#### Program Analysis https://www.cse.iitb.ac.in/~karkare/cs618/

## Data Flow Analysis (contd...)

Amey Karkare Dept of Computer Science and Engg IIT Kanpur Visiting IIT Bombay karkare@cse.iitk.ac.in karkare@cse.iitb.ac.in





• Expression e is available at a point p:

- Expression e is available at a point p:
  - Every path from entry to p has at least one evaluation of e

- Expression e is available at a point p:
  - Every path from entry to p has at least one evaluation of e
  - There is no assignment to any component variable of e after last evaluation of e prior to p

- Expression e is available at a point p:
  - Every path from entry to p has at least one evaluation of e
  - There is no assignment to any component variable of e after last evaluation of e prior to p
- Expression e is *generated* by its evaluation

- Expression e is available at a point p:
  - Every path from entry to p has at least one evaluation of e
  - There is no assignment to any component variable of e after last evaluation of e prior to p
- Expression e is *generated* by its evaluation
- Expression e is killed by assignment to its component variables





 $gen(s1) = {y+z}$ 



gen(s1) = {y+z} kill(s1) =  $E_x //E_x$ : set of all expressions having x as a component



 $gen(s1) = \{y+z\}$ kill(s1) = E<sub>x</sub> // E<sub>x</sub> : set of all expressions having x as a component out(s1) = in(s1) - kill(s1) U gen(s1)

#### THIS MAY NOT WORK IN GENERAL! WHY?

gen(s1) = {y+z} kill(s1) =  $E_x //E_x$ : set of all expressions having x as a component out(s1) = in(s1) - kill(s1) U gen(s1)



#### Available Expr Analysis



# Available Expr Analysis d: x = x+z s1

#### **INCORRECT FORMULATION**



#### INCORRECT FORMULATION out(s1) = in(s1) - kill(s1) U gen(s1)



INCORRECT FORMULATION
out(s1) = in(s1) - kill(s1) U gen(s1)
gen(s1) = {x+z}



#### **INCORRECT FORMULATION**

$$gen(s1) = {x+z}$$

kill(s1) =  $E_x //E_x$ : set of all expressions having x as a component



#### INCORRECT FORMULATION

out(s1) = in(s1) - kill(s1) U gen(s1)

 $gen(s1) = {x+z}$ 





#### **CORRECT FORMULATION**



#### **CORRECT FORMULATION** out(s1) = in(s1) - kill(s1) U gen(s1)



## CORRECT FORMULATION out(s1) = in(s1) - kill(s1) U gen(s1) gen(s1) = { rhs | lhs is not part of rhs }



#### **CORRECT FORMULATION**

$$out(s1) = in(s1) - kill(s1) \cup gen(s1)$$

gen(s1) = { rhs | lhs is not part of rhs }

kill(s1) =  $E_{lhs}$  //  $E_{lhs}$  : set of all expressions having lhs as a component





 $gen(s) = gen(s2) \cup (gen(s1) - kill(s2))$ 

#### $gen(s) = gen(s2) \cup (gen(s1) - kill(s2))$ $kill(s) = kill(s2) \cup (kill(s1) - gen(s2))$



Available Expr Analysis



 $gen(s) = gen(s2) \cup (gen(s1) - kill(s2))$ kill(s) = kill(s2) \U (kill(s1) - gen(s2)) in(s1) = in(s)





 $gen(s) = gen(s2) \cup (gen(s1) - kill(s2))$ kill(s) = kill(s2) U (kill(s1) - gen(s2)) in(s1) = in(s) in(s2) = out(s1) out(s) = out(s2)





 $gen(s) = gen(s1) \cap gen(s2)$ 



 $gen(s) = gen(s1) \cap gen(s2)$ kill(s) = kill(s1) U kill(s2)



 $gen(s) = gen(s1) \cap gen(s2)$ kill(s) = kill(s1) U kill(s2) in(s1) = in(s2) = in(s)



 $gen(s) = gen(s1) \cap gen(s2)$ kill(s) = kill(s1) U kill(s2) in(s1) = in(s2) = in(s) out(s) = out(s1) \cap out(s2)





gen(s) = gen(s1)


gen(s) = gen(s1)
kill(s) = kill(s1)



$$gen(s) = gen(s1)$$
  
kill(s) = kill(s1)  
in(s1) = in(s)  $\cap$  gen(s1)



z = x\*y; do {} while(...); // is x\*y available // here?

