

Det. poly-time primality

- The previous primality tests solve the problem practically.

They can also be derandomized assuming GRH.

- An unconditional derandomization was given by Agrawal-Kayal-S (Aug 2002).

- First, generalize the Fermat identity to polynomials: $(\forall a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*)$

▷ n is prime iff $(x+a)^n \equiv x^n + a \pmod{n}$.
formal variable

Pf.:

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow: (x+a)^n &= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \cdot a^i \cdot x^{n-i} \\ &\equiv x^n + a^n \pmod{n} \\ &\equiv x^n + a \pmod{n} \end{aligned}$$

• \Leftarrow : Suppose n is composite & prime $p \mid n$.

• Then $\binom{n}{p} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. $\Rightarrow (x+a)^n \not\equiv_n x^n + a$. \square

(Exercise)

- The computation $(x+a)^n \bmod n$ is infeasible, as it involves $(n+1) > 2^{\lg n}$ terms!
- But, we could compute $(x+a)^n \bmod \langle n, Q(x) \rangle$ for low-degree polynomials $Q(x)$.

[By $f(x) \bmod \langle n, Q(x) \rangle$ we mean to denote the residue of f in the ring $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[x]/\langle Q(x) \rangle$.

Note that the elements here require only $(\deg Q) \cdot (\lg n)$ bits to represent. Hence, the arithmetic operations have $\tilde{O}(\deg Q \cdot \lg n)$ time complexity.]

- This idea was employed by (Agrawal & Biswas, 1999) to devise a randomized test:

$$\text{Test } (x+1)^n \equiv x^n + 1 \pmod{\langle n, Q(x) \rangle}$$

for a random $Q(x) \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[x]$ of degree $\sim \lg n$.

If n passes the test, OUTPUT prime.

- AKS (2002) derandomized it by studying $(x+a)^n - (x^n+a) \pmod{\langle n, x^r-1 \rangle}$.

AKS test: (Input: $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2}$ in binary.)

- 1) If $\exists a, b > 1, n = a^b$ then OUTPUT composite.
- 2) Compute the smallest $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{ord}_r(n) > 4 \cdot \lg^2 n$.
- 3) If $\exists a \in [r]$, $1 < (a, n) < n$ then OUTPUT composite.
- 4) For $1 \leq a \leq \lceil 2 \cdot \sqrt{r} \cdot \lg n \rceil =: \ell$,
if $(x+a)^n \not\equiv x^n + a \pmod{\langle n, x^r-1 \rangle}$
then OUTPUT composite.
- 5) Else OUTPUT prime.

- Firstly, how big is r ?

- Say, $\forall r \leq R, \text{ord}_r(n) \leq 4 \cdot \lg^2 n$. Then,
 $\forall r \leq R, r \mid \Pi := (n-1)(n^2-1) \cdots (n^{\lfloor 4 \lg^2 n \rfloor} - 1)$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{lcm}\{r \mid r \in [R]\} \mid \pi$$

$$\text{- We know that } \begin{cases} \pi \leq n^{16 \lg^4 n}, & \& \\ \text{lcm}\{r \mid r \leq R\} \geq 2^R. \end{cases}$$

(eg., see prime number estimates.)

$$\Rightarrow 2^R \leq n^{16 \lg^4 n}$$

$$\Rightarrow r \leq R \leq 16 \cdot \lg^5 n.$$

$$\triangleright \text{AKS test has time complexity } l \cdot \lg n \cdot \tilde{O}(r \lg n) \\ = \tilde{O}(\lg^3 n \cdot r^{3/2}) = \tilde{O}(\lg^{10.5} n).$$

Lemma 1: n is prime \Rightarrow AKS outputs "prime".

Pf: $\because (x+a)^n \equiv x^n + a \pmod{\langle n, x^2-1 \rangle}$. \square

Lemma 2: n is composite \Rightarrow AKS outputs "composite".

Proof:

- Ideas: Chinese remaindering on $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ & $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]/\langle x^2-1 \rangle$. Interplay of two groups \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{J} .

- Suppose for a composite n all the congruences in Step 4 hold.

Let prime $p \mid n$.

- We will consider the size of the two associated groups (multiplicative):

(i) $\mathcal{G} := \langle n, p \pmod{n} \rangle$.

Note that $(x+a)^n \equiv x^n + a \pmod{\langle p, x^n - 1 \rangle}$
 $\Rightarrow (x+a)^{n \cdot p^j} \equiv x^{n \cdot p^j} + a \pmod{\langle p, x^n - 1 \rangle}$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is motivated by the exponents in Step 4.

