

Pseudorandom generators (prg)

- Expanders helped in derandomizing a specific problem in RL.
- Prgs are objects to derandomize more general randomized algorithms.

Definition: • A distribution R over $\{0,1\}^m$ is (s, ϵ) -pseudorandom if \forall circuits C of size $\leq s$,
$$\left| \Pr_{x \in R} [C(x) = 1] - \Pr_{x \in U_m} [C(x) = 1] \right| < \epsilon.$$

 \uparrow $x \in U_m \leftarrow$ uniform distribution

(This measures how well can C distinguish R from U_m . It has cryptographic origins.)

• Let $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function. A $2^{O(n)}$ -time computable function $G: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^*$ is an

S is the stretch

\rightarrow S -prg if $\forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $G: \{0,1\}^\ell \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{s(\ell)}$ &

$G(U_\ell)$ is $(s(\ell)^3, 0.1)$ -pseudorandom.

Prgs derandomize classes

$S, \ell: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ are poly-time computable & nondecreasing.

Lemma: If there exists an S -prg then \forall function ℓ ,
 $BPTIME(S \circ \ell(n)) \subseteq DTIME(2^{\alpha \ell(n)} \cdot S \circ \ell(n))$.

Proof:

- Idea is to use an S -prg G as the source of (pseudo-)random bits in the randomized algorithm.
- A language $L \in BPTIME(S \circ \ell(n))$ if \exists algorithm M that on input $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ uses $m = O(S \circ \ell(n))$ random bits r & runs for time $O(S \circ \ell(n))$ s.t.
$$\Pr_{r \in U_m} [M(x, r) = L(x)] \geq 3/4.$$
- The derandomization idea is to use an S -prg G to produce r 's:
- On input x , our deterministic algorithm B will go over all $z \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$, compute $M(x, G(z))$ & output the majority vote.

• We claim that $\Pr_{z \in U_{\ell(n)}} [M(x, G(z)) = L(x)] \geq$

$\frac{3}{4} - 0.1 > \frac{1}{2}$, thus, B correctly solves L .

• Suppose not, then $\Pr_{z \in U_{\ell(n)}} [M(x, G(z)) = L(x)]$

$< \frac{3}{4} - 0.1$.

$\Rightarrow \left| \Pr_{z \in U_{\ell(n)}} [M(x, G(z)) = L(x)] - \Pr_{z \in U_m} [M(x, z) = L(x)] \right|$

$> \left| \left(\frac{3}{4} - 0.1 \right) - \left(\frac{3}{4} \right) \right| = 0.1$

• Now consider the circuit C_x that on input $y \in \{0, 1\}^{\text{Sol}(n)}$ outputs 1 iff $M(x, y) = L(x)$.

• Since M is $O(\text{Sol}(n))$ -time we get a (lazy) size bound of $O(\text{Sol}(n))^2$ for C_x . (Exercise)

$\Rightarrow C_x$ distinguishes $G(U_{\ell(n)})$ from $U_{\text{Sol}(n)}$ well, contradicting the fact that G is a S -prg.

$\Rightarrow B$ is a det. algo. solving L in $O(2^{\ell(n)} \cdot \text{Sol}(n))$ -time.

□

- By picking various stretch fns S , we get the following conditional derandomizations:

Corollary: (i) If $\exists 2^{\epsilon l}$ -prg, for some $\epsilon > 0$, then $BPP = P$.
 \uparrow
 exponential stretch

(ii) If $\exists 2^{l^\epsilon}$ -prg, for some $\epsilon > 0$, then
 subexponential stretch $BPP \subseteq Dtime(2^{\text{poly}(\lg(n))}) =: \text{QuasiP}$.

(iii) If $\forall c > 1, \exists l^c$ -prg then $BPP \subseteq \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} Dtime(2^{n^\epsilon}) =: \text{Subexp}$.
 $\uparrow \uparrow$
 polynomial stretch

Proof:

(i) Apply the lemma on $S: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}; n \mapsto 2^{\epsilon n}$ &
 $l: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}; n \mapsto c \cdot \lg n$, for $c \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) On $S: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ & $l: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $c \in \mathbb{N}$.
 $n \mapsto 2^{n^\epsilon}$ $n \mapsto c \cdot (\lg n)^{1/\epsilon}$

(iii) On $S: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ & $l: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for $c \in \mathbb{N}$ &
 $n \mapsto n^c$ $n \mapsto n^\epsilon$ $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$.

□

- How do we construct these prgs?

The only known way is to exploit the hardness (conjectured) of problems!

Hardness & prgs

- We define two types of hardness of boolean functions.

Definition: • For $f: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}$, the average-case hardness $H_{avg}(f)$ is the largest $S(n)$ s.t.
 \forall circuit $C_n \in \text{Size}(S(n))$,
$$\Pr_{x \in U_n} [C(x) = f(x)] < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{S(n)}.$$

• Worst-case hardness $H_{wro}(f)$ is the largest $S(n)$ s.t. \forall circuit $C_n \in \text{Size}(S(n))$,
$$\Pr_{x \in U_n} [C(x) = f(x)] < 1.$$

▷ $H_{avg}(f) \leq H_{wro}(f) < 2^{2n}.$

- Counting methods show that "usually"
 $H_{\text{wrs}}(f) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$.

But we do not know of "natural" f with super-polynomial hardness!

- The conjectured f , of cryptographic significance, are:

(1) $H_{\text{wrs}}(3\text{SAT}) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$?

(2) $H_{\text{avg}}(\text{Int-Fact}) = n^{\omega(1)}$?

- We will later prove that a worst-case hard function gives rise to an average-case one.

The tool would be local list decoding of linear error-correcting codes.

- For now, we relate average-case hardness to derandomization.