SO ... COMPUTERS HAVE MASTERED PLAYING CHESS AND DRIVING CARS ACROSS THE DESERT, BUT CAN'T HOLD FIVE MINUTES OF NORMAL CONVERSATION?



Source: xkcd

# NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING

#### amitabha mukerjee iit kanpur

#### The magic of language

#### "मोहल्ले का एक लड़का"

"A monkey came in through the window and ate up my lunch."

# The magic of language

- Language is about conveying meaning
- Language is one-dimensional Meaning is multi-dimensional

#### □ Challenges

Sounds along one-dimension express multidimensional aspects of reality

- Same sounds map to different meanings [Polysemy]
- Same meanings map to different sounds [Synonymy]

# Myths about language

 grammar is about whether language is correct or incorrect

> It's me. Ganesh is at home? There are many small-small holes in this dress.

- Modern view: grammar is about usage
  - descriptive, not prescriptive

# Myths about language

grammar is about the correct and incorrectness of language.

Ganesh is at home?  $\rightarrow$  Is Ganesh at home? It's me (accusative)  $\rightarrow$  "It's I" There are many small-small holes in this dress.

- words are separated by spaces.
- how many sounds are there in English? 26

# Myths about language

grammar is about the correct and incorrectness of language.

Ganesh is at home?  $\rightarrow$  Is Ganesh at home? It's me (accusative)  $\rightarrow$  "It's I" There are many small-small holes in this dress.

- words are separated by spaces.
  - words = meaningful bits of sound
- alphabets are not the sounds of language

# Levels of Linguistic Analysis



Phonology



 $\Leftrightarrow$  /mohallekaeklaRkA/

#### Semantics vs Pragmatics:



#### Pragmatics: Direct vs Indirect meaning



## Pragmatics

10

#### You can't hold two watermelons in one hand

Iranian proverb



# Pragmatics: Meaning in Context

Traditional levels of analysis:

- Semantics: composition from lexical meaning of words [direct meaning]
- Pragmatics: social / contextual meaning ; [indirect meaning]

Psycholinguists:

Retrieval of pragmatic meaning is often faster

# LEVELS OF STRUCTURE IN LANGUAGE

#### Language Structure: Levels

# boys like girls

# Language Structure: Levels

- Phonology
- Lexicon
- Syntax [Morphology]
- Discourse
- Semantics / Compositionality
- Pragmatics / Discourse

# Language Structure: Levels

- Phonology : sounds of speech phoneme /b/ /oy/ /z/
- Lexicon : set of meaning-bearing units, lexemes
- Syntax : composing lexemes composition
  - Word = base + affixes / suffixes
  - Phrase = [[[boys]like]girls]
- **Discourse :** Boy likes girl. They meet.

#### NLP: Goals

NL Understanding Language  $\rightarrow$  NLP  $\rightarrow$  Decision NL Translation Language 1  $\rightarrow$  Machine  $\rightarrow$  Language 2 Translation

NL description (Generation)Situation→NLP→Language

# Phonology

## Phonemes

- Which sounds change a meaning?
  *pin, tin, kin, fin, thin, sin, shin dim, din, ding, did, dig, dish pin, pen, pan, pun, pain, pine, pawn*
- Phoneme = minimum distinction in sound that changes meaning
- Phonemes at middle of syllable: vowel start or end: consonant

## Vocal organs



vocal tract (for most neutral vowel)

tube model of

[malmkjaer 02]

## Vowels : Formants



#### formant frequencies:

peaks in the harmonic spectrum of vowel sounds

> first three: F1, F2, F3

> > http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/music/vowel.html

# Partitioning the speech sound space



[petitot 1989], [gardenfors 00]

# Writing : Consonants

stop consonants

voiceless voiced nasal inaspirate aspirated in-aspirated क ग ਬ **5** [velar] ख স [palatal] ज झ च छ ट ठ ड ढ ጣ [retroflex] त द ध थ न [dental] ब भ प फ ਸ [labial]

#### Consonants

stop cons		voic	od	nasal	
voiceless				nasai	
inaspirate aspirated		in- aspirated			
k	kh	g	gh	Ν	[velar]
С	chh	j[dz]	jh[dzh]n~		[palatal]
Т	Th	D	Dh	Ν	[retroflex]
t	th	d	dh	n	[dental]
р	ph	b	bh	m	[labial] (bilabial)

#### **Grammar of Phonology**

#### "cats" $\rightarrow$ "cat" + /s/

"boys"  $\rightarrow$  "boy" + /z/

WHY ISN'T THE PLURAL OF SMURF SMURVES?

ONE HOUSE, TWO HICE.



