

- Let $S := \{x \in \{0,1\}^n \mid \phi(x) = 1\}$.
- With prob. $\geq 1/n$ we would have chosen k s.t. $|S| \in [2^{k-2}, 2^{k-1}]$.
- Conditioned on that, with prob. $\geq 1/8$ we would have chosen B, b s.t. $\#\{x \in S \mid h_{B,b}(x) = 0^k\} = 1$.

\Rightarrow With prob. $\geq 1/8n$ we would have k, B, b s.t. $\#\psi = 1$ (so, odd!). □

- This randomly & efficiently reduces NP to $\oplus P$.

- Now, we will use this idea repeatedly to randomly reduce PH to $\oplus P$.

- We intend to replace \exists, \forall quantifiers by a new quantifier — \oplus .

- Defn: For a boolean formula $\phi(x)$,
 $\oplus x, \phi(x)$ is called true if
 $\#\phi$ is odd.

Lemma 1: Let $c \in \mathbb{N}$ be a constant. There is
a poly-time TM A s.t. for every
quantified formula ψ with c alter-
nations of \forall, \exists we have:

ψ is true $\Rightarrow \Pr_z [A(z, \psi) \in \oplus \text{SAT}] \geq 2/3$

& ψ is false $\Rightarrow \Pr_z [A(z, \psi) \in \oplus \text{SAT}] < 1/3$.

Proof sketch:

- Our aim is to replace the \forall/\exists quantifiers one-by-one by the \oplus quantifier.

- Let us sketch the (inductive) proof for $\psi = \oplus z \in \{0,1\}^l, \exists x \in \{0,1\}^n, \forall w \in \{0,1\}^k \phi(z, x, w)$.

• By the Valiant-Vazirani technique, there exists a formula $\tilde{\tau}$ s.t. for a random string r ,

$$\Pr_r [\oplus x, (\forall w \phi(z, x, w) \wedge \tau(x, r)) \text{ is true}] \geq 1/8n$$

if $\exists x \forall w \phi(z, x, w)$ is true, &

$$\Pr_r [\oplus x, (\forall w \phi(z, x, w) \wedge \tau(x, r)) \text{ is true}] = 0$$

if $\exists x \forall w \phi(z, x, w)$ is false.

• Thus,

$$\Pr_r [\oplus z, \oplus x, (\forall w \phi \wedge \tau) \text{ is true}] \geq \left(\frac{1}{8n}\right)^2$$

if $\psi = \oplus z, \exists x, \forall w, \phi$ is true.

\Rightarrow We have randomly reduced ψ to $\oplus(z, x), (\forall w \phi \wedge \tilde{\tau})$ but the probability

of success is very low.
How to increase it?

- For a fixed z , repeat the transformation t times for random strings

prob.

amplification

r_1, \dots, r_t

$$\Pr_{r_1, \dots, r_t} \left[\bigvee_{i=1}^t \oplus x, (\forall w \in \Lambda \tilde{z}_i) \text{ is true} \right] \geq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{8n}\right)^t$$

if $\exists x, \forall w \in \Lambda \tilde{z}$ is true, &
 $\Pr_{r_1, \dots, r_t} [\dots] < \left(1 - \frac{1}{8n}\right)^t$ otherwise.

- Now considering all $z \in \{0, 1\}^l$:

$$\Pr_{r_1, \dots, r_t} \left[\oplus z, \bigvee_{i=1}^t \oplus x, (\forall w \in \Lambda \tilde{z}_i) \text{ is true} \right] \geq 1 - 2^l \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{8n}\right)^t$$

if ψ is true; $< 2^l \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{8n}\right)^t$ otherwise.

- Note that for $t = 16nl$ we get

$$2^l \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{8n}\right)^t = 2^l \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{8n}\right)^{8n \cdot 2l}$$

$$\leq 2^l \cdot (e^{-1})^{2l} < 1/3.$$

- Thus, we randomly reduced ψ to

$$\psi' := \bigoplus z, \bigvee_{i=1}^t \bigoplus x (\forall w \beta \wedge \tau_i)$$

$$=: \bigoplus z, \bigvee_{i=1}^t \bigoplus x \phi_i(z, x).$$

- We now want to remove the V operator.
- Let us consider a simplified situation:

$$(\bigoplus x F_1(x)) V (\bigoplus y F_2(y)).$$

- We remove the V by introducing three new variables u_1, u_2, u_3 & a "+1" operation on formulas:
 For a formula $F(\bar{x})$, $F+1$ denotes

$$(u=0 \wedge F(\bar{x})) V (u=1 \wedge \bar{x}=0^n).$$

• Clearly, $\#(F+1) = (\#F) + 1$.

• Coming back to $\oplus x F_1 \vee \oplus y F_2$ we consider:

$$\oplus (x, y, u_1, u_2, u_3) \left(\underbrace{(\underbrace{F_1+1}_{\text{in}(x, u_1)} \wedge \underbrace{F_2+1}_{\text{in}(y, u_2)})}_{\text{in}(x, y, u_1, u_2, u_3)} + 1 \right).$$

▷ This is true iff $\oplus x F_1 \vee \oplus y F_2$ is true.

• Thus, by induction, we can randomly reduce $\psi = \oplus z \exists x \forall w \phi(z, x, w)$ to $\oplus z \oplus x^* \forall w \phi'(z, x^*, w)$, for some boolean formula ϕ' .

• Next, we remove ' \forall ' by using:
 $\oplus x \forall y F(x, y) \equiv \oplus x \exists y \neg F(x, y)$.

⇒ We end up (randomly) with:

$$\bigoplus z \bigoplus x^* \bigoplus w^* \Phi''(z, x^*, w^*)$$

which is equivalent to $\psi = \bigoplus z \exists x \forall w \phi$.

- Since, in a more general ψ we have c (constant) many quantifiers, we get only a polynomial blowup in the formula size.

⇒ $\Sigma_c \text{Sat}$ randomly reduces to $\bigoplus \text{SAT}$
(with an error prob. $< 1/3$). □

▷ We have a randomized poly-time reduction from PH to $\bigoplus P \subseteq P^{\#P}$.

- How do we derandomize it?

Idea: Amplify the (mod 2) value to (mod 2^m) value, for a larger m .