gen(s) = gen(s1)kill(s) = kill(s1) in(s1) = in(s)  $\cap$  gen(s1)



z = x\*y; do {} while(...); // is x\*y available // here?

gen(s) = gen(s1)kill(s) = kill(s1) in(s1) = in(s)  $\cap$  gen out(s1)



z = x\*y; do {} while(...); //is x\*y available // here?

gen(s) = gen(s1)kill(s) = kill(s1) in(s1) = in(s)  $\cap gen$  out(s1) out(s) = out(s1)

# Again: Conservative Analysis





• Assumption: All paths are feasible.



- Assumption: All paths are feasible.
  - Consider: if (true) s1; else s2
  - s2 is never executed



- Assumption: All paths are feasible.
  - Consider: if (true) s1; else s2

- s2 is never executed gen(s) = gen(s1)  $\supseteq$  gen(s1)  $\cap$  gen(s2) kill(s) = kill(s1)  $\subseteq$  kill(s1)  $\cup$  kill(s2)



- Thus: true gen (s) ⊇ analysis gen(s)
   true kill (s) ⊆ analysis kill(s)
- True is what is computed at run time
- This is **SAFE** estimate
  - prevents optimization
  - but no wrong optimization

• Expr e is available at the start of a block

- Expr e is available at the start of a block
  - It is available at the end of all predecessors

- Expr e is available at the start of a block
  - —It is available at the end of all predecessors

$$in(B) = \bigcap_{P \text{ is pred of } B} out(P)$$

- Expr e is available at the start of a block
  - It is available at the end of all predecessors

$$in(B) = \bigcap_{P \text{ is pred of } B} out(P)$$

- Expr e is available at the end of a block
  - -either it is generated by the block
  - or it is available at the start of the block and not killed by the block

 $out(B) = in(B) - kill(B) \cup gen(B)$ 

• Kill & gen known for each block.

- Kill & gen known for each block.
- A program with N blocks has 2N equations with 2N unknowns
  - -solution is possible.
  - -iterative approach (on next slide)

for each block B {
 out(B) = U; // U = "universal" set of all exprs
}

for each block B {
 out(B) = U; // U = "universal" set of all exprs
}
out(Entry) = φ; // remember reaching defs?

for each block B {
 out(B) = U; // U = "universal" set of all exprs
}
out(Entry) = φ; // remember reaching defs?
change = true;
while (change) {

for each block B {
 out(B) = U; // U = "universal" set of all exprs
}
out(Entry) = φ; // remember reaching defs?
change = true;
while (change) {
 change = false;

for each block B {
 out(B) = U; // U = "universal" set of all exprs
}
out(Entry) = φ; // remember reaching defs?
change = true;
while (change) {
 change = false;
 for each block B other than Entry {

for each block B { Out(B) = U; // U = "universal" set of all exprs }  $out(Entry) = \phi; // remember reaching defs?$ change = true; while (change) { change = false; for each block B other than Entry {  $in(B) = \bigcap_{P \text{ is pred of } B} out(P);$ oldOut = out(B);  $out(B) = in(B) - kill(B) \cup gen(B);$ 

```
for each block B {
  Out(B) = U; // U = "universal" set of all exprs
}
out(Entry) = \phi; // remember reaching defs?
change = true;
while (change) {
  change = false;
  for each block B other than Entry {
    in(B) = \bigcap_{P \text{ is pred of } B} out(P);
    oldOut = out(B);
    out(B) = in(B) - kill(B) \cup gen(B);
    if (oldOut != out(B)) then {
       change = true;
```

# Some Issues

- What is the set of all expressions?
- How to compute it efficiently?
- Why Entry block is initialized differently?



U = {a\*b, c+d} We are not interested in other expressions/variables



BLOCK	GEN	KILL
B1	{a*b, c+d}	{}
B2	{c+d}	{a*b}
B3	{a*b}	{}
B4	{a*b}	{c+d}

U = {a\*b, c+d} We are not interested in other expressions/variables
















## **Available Expressions**



### **Available Expressions: Bitvectors**



#### Available Expressions: Bitvectors

 $in(B) = \bigcap_{P \text{ is pred of } B} out(P)$ out(B) = in(B) -kill(B)  $\cup$  gen(B)

• With bit vectors,

 $in(B) = \bigwedge_{P \text{ is pred of B}} out(P)$  $out(B) = (in(B) \land \neg kill(B)) \lor gen(B)$ 

Bitwise ∧, ∨, ¬ operations.