Morphology and syntax

Source: urbanblah

# Syntax (morphosyntax)

- Regularity in how larger structures are assembled from units or smaller structures
- morphology

cook-er / read-er / \*-ercook

phrase syntax

smart woman / \*woman smart

sentence syntax

boys like girls / girls like boys / \*like boys girls

# Lexicon vs Grammar

• Assumption:

larger structures are assembled from smaller ones

- Q. Is this assumption valid?
- Smallest meaning-bearing structures = unit
- morpheme : less likely to appear independently -er, -s, -ly, -able
- lexeme

cat, boy, smart, undergraduate student, cook, cooker

## Lexicon vs Grammar

- lexicon = mental inventory of units
  - = set of all lexemes
- Is "cats" a lexeme?

**cook**  $\rightarrow$  **cooks** : grammatical (rule-driven, inflection)  $\rightarrow$  **cooker** : cook + er (not fully a rule; derivation)

Older thinking : lexicon is separate from grammar at present : lexicon - grammar is a continuum

# Syntax vs Morphology

- Syntax : how words can be assembled into phrases / sentences:
  - I found an unopened bottle of wine
  - \* I found a bottle unopened of wine
- **Morphology:** internal form of words
  - unopened not \*openuned or any other order
- But this distinction is not crisp (since notion of "morpheme" or "word" is graded) → Morphosyntax

## Morpheme examples



bound / free morphemes:
 -क vs -कर्ता (e.g. अपहरणकर्ता)

Morphemes often cause changes to the stem
 bAngla: kin-, buy

 Ami kinIAm
 I buy+PAST
 buying (noun)

 Morphemes often cause changes to the stem
 boying (noun)

# Stemming (baby lemmatization)

 $\square$  Assumption : surface form = root . affix

Reduce a word to the main morpheme

automate automates automatic automation run runs runs running run

Widely used in Information Retrieval

# Porter Stemmer (1980)

Most common algorithm for stemming English

- Results suggest it's at least as good as other stemming options
- Multiple sequential phases of reductions using rules, e.g.
  - $\square SSes \rightarrow SS$
  - $\square$  ies  $\rightarrow$  i
  - $\square$  ational  $\rightarrow$  ate
  - $\square tional \rightarrow tion$

<u>http://tartarus.org/~martin/PorterStemmer/</u>

# Stemming example

Candidate = candid + ate

This is a poorly constructed example using the Porter stemmer.

This is a poorli construct example us the Porter stemmer.

http://maya.cs.depaul.edu/~classes/ds575/porter.html Code: http://snowball.tartarus.org/algorithms/english/stemmer.html

#### Inflection vs Derivation

# Inflections and Derivations

- Inflection: e.g. sing → sang; cat → cats
  variation in form due to tense, person, etc.
  - does not change primary meaning,
  - same part-of-speech
  - applies to nearly entire class of lexemes
- Derivation: e.g. sing → singer
  changes meaning, changes part-of-speech
- Like much in grammar, not very crisp distinction
  e.g cyclic → cyclical = derivation
- treat as new word

# **Productive Morphemes**

36

- A morpheme is productive if it applies to all words of a given type.
- Inflections almost fully productive
- Derivations very limited


# Semantics of morphemes

#### inflections:

e.g. "-ed" : past tense = events in the past

- The course started last week.
- But: often does not refer to past, e.g.:
  - I thought the course started next week.
  - If the course started, everyone would be pleased.
- past time = primary or most common characteristic
- many other interpretations possible (in many languages)

   → past tense = grammatical form, varied semantics

### Derivations

- e.g. **ungentlemanly:** un + gentle + man + ly
- not all lexemes of a class will take all these particles, nor will they have the same meaning.
- how to break up (parse) the lexeme?
  - [[un+gentle] + man] + ly
  - [un + [gentle + man] + ly

many interpretations are possible

### **Derivations : Parsing**



- Differing parses  $\rightarrow$  different semantics :
- e.g. unlockable

"can't be locked" or "can be unlocked"?

Huddleston & Pullum 05

### **Derivations : Ambiguity**



Semantics : not fully systematic –
 e.g. anomalous usage of *un*- :
 *loosen* same as *unloosen*

# Semantics of composition

#### derivations:

e.g. "-er" : usually agentive – *builder, writer, teacher* But may be instrumental – e.g. *cooker* 

- However, meaning is constrained (not arbitrary)
- compounds: composed from multiple lexemes
  - doghouse, darkroom (endocentric, tatpuruSha) : 'house', 'room' is the head
  - redcoat, Hindi: nllakanTha (exocentric, bahuvrihi) : refers to neither red nor coat

Models of Syntax

### Structure in language

### पांच फिरंगी अफसरों \_\_\_ फांसी पर \_\_\_\_दिया

what can go in the blanks?

what can NOT go there?



