

- We defined Σ_i & Π_i like NP, but with i alternating quantifiers on top of a poly-time TM.

$$\triangleright PH = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Sigma_i = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Pi_i \subseteq Pspace.$$

constant many alternations *arbitrary many alternations*

- Defn: If $\exists i$, $PH = \Sigma_i$ then we shall say that PH collapses to the i -th level.

PH-conjecture: PH does not collapse.

It is a generalization of "P ≠ NP?"

- We now show several separations that follow from this conjecture.

Theorem 1: If for an $i \geq 1$, $\Sigma_i = \Pi_i$, then PH collapses to the i -th level.

Proof: • Say, $\Sigma_i = \Pi_i$. What is Σ_{i+1} ?

• An $L \in \Sigma_{i+1}$ iff \exists poly-time TM M & a $c > 0$ s.t. $\forall x$,
 $x \in L$ iff $\exists u_1 \forall u_2 \dots \exists u_{i+1} u_{i+1}$
 u 's of length $|x|^c$ $\rightarrow M(x, u_1, \dots, u_{i+1}) = 1$.

• Define a related language $L' :=$
 $\{(y, z) \mid \forall u_2 \exists u_3 \dots \exists u_{i+1} u_{i+1} M(y, z, u_2, \dots, u_{i+1}) = 1\}$.

z & u 's of length $|y|^c$ \rightarrow

• Clearly, $L' \in \Pi_i = \Sigma_i$.

• Also, we see that: $x \in L$ iff $\exists u_1, (x, u_1) \in L'$.

• The above two observations together mean:

$$L \in \Sigma_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_{i+1} \subseteq \Sigma_i \Rightarrow \Sigma_{i+1} = \Sigma_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_{i+1} = \Sigma_i = \Pi_i = \Pi_{i+1}$$

• By induction, $\forall j \geq i, \Sigma_j = \Sigma_i = \Pi_i = \Pi_j$

$$\Rightarrow PH = \Sigma_i. \quad \square$$

Corollary: If for an $i \geq 0$, $\Sigma_i = \Sigma_{i+1}$
then PH collapses to the i -th level.

Proof: • Let $\Sigma_i = \Sigma_{i+1}$.

$$\Rightarrow \Pi_i = \Pi_{i+1}$$

• We know $\Pi_i \cup \Sigma_i \subseteq \Pi_{i+1} \cap \Sigma_{i+1}$.

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_{i+1} = \Pi_{i+1} = \Sigma_i = \Pi_i.$$

• By Theorem 1 we get $\text{PH} = \Sigma_i$. \square

Corollary: $P = NP \Leftrightarrow \text{PH} = P$.

Complete problems in PH

- Suppose A is a PH-complete problem
(under poly-time reductions).

- Then, $\exists i, A \in \Sigma_i$.

Implying $\Sigma_i = \text{PH}$!

▷ PH-conjecture \Rightarrow $PH \subsetneq Pspace$.

Proof: • Assuming the PH-conjecture, we deduce, as above, that there are no PH-complete problems.

• On the other hand, there is a Pspace-complete problem.

\Rightarrow $PH \subsetneq Pspace$. \square

Σ_i -complete problems

Defn: For $i \geq 1$, define $\Sigma_i Sat$:=
 $\{ \phi(u_1, \dots, u_i) \mid \phi(x)$ be a boolean CNF formula with a partition of x_1, \dots, x_n into u_1, \dots, u_i s.t. $\exists u_1 \forall u_2 \dots Q_i u_i, \phi(u_1, \dots, u_i) = 1 \}$.

↪ not quite a QBF

Theorem: $\Sigma_i Sat$ is Σ_i -complete. $\leftarrow \Sigma_1 Sat$ is Sat.

Proof:

• By defn, $\Sigma_i Sat \in \Sigma_i$.

- Any $L \in \Sigma_i$ has a corresponding poly-time TM M .
 - The computation of M can be captured in a CNF formula ϕ .
(by Cook-Levin[→] reduction)
 - This reduces the qn. $x \in L$ to the truth of the quantified formula $\exists u_1 \forall u_2 \dots Q_i u_i \phi(x, u_1, \dots, u_i)$.
- \Rightarrow
- $\Sigma_i \text{ Sat is } \Sigma_i\text{-hard as well. } \square$

Defn: For $i \geq 1$, define $\Pi_i \text{ Sat} := \{ \phi(u_1, \dots, u_i) \mid \phi \text{ is a boolean DNF formula with a partition of } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ into } u_1, \dots, u_i \text{ s.t. } \forall u_1 \exists u_2 \dots Q_i u_i \phi(u_1, \dots, u_i) = 1 \}$.

Corollary: $\Pi_i \text{ Sat}$ is Π_i -complete.

PH via oracle machines

- Like NP represents computation on non-deterministic TMs.

What does PH represent?

Defn: For complexity classes C_1, C_2 we define the class $C_1^{C_2} := \bigcup_{L \in C_2} C_1^L$.

$$\triangleright P^{NP} = P^{SAT}$$

$$\triangleright NP^{NP} = NP^{SAT}$$

- We intend to show $NP^{NP} = \Sigma_2$!

Theorem: $\forall i \geq 2, \Sigma_i = NP^{\Sigma_{i-1}^{SAT}}$.

Proof sketch:

- We exhibit the ideas by taking $i=2$.
- Let $L \in \Sigma_2$.

Then there is a poly-time TM M &

a constant $c > 0$ s.t.

$$(1) \quad \dots \quad \forall x, \quad x \in L \text{ iff } \exists u_1 \forall u_2 M(x, u_1, u_2) = 1.$$

$u_1 \in \{0, 1\}^{|x|^c} \rightarrow \rightarrow$

• The associated language $L' := \{(y, z) \mid \forall u_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{|y|^c}, M(y, z, u_2) = 1\}$ is in Π_1 .

• Thus, L' can be decided by an oracle to SAT.

$$\Rightarrow L' \in P^{\text{SAT}}.$$

• We could rewrite eqn. (1) as:
 $x \in L$ iff $\exists u_1, (x, u_1) \in L'$.

$$\Rightarrow L \in NP^{\text{SAT}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_2 \subseteq NP^{\text{SAT}}.$$

• Let $L \in NP^{\text{SAT}}$. Say, L is decided by a poly-time NDTM N using SAT oracle.

• N makes choices in its execution path & queries the oracle on CNF formulas.