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## Important Result

- Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2,(a, n)=$

1. Then $n$ is prime if and only if
$(X+a)^{n}=X^{n}+a(\bmod n)$.
Proof. For $0<i<n$, the coeffecient of $x^{i}$ in
$\left((X+a)^{n}-\left(X^{n}+a\right)\right.$ is $\binom{n}{i} a^{n-i}$.
Suppose n is prime. Then $\binom{n}{i}=0(\operatorname{modn})$ and hence all coeffecients are zero.
Suppose $n$ is composite. Consider a prime $q$ that is a factor of $n$ and let $q^{k} \mid n$. Then $q^{k}$ does not divide $\binom{n}{q}$ and is coprime to $a^{n-q}$ and hence the coeffecient of $X^{q}$ is not zero $(\bmod n)$. Thus $\left((X+a)^{n}-\left(X^{n}+a\right)\right.$ is not identically zero over $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$

## Problem

- However, the above test takes time $\Omega(\mathrm{n})$ because we need to evaluate n coeffecients in the LHS in the worst case.
- There are two problems which we are facing right now :
-Evaluating $(X+a)^{n}$ requires $n$ multiplications. $-(X+a)^{n}$ has $n+1$ coeffecients which take $\omega(n)$ time in worst case to evaluate .
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## Solutions

- Use repeated Squaring to calculate $(X+a)^{n}$.
- Evaluate both sides of (1) modulo a polynomial of the form $X^{r}-1$ for an appropriately chosen $r$.
- Test if the following equation is satisfied

$$
(X+a)^{n}=X^{n}+a\left(\bmod X^{r}-1, n\right)
$$

- All Primes $n$ satisfy the equation for all values of $a$ and $r$.
- Problem Now is that some composites $n$ may also satisfy the equation for few values of $a$ and $r$.


## Solution to the above Problem

- We show for an appropriately chosen $r$ if the equation is satisfied for several a's then $n$ must be a prime power.
- The number of a's and the appropriate $r$ are both bounded by a polynomial in $\log n$.
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## Algorithm

Input: Integer $\mathrm{n}>1$.

1. If $\left(n=a^{b}\right.$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left.b>1\right)$, output COMPOSITE.
2. Find the smallest $r$ such that $o_{r}(n)>\log ^{2} n$.
3.If $1<(a, n)<n$ for some $a \leq r$ output COMPOSITE .
4.If $n \leq r$,output PRIME .
5.For $a=1$ to $\lfloor 2 \sqrt{r} \log (n)\rfloor d o$
if $\left((X+a)^{n} \neq X^{n}+a\left(\bmod X^{r}-1, n\right)\right)$, output COMPOSITE;
6.Output Prime .
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- If n is prime steps (1),(3) and (5) cannot return Composite .Then Either Step (4) or (6) is going to output prime.
- If step(4) returns prime then $n$ must be prime.
- If it would not have been prime then step(3) would have found a prime p|n output COMPOSITE.
- Therefore, algorithm returns prime if n is prime.
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2. Find the smallest $r$ such that $o_{r}(n)>\log ^{2} n$.
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3. For $a=1$ to $2 \sqrt{r} \log (n)$ do
if $\left((X+a)^{n} \neq X^{n}+a\left(\bmod X^{r}-1, n\right)\right)$, output COMPOSITE;
6.Output Prime .

- If the algorithm does not halt after step(3) or step(4) then following observations are evident :
- $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{r}$
- There must exist a prime divisor $p$ of $n$ such that $p>r$.
- $(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r})=1 \Rightarrow p, n \in Z_{r}^{*}$
- Also let $I=2 \sqrt{r} \log n$.
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## Introspective Numbers

Call a number $m$ introspective if

$$
(X+a)^{m}=X^{m}+a\left(\bmod X^{r}-1, p\right) \forall 1 \leq a \leq I
$$

- If $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are introspective numbers then so is $m_{1} m_{2}$
- Proof-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (X+a)^{m_{2}}-\left(X^{m_{2}}+a\right)=\left(X^{r}-1\right) g(x)+p . h(x) \text { for some } \\
& g(x), p(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow\left(X^{m_{1}}+a\right)^{m_{2}}-\left(X^{m_{1} m_{2}}+a\right) \\
& \quad=\left(X^{m_{1} r}-1\right) g\left(X^{m_{1}}\right)+p \cdot h\left(X^{m_{1}}\right) \\
& \quad=0\left(\bmod X^{r}-1, p\right) \\
& \Rightarrow(X+a)^{m_{1} m_{2}}=\left(X^{m_{1}}+a\right)^{m_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Numbers of the form $p^{i} n^{j}$