#### Sentences are built from "words".

### boys like girls germans drink beer

sentence = noun verb noun

### Syntactic Composition

- Constituency : like girls = verb phrase VP head : like V constituent: girls N-plural
- Grammatical Function (maps to semantics?): subject: boys predicate: like arguments: boys, girls
- Hierarchy and Control

# One Version of Constituent Structure

Lexicon:

the, a, small, nice, big, very, boy, girl, sees, likes

- Grammatical sentences:
  - (the) boy (likes a girl)
  - (the small) girl (likes the big girl)
  - (a very small nice) boy (sees a very nice boy)

Ungrammatical sentences:

- \*(the) boy (the girl)
- \*(small) boy (likes the nice girl)

N-gram language models Word Segmentation

### NLP Tasks

Word segmentation:

• Chinese: 浮法像蝴蝶.

("float like a butterfly)

• Hindi

पांचफिरंगीअफसरोंकोफांसीपरलटकादिया

- Q. Letter-or Syllable-based?
- Which positions have low "sequence" probability?

# NLP tasks : Probabilistic Models

#### Other problems

- Machine Translation:
  - P(high winds tonite) > P(large winds tonite)
- Spell Correction
  - The office is about fifteen **minuets** from my house
    - P(about fifteen minutes from) > P(about fifteen minuets from)
- Speech Recognition
  - P(I saw a van) >> P(eyes awe of an)
- Verb argument structure discovery
  - Via factorization of syntactic parses to discover
  - Argument structure (syntax ?)
  - Selection preference (semantics)
- + Summarization, question-answering, etc.,

### Models of Syntax

- Linguistic Rules and Hierarchies:
  - Phonology:
     Morphology:
     Lexical:
     Categories + rules
     categories rules
     syntax (e.g. CFG)
- Probabilistic models
  - Bayesian models PCFG
  - o N-grams

# Probabilistic Language Modeling

Goal: compute the probability of a sentence or sequence of words:

 $P(W) = P(W_1, W_2, W_3, W_4, W_5..., W_n)$ 

Related task: probability of an upcoming word:

 $P(w_5|w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4)$ 

A model that computes either of these:

P(W) or  $P(w_n|w_1, w_2...w_{n-1})$  is called a **language model**.

Better: the grammar But language model or LM is standard

# Shannon Entropy

 Predict the next word/letter, given (*n-1*) previous items
 Fn = entropy = SUM<sub>i</sub> (p<sub>i</sub> log p<sub>i</sub>)



- probabilities p<sub>i</sub> (of n-grams) from corpus:
  - **\Box** F<sub>0</sub> (only alphabet) =  $\log_2 27 = 4.76$  bits per letter
  - **\Box** F<sub>1</sub> (1-gram frequencies p<sub>i</sub>) = 4.03 bits
  - F<sub>2</sub> (bigram frequencies)
  - F<sub>3</sub> (trigrams)

F<sub>word</sub>

- = 3.32 bits
  - = 3.1 bits
  - = 2.62 bits

(avg word entropy = 11.8 bits per 4.5 letter word)

Claude E. Shannon. "Prediction and Entropy of Printed English", Bell System Technical Journal 30:50-64. 1951.

### Shannon Entropy : Human

□ Ask human to guess the next letter:

THE ROOM WAS NOT VERY LIGHT A SMALL OBLONG

POLISHED WOOD BUT LESS ON THE SHABBY RED CARPET P-L-S-----BU--L-S-O----SH-----RE-C-----

 $\Box$  69% guessed on 1<sup>st</sup> attempt ["-" = 1<sup>st</sup> attempt]

Claude E. Shannon. "Prediction and Entropy of Printed English", *Bell System Technical Journal* 30:50-64. 1951.

### Shannon Entropy : Human

#### Count number of attempts:

ON A MOTORCYCLE REVERSE TS NO THERE 1121115117 2 0 II T THIS FOUND MINE 0 F DAY OTHER D RAMATICALLY THE RATHER 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 6

□ Entropy:  $F_1 = 3.2, 4.0$   $F_{10} = 1.0, 2.1$   $F_{100} = 0.6, 1.3$ 

Claude E. Shannon. "Prediction and Entropy of Printed English", *Bell System Technical Journal* 30:50-64. 1951.

# LANGUAGE MODELING

**NL** Corpora

# Creating a Corpus

1961 : W. Nelson Francis and Henry Kucera of Brown Univ
 500 samples of 2,000 words each from various text genres
 → American English

1970s : Lancaster-Oslo-Bergen corpus: British English also 500 x 2000 = 1mn words – genres similar to Brown Corpus Geoffrey Leech of Lancaster U.