- Common subexpression elimination in a block B
  - Expression e available at the entry of B
  - e is also computed at a point p in B
  - Components of e are not modified from entry of B to p

- Common subexpression elimination in a block B
  - Expression e available at the entry of B
  - e is also computed at a point p in B
  - Components of e are not modified from entry of B to p
- e is "upward exposed" in B

- Common subexpression elimination in a block B
  - Expression e available at the entry of B
  - e is also computed at a point p in B
  - Components of e are not modified from entry of B to p
- e is "upward exposed" in B
- Expressions generated in B are "downward exposed"

## Recap: Summary of Reaching Definitions

gen = {  $d_x \mid d_x$  in B defines variable x and is not followed by another definition of x in B} *kill* = {  $d_x$  | block contains some definition of x }  $in(B) = \bigcup_{P \text{ is pred of } B} out(P)$  $out(B) = in(B) - kill(B) \cup gen(B)$ meet (  $\Lambda$  ) operator is  $\cup$ Initialization:

out(
$$B_{entry}$$
) = Entry Info =  $\phi$   
out(B) =  $\phi$ 

*gen* = downward exposed expressions *kill* = {  $e_x$  | block contains some definition of x }  $in(B) = \bigcap_{P \text{ is pred of } B} out(P)$  $out(B) = in(B) - kill(B) \cup gen(B)$ meet (  $\Lambda$  ) operator is  $\cap$ Initialization:  $out(B_{entry}) = Entry Info = \phi$ **out(B)** = U

Comparing Reaching Definition and Available Expressions Analysis

# Class Discussion about

# -Similarities

# -Differences

• What if we Initialize:

 $out(B_{entry}) = Entry Info = \phi$  $out(B) = \phi$ 

• What if we Initialize:

 $out(B_{entry}) = Entry Info = \phi$  $out(B) = \phi$ 

• We might miss some expressions that are available

What if we Initialize:

 $out(B_{entry}) = Entry Info = \phi$  $out(B) = \phi$ 

- We might miss some expressions that are available
- Loose on opportunity to optimize!

# What are the expressions available at B2 when out(B) initialized with i) U ii) $\phi$



## Live Variable Analysis

- A variable x is *live* at a point p if
  - –There is a point p' along <u>some</u> path in the flow graph starting at p to the EXIT
  - -Value of x could be used at p'
  - –There is no definition of x between p and p' along <u>this</u> path
- Otherwise x is *dead* at p

#### Live Variable Analysis: Gen

- gen(B)
- Set of variables whose values may be used in B prior to any definition
- Also called "use(B)"
- "upward exposed" use of a variable is generated by B

#### Live Variable Analysis: Kill

- kill(B)
- Set of variables defined in B prior to any use
- Also called "def(B)"
- "upward exposed" definition of a variable kills its liveness in B

#### Live Variable Analysis

 $out(B) = \bigcup_{S \text{ is succ of } B} in(S)$   $in(B) = out(B) - kill(B) \cup gen(B)$ Alt:  $in(B) = out(B) - def(B) \cup use(B)$ 

• With bit vectors,

 $out(B) = \bigvee_{S \text{ is succ of } B} in(S)$ in(B) = (out(B)  $\land \neg kill(B)) \lor gen(B)$ 

• Bitwise ∧, ∨, ¬ operations.

• Expression e is very busy at a point p

• Expression e is very busy at a point p

-Every path from p to exit has at least one evaluation of e

- Expression e is very busy at a point p
  - -Every path from p to exit has at least one evaluation of e
  - —There is no assignment to any component variable of e **before first** evaluation of e following p

- Expression e is very busy at a point p
  - –Every path from p to exit has at least one evaluation of e
  - There is no assignment to any component variable of e before first evaluation of e following p
- Also called *Anticipable* expression

• Practice Assignment

Set the data flow equations for Very Busy Expression
Hint: Available Expression Analysis