

Blackbox factoring of multivariate

- Given a polynomial $f(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ of degree d .
We want to factor f in $\text{poly}(nd)$ -time (randomized algo.).

Moreover, we assume that f is available only via an oracle. I.e. we can only evaluate f :

$$\bar{x} \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\quad} \boxed{f} \xrightarrow{\quad} f(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{F}$$

- This is a powerful model as f could be "any" deg- d , $(n+1)$ -variate polynomial!

- We cannot apply the Hensel lifting based factoring algo. directly, as :

- (1) it requires the "dense" representation of f ,
- (2) its complexity is bad - d^n time.

Idea: "Randomly" reduce f to a 3-variate projection $f_a(x, t_1, t_2)$.

- Factor f_a in randomized poly-time.
 - Reconstruct the blackboxes for the factors of f , from the factors of f_a .
- The first step has its origins from the famous "Hilbert's irreducibility theorem" (Short, HLT).

Theorem (Hilbert 1892): Let $S \subseteq F$ be a finite set of size $\geq 7d^6$, $f(x, \bar{y})$ be a monic polynomial in x with total degree d .

If $\partial_x f \neq 0$ and

$$\Pr_{\substack{\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in S^n}} [f(x, a_1t + b_1, \dots, a_n t + b_n) \text{ is reducible}] \geq (7d^6 + 2d^2 + d)/|S|$$

then f is reducible.

- Thus, reducibility in $F[x, t]$ relates to $F[x, \bar{y}]$.

- The proof of this theorem will require several lemmas.
- First, we show that given any $f(x, \bar{y})$, we can ensure $\deg_x f = \deg f(x, \bar{0})$. \leftarrow almostmonic in x
- The idea is to randomly shift \bar{y} by $\bar{a} \in F^h$ to $f'(x, \bar{y}) := f(x, y_1 + a_1, \dots, y_n + a_n)$.
It can be shown that the leading coefficient (wrt x) in f' is in $F^* \bmod \langle \bar{y} \rangle$.

fraction
of zeros

Lemma 1 (DeMillo-Lipton '78, Zippel '79, Schwartz '80):

Let $F(\bar{y}) \in F[\bar{y}]$ be of $\deg \leq d$ & $S \subseteq F$ be a finite set of size $> d$. If $F \neq 0$ then

$$\Pr_{\bar{a} \in S^h} [F(\bar{a}) = 0] \leq \frac{d}{|S|}. \quad [\text{I.e. nonzeros are dense in } S^h]$$

Pf sketch:

- When F is a univariate, it is clear.
- For a multivariate F , use induction.

□

- Thus, any polynomial $f(x, \bar{y}) = \sum_{i=0}^e p_i(\bar{y}) \cdot x^i$ when randomly shifted to $f(x, \bar{y} + \bar{a})$ has the leading coefficient $p_e(\bar{y} + \bar{a})$ with a nonzero constant term $p_0(\bar{a})$, with high probability.
 $\Rightarrow p_e(\bar{y} + \bar{a}) \neq 0 \pmod{\langle \bar{y} \rangle}$.
- From now on we assume $f(x, \bar{y})$ to be almostmonic in x . It is easy to deduce:
- > If $f(x, \bar{y})$ is almost-monic in x & $g|f$, then $g(x, \bar{y})$ is also almost-monic in x .
- We will also need to handle square-fullness.

Lemma 2: If $\partial_x f \neq 0$ & $\Pr_{\bar{b} \in S^n} [f(x, \bar{b}) \text{ is square-full}] \geq 2d^2/|S|$

then f is reducible.

Pf: • Let $r_x(\bar{y}) := \text{res}_x(f, \partial_x f)$.

• We know that: $f(x, \bar{b})$ is square-full \Rightarrow

$$r(\bar{t}) = 0.$$

- Also, we have $\deg r(\bar{y}) < 2d^2$.
- \Rightarrow (by Lemma 1) $\Pr_{\bar{t} \in S^n} [r(\bar{t}) = 0] < 2d^2/|S|$.
- As this contradicts the hypothesis, we deduce $r=0$.
 $\Rightarrow \gcd_x(f, \alpha_x f) \neq 1$.
 $\Rightarrow f$ is reducible. D

- Thus, we could assume that a random projection $f(x, \bar{a}t + \bar{b})$ is square-free whp (otherwise we already deduce that f is reducible).
- So it suffices to prove the following :

Theorem (H.I.T.) : Let $f(x, \bar{y})$ be almost-monic in x , & has degree $\leq d$. If

$$\Pr_{\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in S^n} [f(x, \bar{a}t + \bar{b}) \text{ is } \underline{\text{reducible}} \text{ &} f(x, \bar{b}) \text{ is } \underline{\text{sq-free}}] \geq \frac{7d^6}{|S|}$$

then f is reducible.