1994: British National Corpus – 100mn words Oxford U, Lancaster, Longman / Chambers dictionaries 10% : transcripts of spoken English

2000s: Google corpora: American english 155 bn words; British : 34bn

[Lindquist 2009]: Corpus linguistics and the description of English

### The Brown Corpus

		# texts	%age
A	Press: reportage (newspapers)	44	8.8%
В	Press: editorial (including letters to the editor)	27	5.4%
С	Press: reviews (theatre, books, music, dance)	17	3.4%
D	Religion	17	3.4%
Ε	Skills and hobbies	36	7.2%
F	Popular lore	48	9.6%
G	Belles letters, biography, memoirs etc.	75	15.0%
Η	Miscellaneous (mainly government documents)	30	6.0%
J	Learned (academic texts)	80	16.0%
K	General fiction (novels and short stories)	29	5.8%
L	Mystery and detective fiction	24	4.8%
М	Science fiction	6	1.2%
Ν	Adventure and Western fiction	29	5.8%
Ρ	Romance and love story	29	5.8%
R	Humour	9	1.8%
	Non-fiction subtotal	374	75%
	Fiction subtotal	126	25%
	Total	500	100%

News: political, sports, society "spot news", financial, cultural)

[Lindquist 2009]: Corpus linguistics and the description of English

### Parallel Corpora



### **Parallel Corpus**

- Congress MP from Haryana Birender Singh said at a programme that "once someone had told me that Rs 100 crore was required to get a Rajya Sabha berth.
  - But he said he got it for Rs 80 crore and saved Rs 20 crore. Now will people who are willing to invest Rs 100 crore, ever think of the poor country."
- राज्य सभा सांसद बीरेंद्र सिंह ने एक कार्यक्रम में कहा था, "एक बार की बात है कि मुझे एक व्यक्ति ने बताया कि राज्य सभा की सीट 100 करोड़ रुपए में मिलती है. उसने बताया कि उसे खुद यह सीट 80 करोड़ रुपए में मिल गई, 20 करोड़ बच गए. मगर क्या वे लोग, जो 100 करोड़ खर्च करके यह सीट खरीदने के इच्छुक हैं, कभी इस गरीब देश के बारे में भी सोचेंगे?"
- একটি অনুষ্ঠানে তিনি বলেন, 'আমাকে একজন বলেছিলেন, ১০০ কোটি রুপি হলেই রাজ্য সভার একটি আসন পাওয়া যায়।
  - তবে ৮০ কোটি রুপি দিয়ে তিনি একটি আসন সংগ্রহ করে ২০ কোটি রুপি বাঁচিয়েছেন।

# Matching on parallel Corpus

电脑坏了。

The computer is broken.

电脑死机了。

My computer has frozen. 我想玩电脑。

I want to play on the computer. 我家没有电脑。

I don't have a computer at home.

我有一台电脑。

I have a computer.

**你有两台**电脑吗?

Do you have two computers?

# Parallel Corpus

电脑坏了。

The computer is broken. 电脑死机了。

My computer has frozen. 我想玩电脑。

I want to play on the computer. 我家没有电脑。

I don't have a computer at home. 我有一台电脑。

I have a computer.

你有两台电脑吗?

Do you have two computers?

电脑: diànnǎo, computer

[电: diàn lightning, electricity 脑: nǎo brain ]

# Parallel Corpus

电脑坏了。

The computer is broken. 电脑死机了。

My computer has frozen. 我想玩电脑。

I want to play on the computer. 我家没**有**电脑。

I don't have a computer at home. 我有一台电脑。

I have a computer.

你**有两**台电脑吗?

Do you have two computers?

有: "in possession of"

[又 ("hand") +  $\beta$  (肉) ("meat") = a hand holding meat ]

# LANGUAGE MODELING

Generalization and zeros

# The perils of overfitting

- N-grams only work well for word prediction if the test corpus looks like the training corpus
  - In real life, it often doesn't
  - We need to train robust models that generalize!
  - One kind of generalization: Zeros!
    - Things that don't ever occur in the training set

But occur in the test set



Training set:
 ... denied the allegations
 ... denied the reports
 ... denied the claims
 ... denied the request

```
P("offer" | denied the) =
```

Test set

 ... denied the offer
 ... denied the loan

### **Actual Probability Distribution:**



### **Actual Probability Distribution:**



# "Smoothing"

- Develop a model which decreases probability of seen events and allows the occurrence of previously unseen n-grams
- a.k.a. "Discounting methods"
- "Validation" Smoothing methods which utilize a second batch of test data.

