

The Replacement Product

- This product is easier to see as a walk rather than a matrix operation.
- Suppose we have an expander G with a high degree- D . In order to do a walk using fewer random bits, we can use another expander G' with vertex- D & degree- d ($\ll D$).
- This motivates the product $G \otimes G'$:

Definition: Let G, G' be graphs with vertices n, D , degrees D, d & normalized adjacency matrices A, A' .

$H := G \otimes G'$ is a nD -vertex graph st.
i) $\forall u \in V(G)$, H has a copy of G' , say H_u , called a cloud. I.e. $\forall i \in V(G')$, $(u, i) \in V(H)$, & is called the i -th vertex in the u -th cloud.

ii) If $\hat{G}(u,i) = (v,j)$ then $((u,i), (v,j)) \in E(H)$.
 Also, if $(i,j) \in E(G')$ then $\forall u \in V(G), ((u,i), (u,j)) \in E(H)$.

$\triangleright H = G \circledast G'$ is nD -vertex, $(d+1)$ -degree.

Pf:

- Any vertex in H corresponds to a vertex (u,i) in $H_u, u \in V(G)$.
 - $\therefore H_u \cong G', (u,i)$ has d neighbours in the cloud.
 - Further property (ii) of the definition adds one edge outside the cloud.
- $\Rightarrow H$ has degree $(d+1)$. \square

Zig-Zag Product

- We consider length-3 paths that zig-zag the clouds.

Zig-Zag product
 \downarrow

Definition: On the vertex set $V(G \circledast G')$ define $H := \underline{G \circledast G'}$ s.t.
 $((u,i), (v,j))$ is an edge if $\exists \ell, k$ with $((u,i), (u,\ell)), ((u,\ell), (v,k)), ((v,k), (v,j)) \in E(G \circledast G')$.

▷ $G \otimes G'$ is nD -vertex, d^2 -degree.

▷ Its normalized adjacency matrix is

$$A \otimes A' := B \hat{A} B, \text{ where}$$

$$\hat{A}[(u,i), (v,j)] = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } \hat{G}(u,i) = (v,j), \\ 0 & , \text{ else.} \end{cases}$$

$$\& B[(u,i), (v,j)] = \begin{cases} A'[i,j] & , \text{ if } u=v, \\ 0 & , \text{ else.} \end{cases}$$

Pf:

• $A \otimes A'$ encodes the definition of $G \otimes G'$.

$$\cdot \text{ Also, } (A \otimes A') \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = B \hat{A} B \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = B \hat{A} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= B \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad [\because \hat{A} \text{ merely permutes }]$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow A \otimes A'$ is stochastic, symmetric. \square

Theorem (Reingold, Vadhan, Wigderson, 2002): If $\lambda(G) = a$ & $\lambda(G') = b$ then $\lambda(G \otimes G') \leq a + 2b + b^2$.

Proof: • Let $M := G \otimes G'$.

• Recall that $M = \hat{B} \hat{A} B$, where \hat{A} is a permutation & $B = I_n \otimes A'$.

• Write $B = I_n \otimes \frac{1}{D} J + I_n \otimes \underbrace{(A' - \frac{1}{D} J)}_E$, where J is the all-one matrix.

• Define $\bar{J} := I_n \otimes \frac{1}{D} J$ & $\bar{E} := I_n \otimes E$.

$$\Rightarrow M = \hat{B} \hat{A} B = (\bar{J} + \bar{E}) \cdot \hat{A} \cdot (\bar{J} + \bar{E})$$

$$= \bar{J} \hat{A} \bar{J} + \bar{J} \hat{A} \bar{E} + \bar{E} \hat{A} \bar{J} + \bar{E} \hat{A} \bar{E}.$$

• For each of these matrices we will upper bound the matrix norm $\|A\| := \max_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|x\|=1}} \|Ax\|$.

$$\lambda(A) = \max_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|x\|=1}} \|Ax\|$$

• First, consider \bar{E} .

• Let $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)^T \in (\mathbb{R}^D)^n$ be a vector.

$$\|\bar{E} \bar{x}\|^2 = \|(I_n \otimes E) \cdot (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)^T\|^2$$

$$= \sum_{u \in [n]} \|E \bar{x}_u\|^2 \leq \sum_u \|E\|^2 \cdot \|\bar{x}_u\|^2$$

↑ defn. of $\|E\|$

$$= \|E\|^2 \cdot \|\bar{x}\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\bar{E}\| \leq \|E\| = \|A' - \frac{1}{D} J\| = \|A'\| = b.$$

• Going back, we have by the triangle inequality:

$$\|M\| \leq \|\bar{J}\hat{A}\bar{J}\| + \|\bar{J}\hat{A}\bar{E}\| + \|\bar{E}\hat{A}\bar{J}\| + \|\bar{E}\hat{A}\bar{E}\|$$

$$\leq \|\bar{J}\hat{A}\bar{J}\| + \|\bar{J}\| \cdot \|\hat{A}\| \cdot \|\bar{E}\| + \dots$$

[$\because \hat{A}, \bar{J}, \bar{E}$ map \bar{T}^\perp to \bar{T}^\perp .]

$$\leq \|\bar{J}\hat{A}\bar{J}\| + 1 \cdot 1 \cdot b + b \cdot 1 \cdot 1 + b \cdot 1 \cdot b$$

• Now we estimate $\|\bar{J}\hat{A}\bar{J}\|$.

$$\triangleright \bar{J}\hat{A}\bar{J} = A \otimes \bar{D}^{-1} \cdot J.$$

$$\text{Pf: } \cdot (\bar{J}\hat{A}\bar{J})_{(u,i),(v,j)} = \bar{J}_{(u,i),-} \cdot \hat{A} \cdot \bar{J}_{-, (v,j)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{D} \cdot A_{u,v} = (A \otimes \bar{D}^{-1} \cdot J)_{(u,i),(v,j)}. \quad \square$$

• Now note that the eigenvalues of J are 1 & 0.

This is because $J \cdot \bar{T} = \bar{T}$ &
 $J \cdot \bar{x} = 0, \forall \bar{x} \in \bar{T}^\perp$.

$$\Rightarrow \|A \otimes \bar{D}^{-1} \cdot J\| = \|A\| = a.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\bar{J}\hat{A}\bar{J}\| = a.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|M\| \leq a + 2b + b^2. \quad \square$$

Theorem: If G, G' are $(n, D, \lambda_1), (D, d, \lambda_2)$ -expanders then $G \otimes G'$ is $(nD, d^2, \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2)$ - " .

- Thus, it reduces the degree dramatically when $D \gg d$, without increasing the λ too much.

The Construction

- Using the three products we now give a strongly explicit construction of expanders,
i.e. given (u, i) the i -th neighbour of u can be computed in $\text{poly}(\lg |V|)$ time.

Theorem: \exists strongly explicit (d, λ) -expander family for poly-many constants $d \in \mathbb{N}$ & $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.