Idea — We want to move from \bar{a} to formal \bar{y} .

- Pf:
- Let $f(x, \bar{a}t + \bar{b})$ be reducible & sq-free.
 - For simplicity we work with $\bar{b} = 0$.
 - Let $f(x, \bar{a}t)$ factor as:

$$f(x, \bar{a}t) \equiv g_0(x) \cdot h_0(x) \pmod{t}.$$

[$\deg_x f = \deg f(x, 0)$, g_0 is an irredu. proper factor coprime to h_0]

- Which on Hensel lifting gives:

$$f(x, \bar{a}t) \equiv g_{k, \bar{a}}(x, t) \cdot h_{k, \bar{a}}(x, t) \pmod{t^{2^k}}. \quad \dots \text{--- (i)}$$

- We could take another Hensel lifting route:

$$f(x, \bar{y}t) \equiv g_0(x) \cdot h_0(x) \pmod{\langle \bar{y} \rangle}.$$

$\deg \text{ wrt } t$
is $< 2^k \rightarrow$

$$f(x, \bar{y}t) \equiv g'_k(x, t, \bar{y}) \cdot h'_k(x, t, \bar{y}) \pmod{\langle \bar{y} \rangle^{2^k}}.$$

$$\Rightarrow " \equiv " \pmod{t^{2^k}}.$$

[$\because t$ is merely a $\deg_{\bar{y}}$ -counter] --- (ii)

- By the factorizations (i) & (ii) of $f(x, \bar{a}t)$, and the uniqueness of Hensel lifting ($\because f$ is almost-monic in x), we conclude:

$g'_{k, \bar{a}}(x, t) = g'_k(x, t, \bar{a}) \pmod{t^{2^k}}.$

$\text{--- becomes the common thread}$

- Thus, $g'_k(x, t, \bar{y})$ is a potential factor of $f(x, \bar{y}t)$. But, we need to do some more work as in the case of "bivariate factoring".

Claim 1: By the prob. hypothesis of the thm., there are

$\frac{k}{d} \leq 2\tilde{d} \rightarrow$ polynomials $g(x, t, \bar{y})$ & $\ell_k(x, t, \bar{y})$ satisfying a nontrivial eqn. $g \equiv g'_k \cdot \ell_k \pmod{t^{2^k}}$,
 with $\deg_x g < \deg_x f(x, \bar{y}t)$, $\deg_t g \leq d$,
 $\deg_{\bar{y}} g := \sum_{i=1}^n \deg_{y_i} g \leq 6d^5$.

Pf: • We have a good fraction of \bar{a} in S^h s.t.
 $f(\bar{x}, \bar{a}t)$ has a liftable factorization;
 implying the existence of $g_{\bar{a}}, \ell_{k, \bar{a}}$ s.t.

$$\deg_t g_{\bar{a}}(x, t) \equiv g'_k(x, t, \bar{a}) \cdot \ell_{k, \bar{a}}(x, t) \pmod{t^{2^k}}$$

- Here, #unknowns $< d \cdot d + d \cdot 2^k \leq (d^2 + 2d^2) \leq 3d^3$.

- Now consider the homog. br. system

$$g(x, t, \bar{y}) \equiv g'_k(x, t, \bar{y}) \cdot \ell_k(x, t, \bar{y}) \pmod{t^{2^k}}$$

viewing g, g'_k, ℓ_k as bivariate over $\mathbb{F}(\bar{y})$.

- Obviously, the #unknowns m is still $< 3d^3$.
- If it has no solution, then the corresponding $m \times m$ matrix M (with entries as coefficients of g_k) has a nonzero determinant $D(\bar{y})$.

$$\Rightarrow \deg D(\bar{y}) < m \cdot 2^k \leq 3d^3 \cdot 2d^2 = 6d^5.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr_{\bar{a} \in S^n} [D(\bar{a}) = 0] \leq 6d^5 / |S|.$$

- On the other hand, by the hypothesis, the system has a solution for "many" $\bar{y} = \bar{a} \in S^n$, in which cases $D(\bar{a}) = 0$.

