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NOTE: In the classes below, uniformity conditions are not specified. You may assume whatever suits the context.

We have concentrated on boolean circuits in this course. That is, circuits having gates whose input/output lines carry only $0-1$ values. An interesting variation of this is arithmetic circuits. This is, circuits having addition, subtraction, multiplication and division gates where these arithmetic operations are over a specified ring or field (division gates make sense only when the operations are over a field). Notice that subtraction can be achieved by multiplication and addition gates provided we have the constant -1 available. Also, division can be achieved by addition and multiplication gates if the operations are over a finite field (a division gate is always of fanin-2).

The question naturally arises as to what is the power of arithmetic circuits as compared to their boolean counterparts. Since arithmetic circuits in general compute functions, we shall compare them with functions computed by their boolean counterparts.

You have already shown in the midsem that polynomial-size, constant-depth, unbounded fanin arithmetic circuits where the operations are over a fixed finite field capture precisely the class ACC. Boolean counterparts of such circuits are $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$ circuits. Therefore, the arithmetic circuits in constant-depth, unbounded fanin settings are strictly more powerful than their boolean counterparts.

If, instead of choosing the underlying field to be a fixed finite field, we choose the field of rationals, then we get another class of circuits. What is the power of this class?

Show that this class of circuits precisely captures the class of functions in $\mathrm{TC}^{0}$. marks)

Now, let us turn our attention to the counterpart of the class $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$. Define the class $\# \mathrm{NC}^{1}$ to be the class of functions computed by families of polynomial-size, logarithmic depth, fanin-2 arithmetic circuits. Let us investigate the power of this class. For the sake of simplicity, we shall assume that the circuits in $\# N C^{1}$ contain only addition and
multiplication gates over integers. We simulate subtraction by supplying constant -1 to the circuits.

First, show that all functions in $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$ are also in $\# \mathrm{NC}^{1}$.
(5 marks)
Somewhat surprisingly, the class $\# \mathrm{NC}^{1}$ almost equals the class $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$. Almost, because it can only be shown that any function in the class $\# \mathrm{NC}^{1}$ can be computed by a family of polynomial-size, fanin-2 boolean circuits of depth $O\left((\log n) *\left(\log ^{*} n\right)\right)$. (Here, $\log ^{*} n$ is the number of times one needs to take logarithm of $n$ to reach 1 . For example, $\log ^{*} 2=1$ since one application of $\operatorname{logarithm}$ on 2 yields $1 . \log ^{*} 4=2$ since $\log \log 4=1 . \log ^{*} 16=3$, $\log ^{*} 65536=4$, and $\log ^{*}\left(2^{65536}\right)=5$. Notice that $2^{65536}$ is larger than the number of atoms in the universe, and therefore, for all practical purposes, $\left.\log ^{*} n \leq 5!!\right)$.

The proof of this is in two parts. We only show the first part of this: given any $\# \mathrm{NC}^{1}$ circuit, one can construct a sequence of polynomially many $3 \times 3$ matrices such that

- the entries of each matrix is either constants available to the circuit (i.e., 0,1 , or -1 ) or an input variable,
- letting $P$ be the product of all these matrices,

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & X & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $X$ is equal to the output of the $\# \mathrm{NC}^{1}$ circuit.

Prove the above statement (the proof of this has the same overall structure as the Barrington's proof - only now you need to work with $3 \times 3$ matrices instead of 5 -cycles). (15 marks)

As an aside, show that the problem of computing product of $3 \times 3$ matrices over integers is $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$-complete for $\# \mathrm{NC}^{1}$.

We now turn our attention to investigating the structure of $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$-complete sets for $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$. The same proof will carry over to other classes. Let $A$ be an $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$-complete set for $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$ and $B \in \mathrm{NC}^{1}$. We aim to show that there exists an $\mathrm{NC}^{0}$ reduction of $B$ to $A$. Define set $\hat{B}$ as accepted by the following algorithm:

On input $x$, let $x=1^{d} 01^{k} 0 y$. Reject if $k$ does not divide $|y|$. Otherwise, let $y=u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{q}$ with $\left|u_{i}\right|=k$ for every $i$. Let $v_{i}=1$ if the number of ones in $u_{i}$ equals zero modulo $d$, otherwise $v_{i}=0$. Let $v=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{q}$. Accept iff $v \in B$.

Show that $\hat{B} \in \mathrm{NC}^{1}$.
Let the $\mathrm{AC}^{0}$-circuit family $\left\{C_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ compute the reduction $f$ of $\hat{B}$ to $A$. Define a reduction $h$ of $B$ to $\hat{B}$ so that $f \circ h$ can be computed by an $\mathrm{NC}^{0}$-circuit family. marks)

Hint: see http://www.cse.iitk.ac.in/users/manindra/isomorphism/non-uniform-ac0-iso.pdf for ideas on how to prove it. The definition of $\hat{B}$ above is different from the one in the paper. This is deliberate! You must use this definition.

