

max. ideal $\downarrow$ dvr $\nwarrow$ frac-field

- Proposition 1: If $k \subset M \subset R \subset K$, then there is a valuation $v: K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Further,

(valuation ring of v)
($v(0) := \infty$)

$\rightarrow R = \{x \in k \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$, and
 $M = \{x \in k \mid v(x) > 0\}$.

Pf. Sketch: Let $M = \langle u \rangle$, where u is called the uniformizer.

- Express any $x \in k$ as $x = u^e \cdot x'$, $x' \in R \setminus M$.
- Define $v(x) := e$. □

- Exercise: If a field K/k has a valuation, then $\exists$ corresponding local domain R of $\dim_k = 1$.

- Property II: The dvr $R \subset K$ has the property that

- Defn: it is "integrally" closed:

If any polynomial $f(X) := X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \in R[X]$ has a root $\alpha \in K$, then $\alpha \in R$.

(I.e. $\alpha \in K$ with monic minimal polynomial over R is in R)

E.g. $\mathbb{Z}$ is integrally closed in $\mathbb{Q}$.

- Thus, to resolve the singularity we just need to pick the integral closure of $G_{x,p} = (k[x_1, x_2] / \langle x_2^2 - x_1^3 \rangle)_{\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle}$ in $k(x) = k(x_1)[x_2] / \langle f \rangle$.

Proposition 2: For a local domain R with fraction field K of $\text{trdeg}_k K = 1$, TFAE:

- (i) R is a dvr.
- (ii) K has a valuation with valuation ring R .
- (iii) R is integrally closed in K .

Pf Sketch: (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let $v: K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the valuation.

• Say, an $x \in K$ satisfies the equation

$$x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0 \text{ with } a_i \text{'s in } R.$$

$$\Rightarrow v(x^n) = v(a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n) \geq v(a_i x^{n-i}) \geq v(x^{n-i}),$$

where $a_i x^{n-i}$ has the min. $v(\cdot)$.

$$\Rightarrow n \cdot v(x) \geq (n-i) v(x) \Rightarrow i \cdot v(x) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v(x) \geq 0. \text{ Thus, } x \in R.$$

• Moreover, the least value element $\pi \in \mathcal{M} := \{x \in K \mid v(x) > 0\}$ generates the unique maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): We will show a "linear term" in the relationship between any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{O}_M$.

• Say, $x_1^{e_1} \cdot (\alpha_1 + f_1(x_1, x_2)) = x_2^{e_2} \cdot (\alpha_2 + f_2(x_1, x_2))$
where $e_1 \leq e_2 \in \mathbb{N}$; $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K^*$ & $f_1, f_2 \in \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$.

• If $e_1 = 0$ then $e_2 = 0$ (else, x_2 becomes a unit in R , violating $\mathcal{O}_M \subsetneq R$).

• So, $0 < e_1 \leq e_2$.

$\Rightarrow x_1/x_2 \in K$ is a root of
$$y^{e_1} = x_2^{e_2 - e_1} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_2 + f_2}{\alpha_1 + f_1} \right)$$

which is a monic poly. in $R[Y]$.

$\Rightarrow x_1/x_2 \in R$ ($\because R$ is integ. closed).

$\Rightarrow \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \cdot R = \langle x_2 \rangle \cdot R$.

• By repeating this process we can deduce that $\mathcal{O}_M$ has a single generator,

$\Rightarrow R$ is a DVR. $\square$

We will now follow the plan: Valuations of $K(\mathbb{A}^1) \rightsquigarrow$
valuations of $K(X) \rightsquigarrow$ "glue" the DVR's to define a nonsingular
projective $\tilde{X}$ birational to X !

Valuations of $K = k(x)$.

- Before understanding valuations of all $\text{trdeg}=1$ fields, we characterize those of the pure transcendental extn. $k(x)/k$.

Defn: For an irreducible $f \in k[x]$, define the subring (of k), $R_f := \{h \in k \mid f \nmid h\}$.

Theorem: The (distinct) valuation rings of K are:
 R_f , for irreducible $f \in k[x]$ &
 $R_{x^{-1}}$, (viewing x^{-1} as an irreducible polynomial in $k[x^{-1}]$).

Pfi: • These rings define valuation on K^* :
eg. for irred. $f \in k[x]$, some $\alpha \in K = k(x)$,
define $v_f(\alpha) := e$, where $\alpha = f^e \cdot \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$ for
some $\beta, \gamma \in k[x]$ coprime to f .
• For $f = x^{-1}$, express $\alpha = \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^e \cdot \frac{\beta(x^{-1})}{\gamma(x^{-1})}$ for
 $\beta, \gamma \in k[y]$ with $\beta(0) \cdot \gamma(0) \neq 0$.