- If algorithm outputs Composite at step (5) then we are done.
- If the algorithm does not output Composite at step(5) then such n has verified I equations.
- $(X+a)^{n}=X^{n}+a\left(\bmod X^{r}-1, n\right) 0 \leq a \leq I$.
- This implies $(X+a)^{n}=X^{n}+a\left(\bmod X^{r}-1, p\right)$
- and For Prime Factor of $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{p}$ we have:
$(X+a)^{p}=X^{p}+a\left(\bmod X^{r}-1, p\right)$.
- Hence for each $m$ of the form $p^{i} n^{j}$ we have $(X+a)^{m}=X^{m}+a$ for $a=1 \ldots /$
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- $I=\left(n^{i} . p^{j} \mid i, j \geq 0\right)$.
- $P=\left(\prod_{a=0}^{l}(X+a)^{e_{a}} \mid e_{a} \geq 0\right)$.
- Clearly ,Every member of set I is introspective for every member of set $P$.
- Also, let $\hat{l}=p^{i} n^{j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq \sqrt{t}$.
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- We define a group $G=n^{i} p^{j}$ modulo $r$ and let $t$ be the order of this group.
- Let $Q_{r}(X)$ be the $r^{t} h$ cyclotomic polynomial over $F_{p}$.
- Polynomial $Q_{r}(X)$ divides $X^{r}-1$ and factors into irreducible factors of degree $o_{r}(p)$.
- Let $h(x)$ be one such irreducible factor .
- Since $o_{r}(p)>1$, degree of $h(X)$ is greater than 1 .
- Let $F$ be field which consists of the set of all residues of Polynomials in P modulo $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{X})$.
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- Clearly, $t \geq \operatorname{ord}_{r}(n)$
- Any $m \in \hat{I}$ is atmost $n^{2 \sqrt{t}}$
- $|\hat{i}|=(\sqrt{t}+1)^{2}>t$.
- Since $|\mathrm{G}|=\mathrm{t}$, at least two numbers in $\hat{I}$ must be equal modulo $r . \Rightarrow m_{1}=m_{2}+k r$
- $(X+a)^{m_{1}}=\left(X^{m_{1}}+a\right)=\left(X^{m_{2}+k r}+a\right)=X^{m_{2}}+a=$ $(X+a)^{m_{2}}\left(\bmod X^{r}-1, p\right)$
- Consider the Polynomial $Z^{m_{1}}-Z^{m_{2}}$ has several roots namely, $X+a$, for $a=1,2, \ldots, I$.
- If $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \hat{I}$ are such that
$(X+a)^{m_{1}}=(X+a)^{m_{2}}\left(\bmod X^{r}-1, p\right)$ for $a=1,2, \ldots, I$ then
we want conditions under which $m_{1}=m_{2}$
- We want to show that it has more roots than its degree .If we can show that , we will force $m_{1}=m_{2}$.
- In a field, a non zero polynomial of degree $d$ has atmost $d$ roots.
- If we show $m_{1}=m_{2}$ then $p^{i 1} n^{j 1}=p^{i 2} n^{j 2} \Rightarrow \mathrm{n}$ is a prime power.
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## Forcing $m_{1}=m_{2}$

- If $\eta$ is the primitive $r^{\text {th }}$ root of unity, then $\eta+a$ is the root of the equation $h(Z)=Z^{m_{1}}-Z^{m_{2}}$.
- Also note that if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the roots of $h$ then so are $\alpha \beta$.
- Let $S=\left(\prod_{a=1}^{l}(\eta+a)^{e_{a}} \mid e_{a} \in 0,1\right)$
- Each element of $S$ is the root of $h$.
- If we force number of roots to be greater than degree we get $2^{I}>n^{2 \sqrt{t}} \Rightarrow I>2 \sqrt{t} \log n$ Then we force $m_{1}=m_{2}$.
- Now we need to force each root of $S$ to be distinct for above claim to be true .
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- So there are at least $t$ roots of $Q(X)$ in $F$.
- Since these polynomials are of degree atmost I If we ensure that $t>I$, we show $Q(X)=0 \Rightarrow f(X)=g(X)$
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- Hence there is a number $r=O\left(\log ^{5} n\right) \geq T$.

