_i \in \mathbb{F}$

Proof:

- Recall Rysers's formula for permanent.
- $r! \cdot y_1 \cdots y_r = \text{per} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & y_r \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1 & \cdots & y_r \end{pmatrix}$
- $= \sum_{S \subseteq [r]} \left(\sum_{j \in S} y_j \right)^r \cdot (-1)^{r - |S|}$.

- We can apply this on each product $g_{i1} \cdots g_{ir}$ to rewrite g as a sum of powers of g_j 's. \square

- Eg. Over \mathbb{F}_2 , x_1, x_2 cannot be written as a sum of powers. (Exercise)

- Using Fischer's trick on all the product gates of $\sum \prod^{O(\sqrt{d})} \sum \prod^{\sqrt{d}}$ circuit C_1 , we get a $\sum \prod^{O(\sqrt{d})} \sum \prod^{\sqrt{d}} \sum^{\sqrt{d}}$ circuit C_2 of size $S_2 = S_1 \cdot 2^{O(\sqrt{d})} = S_0^{O(\sqrt{d})}$.

Step 2: First, we show a general transformation from $\Lambda\Sigma$ to $\Sigma\pi\Sigma$ (over \mathbb{C}):
duality trick (S. '08).

- Before that we recall the classic interpolation formula.

Fact (Interpolation) [Waring 1779]: Let $F(x)$ be a deg- D polynomial & $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_D \in F$ be distinct. Then, $\forall 0 \leq i \leq D$, $\exists \beta_0(\bar{\alpha}), \dots, \beta_D(\bar{\alpha}) \in F$ s.t.

$$\text{coef}(x^i)(F) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq D} \beta_j \cdot F(\alpha_j) .$$

Proof: Let $F(x) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq D} c_j \cdot x^j$. Thus, as a matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_0 & \dots & \alpha_0^D \\ 1 & \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_1^D \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha_D & \dots & \alpha_D^D \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F(\alpha_0) \\ F(\alpha_1) \\ \vdots \\ F(\alpha_D) \end{pmatrix} .$$

- The Vandermonde matrix is invertible.
(Exercise) □

The duality trick

Theorem [S.'08]: There exists a deg- b polynomial f_i s.t. $(z_1 + \dots + z_B)^b = \sum_{i \in [B(b+1)]} c_i \cdot f_i(z_1) \dots f_i(z_B)$.

[This transforms $\Sigma \wedge \Sigma$ circuit to a sum-of-product of univariates. The latter is $\Sigma \Pi \Sigma$ over \mathbb{Q} .]

Proof: (We see a simpler pf by Shpilka.)

- Consider the polynomial $F(t) := \prod_{i \in [B]} (t + z_i)$.

- Using interpolation (at points $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{Bb}$) we can extract the $\text{coef}(t^{(B-1)b})(F-t^b)$ as:

$$\left(\sum_{i \in [B]} z_i\right)^b = \sum_{i \in [Bb]} \beta_i \cdot (F(\alpha_i) - \alpha_i^b)^b$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\sum_{i=1}^B z_i\right)^b = \sum_{\substack{i \in [Bb] \\ 0 \leq j \leq b}} \gamma_{ij} \cdot F(\alpha_i)^j$$

$$=: \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij} \cdot (\alpha_i + z_1)^j \dots (\alpha_i + z_B)^j$$

□

- Thus, a homogeneous $\Lambda \Sigma \Lambda$ circuit can be transformed as:

$$(z_1^a + \dots + z_b^a)^b = \sum_{i,j} y_{ij} \cdot (\alpha_i + z_1^a)^j \dots (\alpha_i + z_b^a)^j.$$

- The summand will factor nicely over \mathbb{C} . In fact, we can choose (α_i) to be an integral a -power, for all i . Then, the factors would live over $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_a)$. $[\beta_a := 1^{\frac{1}{a}} \in \mathbb{C}]$

$\Rightarrow \sum \Lambda^b \sum \Lambda^a \sum^1$ circuit can be expressed as a $\sum \Pi \sum^2$ circuit, over $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_a)$, of $O(b^3 a^2)$ -size.

\Rightarrow We have obtained a $\sum \Pi \sum^{a+1}$ circuit, over $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_a)$ for $a := \lceil \sqrt{d} \rceil$, denoted by C_3 of size $S_3 = \tilde{O}(S_2^3) = S_0^{O(\sqrt{d})}$, that also computes C_2 .

(intermediate deg in C_3 is extremely high!)

Step 3: Note that C_3 has coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_a)$, but eventually it computes C_2 which is free of β_a . We can utilize this to eliminate β_a from C_3 .

Lemma: Let $f(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{Q}(\beta_a)[\bar{x}]$ be a $\Sigma \Pi \Sigma$ circuit of $\deg-d$, size s computing a poly. in $\mathbb{Q}[\bar{x}]$. Then, there exists an equivalent $\Sigma \Pi \Sigma$ circuit $g \in \underline{\mathbb{Q}[\bar{x}]}$ of $\deg-d$, size - $O(sda)$.

Proof:

- Replace each occurrence of β_a^i in the circuit f , by y^i to get a circuit $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{Q}[\bar{x}, y]$.

\tilde{f} is $\Sigma \Pi \Sigma$ because of y .

- $\deg_y \tilde{f} \leq (d+1)a$, since f is $\Sigma \Pi^d \Sigma$.
- Also, $\tilde{f}(\bar{x}, \beta_a) = f(\bar{x})$.

- Note that $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq d+1} \text{coef}(y^{ia})(\tilde{f}) = f$.

- Thus, we could interpolate f by evaluating $\tilde{f}(\bar{x}, y)$ on $1 + (d+1)a$ distinct points in \mathbb{Q} .
- This yields an $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(sda)$ -size $\Sigma\pi\Sigma$ circuit, for f , over \mathbb{Q} . \square

- Thus, we get a $\Sigma\pi\Sigma^{\sqrt{d}}$ circuit C_4 computing C_3 , over \mathbb{Q} , which is of size $s_4 = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(s_3) = s_0^{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\sqrt{d})}$.
 This completes the depth-3 chasm. \square