This contradiction implies $D(\bar{y}) = 0$.

$\Rightarrow g(x, t, \bar{y}) \& l_k$ do exist!

- The $\sum_i \deg_{y_i} g \leq \deg |M|$ follows from the Cramer's rule of solving linear system of equations. \square

- Finally, we want to use $g(x, t, \bar{y})$ to factor $f(x, \bar{y}t)$.

- actually,
 $t=1$ suffices
 here \rightarrow
- Consider $r(t, \bar{y}) := \gcd_x(f(x, \bar{y}t), g(x, t, \bar{y}))$.
 $\Rightarrow \deg r \leq d \cdot (d+d+6d^5) < 7d^6 [\because d \geq 2]$
 [However, $\deg_t r \leq d \cdot d = d^2 < 2^k$.]
 - On the other hand, we know from "bivariate factoring" proof & the construction of g that $r(t, \bar{a}) = 0$, for a "good" fraction of $\bar{a} \in S^n$.
 $\Rightarrow r(t, \bar{y}) = 0$.
 $\Rightarrow \gcd_x(f(x, \bar{y}t), g(x, t, \bar{y})) \neq 1$.
 $\Rightarrow f$ is reducible.
 - This proves HIT ! \square

Blackbox factoring algorithm

Oracle to

Input: $f(x, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{F}[x, \bar{y}]$ of $\deg d$ & $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ s.t. $|S| > 7d^7$.
 f is almost-monic in x & $\partial_n f \neq 0$.

Output: Blackboxes to the factors of f .

Also: 1) We compute the number of factors by :
 1.1) Pick $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in S^n$ randomly.

1.2) Factor $f_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}}(x, t) := f(x, \bar{a}t + \bar{b})$.

Let $\{\tilde{f}_i(x, t) \mid i \in [\ell]\}$ be the irreducible factors.

[\triangleright Why ℓ is the number of factors of $f(x, \bar{y})$.

Pf: Let $f_i(x, \bar{y})$, $i \in [\ell']$, be the actual factors.

These are all almost-monic irreducibles.

By H.I.T.: $f_i(x, \bar{a}t + \bar{b})$ is reducible with prob.
 $< 7d^6/|S|$.

$\Rightarrow \Pr[\exists i, f_i(x, \bar{a}t + \bar{b}) \text{ reduces}] < 7d^7/|S|$. \square

2) Assuming that $\tilde{f}_i(x, t)$ is the projection of an actual factor, i.e. $\tilde{f}_i = f_i(x, \bar{a}t + \bar{b})$, we want to compute the value $f_i(\alpha, \bar{\beta})$ for any given $(\alpha, \bar{\beta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1}$.

For this we define a trivariate that "contains" both the projections of f to the line $\bar{a}t + \bar{b}$ & the point $(\alpha, \bar{\beta})$:

$$g(x, t_1, t_2) := f(x, \bar{a}t_1 + \bar{b} + (\bar{\beta} - \bar{b})t_2).$$

$\triangleright g(x, t, 0) = f(x, \bar{a}t + \bar{b})$ & $g(\alpha, 0, 1) = f(\alpha, \bar{\beta})$.

3) Now we factor g to compute $f_i(\alpha, \bar{\beta})$:

3.1) Using 3-variate factoring, find the irreducible factors $\{g_j(x, t_1, t_2) \mid j \in [\ell]\}$ whp.

3.2) Find the index j s.t. $\tilde{f}_i(x, t) = g_j(x, t, 0)$.

3.3) Output $g_j(\alpha, 0, 1)$.

[Whp we will get the factors g_j that exactly are projections like $f_i(x, \bar{\alpha}t_1 + \bar{t}_1 + (\bar{\beta} - \bar{t}_1)t_2)$.]

Theorem (Kaltofen & Trager, 1990): Given $f(x, \bar{y})$, as a blackbox, one can factorize f (as blackboxes) in randomized $\text{poly}(n, d)$ time (assuming that univariate factoring can be done).