

Theorem (Nisan, Wigderson, 1988): If $\exists f \in E$ with $H_{\text{avg}}(f) \geq S(n)$ then \exists an $S'(l)$ -prg where

$$S' \approx S \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S'(l) := S(n)^{0.01} \text{ for } \frac{100n^2}{\epsilon S(n)} < l \leq \frac{100(n+1)^2}{\epsilon S(n+1)}. \end{array} \right.$$

Proof:

• Idea — We stretch a seed $z \in \{0,1\}^l$ to $\{0,1\}^{S'(l)}$ by choosing n -sized subsets

little overlap \rightarrow
hard to guess the next bit \rightarrow

$I_1, \dots, I_m \subseteq [l]$ & considering $f(z_{I_1}) \circ f(z_{I_2}) \circ \dots \circ f(z_{I_m})$.

• Definition: Let $\mathcal{I} := \{I_1, \dots, I_m\}$ be a family of n -sized subsets of $[l]$ & let $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$.

The (\mathcal{I}, f) -NW generator is the function $NW_{\mathcal{I}}^f: \{0,1\}^l \rightarrow \{0,1\}^m$ s.t. $\forall z \in \{0,1\}^l$,

$$NW_{\mathcal{I}}^f(z) := f(z_{I_1}) \circ \dots \circ f(z_{I_m})$$

where z_I is the restriction to the coordinates I .

- For an (\mathcal{I}, f) -NW generator to be pseudo-random, we will show that, f should be hard & \mathcal{I} should be a certain design:

Definition: Let $l > n > d$. A collection $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_m\}$ of n -sized subsets of $[l]$ is an (l, n, d) -design if $|I_j \cap I_k| \leq d$ for all $j \neq k \in [m]$.

Lemma 1 (designs): \exists algorithm A that on input (l, n, d) , where $l > 10n^2/d$, outputs an (l, n, d) -design \mathcal{I} having $m \geq 2^{d/10}$ subsets, in time $2^{O(l)}$.

Proof:

- Idea - Greedily build \mathcal{I} .

- Initialize $\mathcal{I} = \emptyset$.

(1) Say, $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_m\}$ with $m < 2^{d/10}$.

Find an $I \in \binom{[l]}{n}$ st. $\forall j \in [m]$,

$|I \cap I_j| \leq d$.

(2) $\mathcal{I} \leftarrow \mathcal{I} \cup \{I\}$ & goto (1).

- Clearly this takes time $< (2^{d/10})^2 \times 2^l \cdot n = 2^{O(l)}$.

- Can it get stuck at $m < 2^{d/10}$?

We show the existence of I by the probabilistic method.

- Build I by picking each $x \in [l]$ with probability $2n/l$.

\Rightarrow

$$E[\#I] = \sum_{x \in [l]} \frac{2n}{l} = 2n.$$

$$\& \forall j \in [m], E[|I \cap I_j|] = \sum_{x \in I_j} \frac{2n}{l} = \frac{2n^2}{l} < \frac{d}{5}.$$

- Thus, by Chernoff bounds:

$$\Pr_I[|I| < n] < 2 \cdot e^{-n/8}$$

$$\Pr[\left| \sum x_i - \mu \right| \geq c\mu]$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot \exp(-\mu \cdot$$

$$\min\left(\frac{c}{2}, \frac{c^2}{4}\right)).$$

$$\& \forall j, \Pr_I[|I \cap I_j| > d] < 2 \cdot e^{-2d/5}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr[|I| < n \vee \exists j, |I \cap I_j| > d]$$

$$< 2e^{-n/8} + 2e^{-4d/10 + d/10} < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr_I[|I| \geq n \wedge \forall j, |I \cap I_j| \leq d] > 0.$$

\Rightarrow There exists an I in Step-(1).

(If it is larger than n then we can drop the extra elements.) \square

- Let us use this design now.

Lemma 2 (NW-generator): If \mathcal{I} is an (ℓ, n, d) -design with $|\mathcal{I}| = 2^{\ell/10} =: m$, $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ & $\text{Havg}(f) > 2^{2d}$, then $\text{NW}_g^f(U_\ell)$ is $(\text{Havg}(f)/10, 0.1)$ -pseudorandom.

Proof:

- Let $s := \text{Havg}(f)$.
- Suppose \exists a circuit C of size $\leq s/10$ st. $|\Pr[C(\text{NW}_g^f(U_\ell))=1] - \Pr[C(U_m)=1]| \geq 0.1$.
- Wlog assume, $\Pr[C(\text{NW}_g^f(U_\ell))=1] - \Pr[C(U_m)=1] \geq 0.1$.
- We will now devise a bit-predictor for NW_g^f .

I.e. NW_g^f is not pseudorand \rightarrow

• For that let us define distributions

$\mathcal{D}_0, \dots, \mathcal{D}_m$ over $\{0,1\}^m$ s.t. $\forall i$,

\mathcal{D}_i : choose $x \in_R \{0,1\}^L$; $z_{i+1}, \dots, z_m \in_R \{0,1\}$,

compute $y = \text{NW}_g^f(x)$

output $\langle y_1, \dots, y_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_m \rangle$.

hybrid
distribution \rightarrow

$\triangleright \mathcal{D}_0 \cong U_m$ & $\mathcal{D}_m \cong \text{NW}_g^f(U_L)$.

• Define $p_i := \Pr[C(\mathcal{D}_i) = 1]$.

• Since $p_m - p_0 \geq 0.1$, averaging gives us:

$\exists i_0 \in [m], p_{i_0} - p_{i_0-1} \geq 0.1/m$

• We will use this advantage to predict the i_0 -th bit of $\text{NW}_g^f(U_L)$ given the preceding (i_0-1) bits.

• Define circuit C' : on input y_1, \dots, y_{i_0-1} ,

pick $z_{i_0}, \dots, z_m \in_R \{0,1\}$,

output $\begin{cases} z_{i_0}, & \text{if } C(y_1, \dots, y_{i_0-1}, z_{i_0}, \dots, z_m) = 1 \\ 1 - z_{i_0}, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$