$\triangleright t := \#\mathcal{G} \geq \text{ord}_n(n) > 4 \cdot \log^2 n$.

Pf: Simply, because \mathcal{G} has $\{n, n^2, \dots\} \pmod{n}$. \square

(ii) Let $h \mid \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}$ be an irreducible factor over \mathbb{F}_p .

Define another group

$$\mathcal{J} := \langle x+1, x+2, \dots, x+t \pmod{\langle p, h \rangle} \rangle.$$

Note that $(x+a)^n \equiv x^n + a \pmod{\langle p, h(x) \rangle}$, $a \in [t]$,

implies that also for $f(x) := \prod_{a \in [t]} (x+a)^{i_a}$
 $f(x)^n \equiv f(x^n) \pmod{\langle p, h \rangle}$.

$\Rightarrow J$ is motivated by the base in Step 4.

$$\triangleright \#J \geq 2^{\min(\ell, t)} > n^{2\sqrt{t}}$$

Pf: • Let f, g be product of $\leq t$ many $(x+a)$'s.

• If $f \equiv g \pmod{\langle p, h \rangle}$ then by Step 4:

$$\forall m \in \mathcal{J}, f(x^m) \equiv g(x^m) \pmod{\langle p, h \rangle}$$

$\Rightarrow f(Y) - g(Y)$ has $\#\mathcal{J} = t$ distinct roots

in the field $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle h(x) \rangle$, though
its $\deg < t$.

$$\Rightarrow f - g = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow \#J \geq \#(\text{deg} \leq t \text{ polynomials formed by multiplying } x+a \text{'s}) \geq 2^{\min(\ell, t)}.$

• Note that $\min(\ell, t) \geq \min(2\sqrt{t} \cdot \lg n, t)$

$$\gg \min(2\sqrt{t} \cdot \lg n, t) > 2\sqrt{t} \cdot \lg n.$$

$$\Rightarrow \#J > n^{2\sqrt{t}}.$$

□

▷ J is a cyclic group.

- $\because \#J = t$, $\exists (i, j) \neq (i', j')$, $0 \leq i, j, i', j' \leq \sqrt{t}$
s.t. $n^i p^j \equiv n^{i'} p^{j'} \pmod{r}$.

$$\Rightarrow \forall f \in J, f(x^{n^i p^j}) \equiv f(x^{n^{i'} p^{j'}}) \pmod{\langle p, h \rangle}$$
$$\Rightarrow \text{(Step 4)} \quad f^{n^i p^j} \equiv f^{n^{i'} p^{j'}} \pmod{\langle p, h \rangle}$$

$$\Rightarrow n^i p^j \equiv n^{i'} p^{j'} \pmod{\#J}$$

- As $|n^i p^j|, |n^{i'} p^{j'}| \leq n^{2\sqrt{t}} < \#J$,
we deduce $n^i p^j = n^{i'} p^{j'}$
 $\Rightarrow n$ is a power of p , a \downarrow .

- The contradiction means that n is prime
at Step 5.

□

RSA (Public-key cryptosystem)

- Cryptology is a major consumer of number theory.
- Primality & integer factoring appear in a cryptosystem by Rivest, Shamir & Adleman (1977).

Preprocessing:

- 1) Carefully choose prime $p \neq q$.
- 2) $n := p \cdot q$ & $\phi(n) := (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$.
- 3) Choose $1 < e < \phi(n)$ coprime to $\phi(n)$ & n .
 (n, e) is the public key.
- 4) $d := e^{-1} \pmod{\phi(n)}$ is the private key.

Encryption: $m \mapsto m^e \pmod{n}$.

Decryption: $c \mapsto c^d \pmod{n}$.

$$\triangleright m \mapsto m^e \mapsto (m^e)^d \equiv m^{1+k \cdot \phi(n)} \equiv m \pmod{n}.$$

OPEN: Given (n, e) , is there an efficient way to compute $e^{-1} \pmod{\phi(n)}$ (or $c^{1/e} \pmod{n}$)?

Exercise: $\phi(n)$ & factoring n are equivalent up to randomized poly-time.

\triangleright Integer factoring cracks RSA.

(RSA problem) \triangleright e -th root finding (i.e. $c^{1/e} \pmod{n}$) also cracks RSA.

OPEN: Is RSA problem equivalent to integer factoring up to randomized poly-time?