

• How well does C' predict?

$$\Pr_{y \in \text{NW}(U_\ell), \bar{z} \in U_m} [C'(y_1, \dots, y_{i_0-1}) = y_{i_0}] =$$

$$\Pr[z_{i_0} = y_{i_0}] \cdot \Pr[C(y_1, \dots, y_{i_0-1}, z_{i_0}, \dots, z_m) = 1 \mid z_{i_0} = y_{i_0}] \\ + \Pr[z_{i_0} \neq y_{i_0}] \cdot \Pr[C(y_1, \dots, y_{i_0-1}, \bar{z}_{i_0}, \dots, \bar{z}_m) = 1 \mid z_{i_0} \neq y_{i_0}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr[C(D_{i_0}) = 1] + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (1 - \Pr[C(y_1, \dots, y_{i_0-1}, \bar{y}_{i_0}, z_{i_0+1}, \dots) = 1])$$

$$= p_{i_0} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (p_{i_0} + \Pr[C(y_1, \dots, y_{i_0-1}, \bar{y}_{i_0}, z_{i_0+1}, \dots) = 1])$$

$$= p_{i_0} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot (2p_{i_0-1}) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{0.1}{m}\right)$$

union bound
→

• To make C' deterministic, we could fix z_{i_0}, \dots, z_m & get a circuit C'' s.t.

$$\Pr_{y \in \text{NW}(U_\ell)} [C''(y_1, \dots, y_{i_0-1}) = y_{i_0}] \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{0.1}{m}\right)$$

• Clearly, $\text{size}(C'') < 2 \cdot \text{size}(C) \leq 5/5$.

• Now we plug the definition of NW_ℓ^f , to get:

$$\Pr_{Z \in U_e} [C''(f(Z_{I_1}), \dots, f(Z_{I_{i_0-1}})) = f(Z_{I_{i_0}})] \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{0.1}{m}\right)$$

- Let us fix $Z_{[e] \setminus I_{i_0}}$ s.t. the above probability advantage is retained.

- Note that this leaves only $|I_j \cap I_{i_0}|$ many variables free in Z_{I_j} , $j \in [i_0-1]$.

$\Rightarrow f(Z_{I_1}), \dots, f(Z_{I_{i_0-1}})$ are d -variate.

\Rightarrow " " " can be computed (trivially) by circuits of size $O(d \cdot 2^d)$.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a circuit B of size $< \frac{S}{5} + O(d \cdot 2^d) \cdot m$
 $= S/5 + O(d \cdot 2^{d+d/10}) < S$ ($\because S > 2^{2d}$) s.t.

$$\Pr_{Z_{I_{i_0}} \in U_n} [B(Z_{I_{i_0}}) = f(Z_{I_{i_0}})] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{0.1}{m} > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5}$$

- This contradicts the assumption that

$$\text{Havg}(f) = S.$$

$\Rightarrow \text{NW}_f^S(U_e)$ is $(S/10, 0.1)$ -pseudorandom. \square

Proof (NW theorem):

• Let $f \in \text{Dtime}(2^{\alpha(n)})$ s.t. $\text{Havg}(f) \geq S(n)$.

• We will define an $S'(\ell)$ -prog G:

On input $z \in \{0,1\}^\ell$,

1) Pick n s.t. $\frac{100n^2}{\ell S(n)} < \ell \leq \frac{100(n+1)^2}{\ell S(n+1)} \leq \frac{200n^2}{\ell S(n)}$.

2) Set $d = \ell S(n) / 10$.

3) Compute an (ℓ, n, d) -design

$\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_m\}$ with $m = 2^{d/10}$.

4) Output $\text{NW}_g^f(z)$.

• This takes time: $2^{O(\ell)} + 2^{\alpha(n)} \cdot 2^{d/10} = 2^{O(\ell)}$.

• Since $\text{Havg}(f) \geq S(n) = 2^{10d}$, by Lemma 2 we get: $\text{NW}_g^f(U_\ell)$ is $(S(n)/10, 0.1)$ -pseudorandom.

• Finally, the stretch is $2^{d/10} = S(n)^{1/100} =: S'(\ell)$.

• Clearly, G is an $S'(\ell)$ -prog. \square