

Pf: • Let  $u \in \mathbb{T}^\perp$  be a unit vector &  $v := Au$ .

• We will show  $1 - \|v\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4dn^3}$ .

$$\text{Thus, } \|v\|^2 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{4dn^3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|v\| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{8dn^3}$$

$$\triangleright 1 - \|v\|^2 = \sum_{i,j \in [n]} A_{ij} \cdot (u_i - v_j)^2$$

Pf:

$$\bullet \sum A_{ij} \cdot (u_i - v_j)^2 = \sum A_{ij} u_i^2 - 2 \sum A_{ij} u_i v_j + \sum A_{ij} v_j^2$$

$$= \sum_i \left( \sum_j A_{ij} \right) \cdot u_i^2 - 2 \cdot \langle Au, v \rangle + \sum_j \left( \sum_i A_{ij} \right) \cdot v_j^2$$

$$= \|u\|^2 - 2 \cdot \|v\|^2 + \|v\|^2$$

$$= 1 - \|v\|^2$$

□

• Thus, it suffices to show that  $\exists i, j$  st.

$$A_{ij} \cdot (u_i - v_j)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4dn^3}$$

• If  $\exists i, (u_i - v_i)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4n^3}$  then we are done (as  $A_{ii} = \frac{1}{d}$ ).

• So, assume that  $\forall i, |u_i - v_i| < \frac{1}{2n^{1.5}}$ .

• Sort the coordinates of  $\bar{u}$ ; wlog

$$u_1 \geq \dots \geq u_n.$$

•  $\sum u_i = 0$  &  $\sum u_i^2 = 1 \Rightarrow$

either  $u_1 \geq 1/\sqrt{n}$  or  $u_n \leq -1/\sqrt{n}$ .

$$\Rightarrow u_1 - u_n \geq 1/\sqrt{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists i_0, u_{i_0} - u_{i_0+1} > 1/n^{1.5}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall i \in [i_0], j \in [i_0+1 \dots n], u_i - u_j > 1/n^{1.5}.$$

• Since  $G$  is connected we can pick such an edge, say  $(i, j)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow A_{i,j} \cdot (u_i - v_j)^2 &\geq \frac{1}{d} \cdot (|u_i - u_j| - |u_j - v_j|)^2 \\ &> \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{1}{n^{1.5}} - \frac{1}{2n^{1.5}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4dn^3}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \|A u\|^2 \geq 1/4dn^3$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \lambda(A) \geq 1/8dn^3. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3: Let  $l := 10dn^3 \lg n$ . If  $s, t$  are connected in  $G$  then  $\Pr[\text{random walk reaches } t \text{ at the } l\text{-th step}] > 1/2n$ .

Pf. • Let  $\bar{p}$  be the probability vector at the  $l$ -th step.

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \text{ Lemma 2 \& 1} &\Rightarrow \|A^l \cdot \bar{e}^s - \bar{1}\| \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{8dn^3}\right)^l \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{8dn^3}\right)^{10dn^3 \lg n} < \frac{1}{2n^{15}}. \end{aligned}$$

• By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\|A^l \bar{e}^s - \bar{1}\|_1 \leq \|A^l \bar{e}^s - \bar{1}\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{n} < \frac{1}{2n}.$$

$$\Rightarrow |(A^l \bar{e}^s - \bar{1})_t| < \frac{1}{2n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr[\text{reaching } t \text{ at } l] > \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{2n} = \frac{1}{2n}. \quad \square$$

- Thus, by continuing this walk for a longer amount we can bring the probability above  $3/4$ .

$$\text{eg. } \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{4n} \leq \frac{1}{e^2} < \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow l = 40dn^4 \lg n \text{ suffices.}$$

- This random walk is in logspace, as we only need to store the current vertex label (of bit-size  $O(\lg n)$ ), proving the theorem.  $\square$

- Can it be derandomized?

This was an intriguing question for three decades, and several tools were developed.

- The basic idea is to convert the input  $G$  to  $G'$  - a graph with constant spectral gap, so that  $l = O(\log n)$  suffices to reach any vertex from  $s$ .

Now one can exhaustively look for all  $O(\log n)$ -length paths from  $s$ , in  $G'$ .

- This relation between spectral gap & connectivity motivates the following two definitions of expanders.

Definition (Algebraic): • We call a graph  $G$  an  $(n, d, \lambda)$ -expander if  $G$  is  $n$ -vertex,  $d$ -regular &  $\lambda(G) \leq \lambda$ .

• A  $(d, \lambda)$ -expander family  $\{G_n\}_n$  is st.  
 $\forall n, G_n$  is an  $(n, d, \lambda)$ -expander.

- Alon-Boppana (1986) showed that  $\lambda(G)$   
is at least  $2\sqrt{d-1}/d$ .

assuming  
a sufficiently  
large  
diameter

The graphs meeting this bound are  
called Ramanujan graphs.

Their explicit constructions are  
due to Lubotzky-Phillips-Sarnak (1988).

Definition (Combinatorial): We call  $G$  an  $(n, d, p)$ -  
edge expander if  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex  
 $d$ -regular graph st.  $\forall S \subseteq V(G)$  with  
 $|S| \leq n/2, |E(S, \bar{S})| \geq p \cdot d \cdot |S|$ .

<sup>R</sup> edges going out of  $S$

- Note: In the algebraic definition we  
desire  $\lambda$  to be small ( $\approx 2/\sqrt{d}$ ), while  
in the combinatorial definition we  
want  $p$  to be large ( $\approx 1/2$ ).