

CS771: Machine learning: tools, techniques, applications
Assignment #2: SVM, Kernels, Regression

Due on: 16-3-2016, 23.59

07-3-2016

MM: 200

1. *Hinge loss* is often used as the loss function for maximum margin classification. It is defined as:

$$L(y) = \max(0, 1 - t \cdot y)$$

here $t = \pm 1$ the intended output and y is the actual raw output from the decision function (say $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0$). Notice that if $|y| \geq 1$ and the label is correct that is t and y have the same sign then $L(y) = 0$ otherwise it is increasing linear in y . Note that hinge loss is a convex function.

For the spam data set you used earlier use the hinge loss function in the SVM classifier and compare the results you get (5-fold cross validated) with the standard formulation. [30+20=50]

2. You are given a dataset of Connect Four game positions and the final outcome (win/loss/draw) for the first player. In each of the game positions, only 8 moves have been made so far with none of the players having won yet and the next move isn't forced.

The dataset is at: <https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Connect-4>

Report 5 fold cross validation results. Try the following approaches using an SVM:

1. One-Versus-Rest
2. One-Versus-One

For a list of SVM libraries available in different languages, have a look at:

http://www.support-vector-machines.org/SVM_soft.html

You should not directly use the `multiclass` classification option of these SVM libraries.

(Hint: For using SVM, change the dataset appropriately. E.g., use 42×3 features instead of 42 as present in the dataset. For every i^{th} feature in the dataset, if the feature is o then set $3 \times i$ as 1, if b then $3 \times i - 1$ as 1 and if x then $3 \times i - 2$ as 1 and rest are set to 0. Also for class labels, you can use nominal 1 for win, 0 for draw and -1 for a loss.)

[60]

3. We saw closure properties allowed new kernels to be created from existing kernels. Prove the statements below regarding these closure properties, or give counter-examples to disprove them.

Assume $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$.

(a) If K_1 is a kernel on \mathcal{X} , then $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = e^{K_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})}$ is also a kernel.

(b) $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = e^{(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{z}\|^2)} \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{z}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \|\mathbf{z}\|^2} \right)$ is a kernel.

(c) $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \min(|x_i|, |z_i|)$ is a kernel

[30]

4. Consider a regression problem, whereby, we are given feature vectors $\{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ and response variables $\{y_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$. The objective is to minimize the error between the estimated and true response variables. In order to control overfitting, we add a regularization term. The problem can be formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\mathbf{w}, \xi}{\text{minimize}} \quad & L = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i = \xi_i, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ & \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \leq B. \end{aligned}$$

Here, B is the regularization parameter.

- (a) Obtain a solution of the problem by rewriting it in dual form.
- (b) Does this problem have the equivalent of support vectors as in SVMs? Justify.
- (c) What is one basic disadvantage of the above as compared to the SVM solution?

[30+25+5=60]