

- Let us study an execution of  $N$  on  $x$ .
- Say,  $N$  makes the bit choices,  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m \in \{0, 1\}$ .

- Say,  $N$  queries SAT on the formulas,  $\varphi_{\bar{c}, 1}, \varphi_{\bar{c}, 2}, \dots, \varphi_{\bar{c}, k}$  & gets answers,  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \{0, 1\}$ .

- Then,  $x \in L$  iff  $\exists c_1, \dots, c_m, a_1, \dots, a_k$ ,  
( $N$  accepts  $x$  on the path  $\langle c_1, \dots, c_m \rangle$  &  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$  are the correct answers).

iff for YES answers for NO answers

$\exists \bar{c}, \bar{a} \exists u_1, \dots, u_k \forall v_1, \dots, v_k$  s.t.

$N$  accepts  $x$  on the path  $\bar{c}$  & the

answers  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$  AND

$\forall i \in [k], (a_i = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi_{\bar{c}, i}(u_i) = 1)$  AND

$\forall i \in [k], (a_i = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi_{\bar{c}, i}(v_i) = 0)$ .

$\Rightarrow L \in \Sigma_2 \Rightarrow NP^{\text{SAT}} \subseteq \Sigma_2$ .

$\Rightarrow \Sigma_2 = NP^{\text{SAT}} = NP^{\text{NP}} \quad \square$

- Exercise: Complete the pf. for  $i > 2$ .

- Thus,  $\Sigma_2 = NP^{NP}$ ,  $\Sigma_3 = NP^{NP^{NP}}$ , ...

- Note:  $P^P = P$  but  $NP^{NP}$  is conjectured to be harder than  $NP$ .

### Between PH & Pspace: Counting

- Define #SAT:  $\{\text{boolean formula } \phi\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$   
 $\phi \mapsto \# \text{ sat. assgn. of } \phi$

- Is #SAT eff. computable?

Definition: FP :=  $\{f \mid f \text{ is a fn. } \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \text{ computable by a poly-time TM } M_f\}$ .

Open: #SAT  $\notin$  FP?

- #SAT motivates the defn. of #P:

Defn: A fn.  $f: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is in #P if there is a poly-time TM  $M$  & a constant  $c > 0$  st.  $\forall x, f(x) = \#\{y \in \{0,1\}^{|x|^c} \mid M(x,y)=1\}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  #P is the collection of functions that count the # accepting-paths of an efficient NDTM.

Proposition: (i) #SAT  $\in$  #P.

(ii) FP  $\subseteq$  #P. Open: FP  $\neq$  #P?

(iii) NP  $\subseteq$  P<sup>#P</sup>  $\subseteq$  Pspace.

Using a fn. as an oracle.

prob. poly-time

- #P has an analogous decision version:

Defn: A lang.  $L \in$  PP if  $\exists$  poly-time TM  $M$  & a constant  $c > 0$  st.  $\forall x, x \in L$  iff  $\#\{y \in \{0,1\}^{|x|^c} \mid M(x,y)=1\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{|x|^c}$ .

← A function in PP computes the most-significant-bit of the corresponding fn. in #P!

Proposition: (1)  $\#SAT \in FP^{PP}$ .  
(2)  $P^{\#P} = P^{PP}$ .

Proof:

(1) Let  $\phi$  be a boolean formula in vars.  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

- Using PP we could know whether  $\#(\text{sat. - assign. of } \phi) \geq 2^{n-1}$  or not.
- Wlog we assume it  $\geq 2^{n-1}$ .

(else we work with  $\neg\phi$ .)

• Think of  $\{0,1\}^n$  to be lexicographically ordered.

• We want to find a string  $x$  st. there are exactly  $2^{n-1}$  strings  $y > x$  with  $\phi(y) = 1$ .

→ (X can be found in  $n$  calls to PP.)

• Note that for  $x < X$ ,  $x_1 = 0$ .

For  $X$  query  
PP at the  
 $n$ -variate  
formula  
 $\phi \wedge (x_1 = 1)$ .

$\Rightarrow$  The boolean formula  $\psi :=$

$$\phi \wedge (x < X) \wedge (x_1 = 0)$$

has  $\#(\text{sat. assign.}) = \#(\text{sat. assign. of } \phi) - 2^{n-1}$ .

$(n-1)$ -  
variate  $\rightarrow$  • Thus, the answer of the PP-oracle on  $\psi$  gives us the 2nd most-significant-bit of  $\#(\text{sat. assign. of } \phi)$ .

• By repeating this process we can compute all the bits of  $\#(\text{sat. assign. of } \phi)$ .

$\Rightarrow \#SAT \in FP^{PP}$ .

(2). We have shown  $P^{\#SAT} \subseteq P^{PP}$ .

• Later we will show that  $\#SAT$  is  $\#P$ -complete.

$$\Rightarrow P^{\#P} \subseteq P^{PP}$$

• The other direction is trivial.  $\square$