

# CS738: Advanced Compiler Optimizations

## Typed Arithmetic Expressions

Amey Karkare

karkare@cse.iitk.ac.in

<http://www.cse.iitk.ac.in/~karkare/cs738>

Department of CSE, IIT Kanpur



# Reference Book

Types and Programming Languages by Benjamin C. Pierce

# Recap: Untyped Arithmetic Expression Language

$t :=$	– <i>terms</i>
true	– <i>constant true</i>
false	– <i>constant false</i>
if $t$ then $t$ else $t$	– <i>conditional</i>
0	– <i>constant zero</i>
succ $t$	– <i>successor</i>
pred $t$	– <i>predecessor</i>
iszero $t$	– <i>zero test</i>

## Recap: The Set of Values

$v :=$

true

false

0

succ  $v$

– *values*

– *value true*

– *value false*

– *value zero*

– *successor value*

# Let's add Types to the Language

$T :=$

– *Types*

# Let's add Types to the Language

$T :=$

Bool

– *Types*

– *Booleans*

# Let's add Types to the Language

$T :=$

Bool

Nat

– *Types*

– *Booleans*

– *Natural Numbers*

# The Typing Relation

- ▶ A set of rules assigning types to terms

# The Typing Relation

- ▶ A set of rules assigning types to terms
- ▶  $\vdash t : T$  denotes “term  $t$  has type  $T$ ”

# The Typing Relation

- ▶ A set of rules assigning types to terms
- ▶  $\vdash t : T$  denotes “term  $t$  has type  $T$ ”

# The Typing Relation

- ▶ A set of rules assigning types to terms
- ▶  $\vdash t : T$  denotes “term  $t$  has type  $T$ ”

$0 : \text{Nat}$

# The Typing Relation

- ▶ A set of rules assigning types to terms
- ▶  $\vdash t : T$  denotes “term  $t$  has type  $T$ ”

$$0 : \text{Nat}$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$

# The Typing Relation

- ▶ A set of rules assigning types to terms
- ▶  $\vdash t : T$  denotes “term  $t$  has type  $T$ ”

$$0 : \text{Nat}$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$

# The Typing Relation

- ▶ A set of rules assigning types to terms
- ▶  $\vdash t : T$  denotes “term  $t$  has type  $T$ ”

$$0 : \text{Nat}$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}}$$

## The Typing Relation (contd...)

- ▶ A set of rules assigning types to terms
- ▶  $\vdash t : T$  denotes “term  $t$  has type  $T$ ”

`true` : **Bool**

## The Typing Relation (contd...)

- ▶ A set of rules assigning types to terms
- ▶  $\vdash t : T$  denotes “term  $t$  has type  $T$ ”

`true` : **Bool**

`false` : **Bool**

## The Typing Relation (contd...)

- ▶ A set of rules assigning types to terms
- ▶  $\vdash t : T$  denotes “term  $t$  has type  $T$ ”

`true : Bool`

`false : Bool`

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$$

# The Typing Relation: Definition

- ▶ The *typing relation* for arithmetic expressions is the smallest binary relation between terms and types satisfying all instances of the rules defined earlier.

# The Typing Relation: Definition

- ▶ The *typing relation* for arithmetic expressions is the smallest binary relation between terms and types satisfying all instances of the rules defined earlier.
- ▶ A term  $t$  is *typeable* (or *well typed*) if there is some  $T$  such that  $t : T$ .

# Inversion of the Typing Relation

- ▶ If  $\vdash 0 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$ .

# Inversion of the Typing Relation

- ▶ If  $\vdash 0 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{succ } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .

# Inversion of the Typing Relation

- ▶ If  $\vdash 0 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{succ } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{pred } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .

# Inversion of the Typing Relation

- ▶ If  $\vdash 0 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{succ } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{pred } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{iszero } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .

# Inversion of the Typing Relation

- ▶ If  $\vdash 0 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{succ } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{pred } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{iszero } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .

# Inversion of the Typing Relation

- ▶ If  $\vdash 0 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{succ } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{pred } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{iszero } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .

# Inversion of the Typing Relation

- ▶ If  $\vdash 0 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{succ } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{pred } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{iszero } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
- ▶ If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$ , then

# Inversion of the Typing Relation

- ▶ If  $\vdash 0 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{succ } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{pred } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{iszero } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
- ▶ If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$ , then
  - ▶  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$

# Inversion of the Typing Relation

- ▶ If  $\vdash 0 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{succ } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{pred } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{iszero } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
- ▶ If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$ , then
  - ▶  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$
  - ▶  $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : R$

# Inversion of the Typing Relation

- ▶ If  $\vdash 0 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{succ } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{pred } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{iszero } t_1 : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$  and  $\vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
- ▶ If  $\vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
- ▶ If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$ , then
  - ▶  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$
  - ▶  $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : R$
  - ▶  $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : R$

# Uniqueness of Types

- ▶ Every term  $t$  has at most one type.

# Uniqueness of Types

- ▶ Every term  $t$  has at most one type.
- ▶ If  $t$  is typeable, then its type is unique.

# Uniqueness of Types

- ▶ Every term  $t$  has at most one type.
- ▶ If  $t$  is typeable, then its type is unique.
- ▶ Moreover, there is just one derivation of this typing built from the inference rules.

# Safety = Preservation + Progress

- ▶ The type system is *safe* (also called *sound*)

# Safety = Preservation + Progress

- ▶ The type system is *safe* (also called *sound*)
- ▶ Well-typed programs do not “go wrong.”

# Safety = Preservation + Progress

- ▶ The type system is *safe* (also called *sound*)
- ▶ Well-typed programs do not “go wrong.”
  - ▶ Do not reach a “stuck state.”

# Safety = Preservation + Progress

- ▶ The type system is *safe* (also called *sound*)
- ▶ Well-typed programs do not “go wrong.”
  - ▶ Do not reach a “stuck state.”
- ▶ **Progress:** A well-typed term is not stuck.

# Safety = Preservation + Progress

- ▶ The type system is *safe* (also called *sound*)
- ▶ Well-typed programs do not “go wrong.”
  - ▶ Do not reach a “stuck state.”
- ▶ **Progress:** A well-typed term is not stuck.
  - ▶ If  $\vdash t : T$ , then  $t$  is either a value or there exists some  $t'$  such that  $t \rightarrow t'$ .

# Safety = Preservation + Progress

- ▶ The type system is *safe* (also called *sound*)
- ▶ Well-typed programs do not “go wrong.”
  - ▶ Do not reach a “stuck state.”
- ▶ **Progress:** A well-typed term is not stuck.
  - ▶ If  $\vdash t : T$ , then  $t$  is either a value or there exists some  $t'$  such that  $t \rightarrow t'$ .
- ▶ **Preservation:** If a well-typed term takes a step of evaluation, then the resulting term is also well-typed.

# Safety = Preservation + Progress

- ▶ The type system is *safe* (also called *sound*)
- ▶ Well-typed programs do not “go wrong.”
  - ▶ Do not reach a “stuck state.”
- ▶ **Progress:** A well-typed term is not stuck.
  - ▶ If  $\vdash t : T$ , then  $t$  is either a value or there exists some  $t'$  such that  $t \rightarrow t'$ .
- ▶ **Preservation:** If a well-typed term takes a step of evaluation, then the resulting term is also well-typed.
  - ▶ If  $\vdash t : T$  and  $t \rightarrow t'$ , then  $\vdash t' : T$ .