

NL = coNL

Theorem (Immerman & Szlepcsenyi, 1987): $NL = \text{coNL}$.

Proof:

- It suffices to show $\overline{\text{Path}} \in NL$.
- I.e. design a logspace-algorithm A s.t. for an input instance (G, s, t) ,
 \exists sequence of "guesses" u for A with
 $A(\langle G, s, t \rangle, u) = 1$ iff
 # path $s \rightsquigarrow t$ in G .
- Idea: path counting!
 - Let $n := |V(G)|$, and C_i be the set of vertices reachable from s in $\leq i$ steps.
 ▷ A logspace-machine can easily certify whether a vertex v is in C_i .
 - Now, the plan is to design logspace-machines that could certify:

- (i) a vertex $v \notin C_i$, given $|C_i|$, and
- (ii) $|C_i|=c$, given c and $|C_{i-1}|$.

(Clearly, this proves $\overline{\text{Path}} \in \text{NL}$.)

- Certifying $v \notin C_i$, given $|C_i|$:

The certificate is simply a list of vertices $v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_{|C_i|}$ in increasing order, all in C_i , and none equal to v .

To do this the algorithm guesses v_j , v_{j+1} & checks: $v_j < v_{j+1}$ & $v_j, v_{j+1} \in C_i$. Finally, it counts that $|C_i|$ many v_j 's were guessed.

- Certifying $v \notin C_i$, given $|C_{i-1}|$:

The certificate is again a list $v_1 < \dots < v_{|C_{i-1}|}$ in increasing order, all in C_{i-1} , none equal to v or its neighbour. Clearly, this certificate is guessable

& checkable by a logspace-machine.

- Certifying $|C_i|=c$, given $|C_{i-1}|$:

For every vertex v , $v \in C_i$ resp.
 $v \notin C_i$ are certifiable in logspace,
given the value $|C_{i-1}|$.

Thus, the machine can go through
each vertex v & count the correct
value $|C_i|$.

- This finishes the proof of $\text{Path} \in NL$. \square

Corollary: $\forall S(n) = \Omega(\lg n)$, $\text{Nspace}(S) = \text{coNspace}(S)$.

Proof:

- Let M be a $S(n)$ -space NDTM deciding L .

► The configuration graph G of $M(x)$ is $S(n)$ -space computable (assuming $S(n) > \lg n$ so that x can be read!).

- We have: $x \notin L$ iff
 $\langle G, C_{\text{start}}, C_{\text{accept}} \rangle \notin \text{Path}$.

- Now, invoke the previous proof to deduce $L \in \text{Nspace}(S)$. □

The Polynomial Hierarchy —

- The PH is a generalization of NP, coNP & lies well "below" Pspace.
- Consider the following optimization qn. :

$$\text{MinDNF} := \{\phi \mid \phi \text{ is a DNF formula not equiv. to any smaller DNF}\}.$$
- Alternatively, it is:

$$\{\phi \mid \forall \text{ DNF } \psi, |\psi| < |\phi|, \exists s, \psi(s) \neq \phi(s)\}.$$

- It seems to be beyond NP, coNP as it uses two different quantifiers.
- On the other hand, it does not seem as hard as QBF!
- This motivates a new class:

Defn: • A language $L \in \underline{\Pi_2^P}$ if \exists poly-time TM M & a constant c s.t. $\forall x \in \{0,1\}^*$, $x \in L$ iff $\forall u \in \{0,1\}^{bx^c}$, $\exists v \in \{0,1\}^{lx^c}$, $M(x,u,v) = 1$.

• A language $L \in \underline{\Sigma_2^P}$ if \exists poly-time TM M & a constant c st. $\forall x \in \{0,1\}^*$, $x \in L$ iff $\exists u \in \{0,1\}^{lx^c}$, $\forall v \in \{0,1\}^{lx^c}$, $M(x,u,v) = 1$.

▷ Clearly, $\Sigma_2^P = \text{co-}\Pi_2^P$.

Proposition: (i) $\text{Min DNF} \in \Pi_2^P$.

(ii) $\text{NP} \cup \text{coNP} \subseteq \Sigma_2^P \cap \Pi_2^P$.

(iii) $\Sigma_2^P \cup \Pi_2^P \subseteq \text{Pspace}$.

- Why stop at two quantifiers!?

- We can define Σ_i & Π_i by alternating \forall/\exists i times:

• $L \in \Sigma_i$ if \exists poly-time TM M & $a > 0$
s.t. $\forall x$, $x \in L$ iff

$$\exists u_1 \forall u_2 \dots Q_i u_i M(x, u_1, \dots, u_i) = 1.$$

\nwarrow strings in $\{0,1\}^{|x|^C}$ \nearrow

$Q_i := \exists$ resp. \forall if i is odd resp. even.

• Π_i is defined in a similar way except that the quantifier-sequence begins with a ' \forall '.

• Conventionally, $\Sigma_0 = \Pi_0 := P$.

Defn: • The polynomial hierarchy is :

$$\underline{PH} := \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Sigma_i .$$

Proposition: (1) $\Sigma_1 = NP$, $\Pi_1 = coNP$.

(2) $\forall i \geq 0, \Sigma_i \subseteq \Sigma_{i+1}, \Pi_i \subseteq \Pi_{i+1}$.

(3) $\forall i \geq 0, \Pi_i = co-\Sigma_i$.

(4) $\forall i \geq 0, \Sigma_i \cup \Pi_i \subseteq \Sigma_{i+1} \cap \Pi_{i+1}$.

(5) $PH = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Pi_i$.

(6) $PH \subseteq Pspace$.

OPEN: We do not know whether it is indeed a hierarchy?

I.e. $\Sigma_0 \subsetneq \Sigma_1 \subsetneq \dots ?$

No class on Thu. Midsem Wed, 19-Feb @ 12:30-14:30 @ L3