### Smoothing



### Smoothing: +1



### Smoothing: +1



# Spelling correction w bigram language model

- □ "a stellar and versatile acress whose combination of sass and glamour..."
- Counts from the Corpus of Contemporary American English with add-1 smoothing

P(actress|versatile)=.000021
P(whose|actress) = .0010

72

P(across|versatile) =.000021
P(whose|across) = .000006

P("versatile actress whose") = .000021\*.0010 = 210 x10<sup>-10</sup>
P("versatile across whose") = .000021\*.000006 = 1 x10<sup>-10</sup>
## LANGUAGE MODELING

### Estimating N-gram Probabilities

## Probabilistic Language Modeling

- Goal: determine if a sentence or phrase has a high acceptability in the language
  - $\rightarrow$  compute the probability of the sequence of words
    - E.g. "its water is so transparent that"
  - P(its, water, is, so, transparent, that)

## Probabilistic Language Modeling

$$\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{W}) = \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{w}_1, \mathsf{w}_2, \mathsf{w}_3, \mathsf{w}_4, \mathsf{w}_5 \dots \mathsf{w}_n)$$

### Related task: probability of an upcoming word: P(w<sub>5</sub>|w<sub>1</sub>,w<sub>2</sub>,w<sub>3</sub>,w<sub>4</sub>)

## Reliability vs. Discrimination

- larger n: more information about the context of the specific instance (greater discrimination)
- smaller n: more instances in training data, better statistical estimates (more reliability)

### The Chain Rule

# Chain Rule in General $P(x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n) =$ $P(x_1)P(x_2|x_1)P(x_3|x_1, x_2)...P(x_n|x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$

□Proof:

- Holds for n=2 (Product rule)
- Assume is true for  $X = x_1 \dots x_{n-1}$ .

 $P(X, x_n) = P(X) P(x_n|X) \rightarrow General chain rule$ 

## Markov Assumption

Simplifying assumption:
Depends only on *k*-nearby text



Andrei Markov 1856-1922, Russia

- □ *First-order* Markov Process (k= 1):  $P(\text{the } | \text{its water is so transparent that}) \gg P(\text{the } | \text{that})$
- □ or *Second-order* (k=2):

 $P(\text{the} | \text{its water is so transparent that}) \gg P(\text{the} | \text{transparent that})$ 

### Estimating bigram probabilities

The Maximum Likelihood Estimate

$$P(w_i | w_{i-1}) = \frac{count(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{count(w_{i-1})}$$

$$P(w_{i} | w_{i-1}) = \frac{C(w_{i-1}, w_{i})}{C(w_{i-1})}$$

### N-gram Text Generation

### **Sentence Generation**

## Unigram Model: No dependencies on previous words

$$P(w_1 w_2 \dots w_n) \approx \prod_i P(w_i)$$

Bigram Model : Depends on 1 previous word

$$P(w_i | w_1 w_2 \dots w_{i-1}) \approx P(w_i | w_{i-1})$$

## The Corpus matters

### What corpus was used to generate these:

### Bigram

What means, sir. I confess she? then all sorts, he is trim, captain.

Why dost stand forth thy canopy, forsooth; he is this palpable hit the King Henry. Live king. Follow. What we, hath got so she that I rest and sent to scold and nature bankrupt, nor the first gentleman?

### Trigram

Sweet prince, Falstaff shall die. Harry of Monmouth's grave.

This shall forbid it should be branded, if renown made it empty.

Indeed the duke; and had a very good friend.

Fly, and will rid me these news of price. Therefore the sadness of parting, as they say, 'tis done.

### Quadrigram

King Henry.What! I will go seek the traitor Gloucester. Exeunt some of the watch. A great banquet serv'd in; Will you not tell me who I am?

It cannot be but so.

Indeed the short and the long. Marry, 'tis a noble Lepidus.

### The Corpus matters

### What corpus was used to generate these:

### Bigram

Last December through the way to preserve the Hudson corporation N. B. E. C. Taylor would seem to complete the major central planners one point five percent of U. S. E. has already old M. X. corporation of living on information such as more frequently fishing to keep her

### Trigram

They also point to ninety nine point six billion dollars from two hundred four oh six three percent of the rates of interest stores as Mexico and Brazil on market conditions

## N-gram frequency falls rapidly w

- Shakespeare Corpus: N=884,647 tokens, V=29,066
- Shakespeare produced 300,000 bigram types out of V<sup>2</sup>= 844 million possible bigrams.
  - So 99.96% of the possible bigrams were never seen (have zero entries in the table)
- Quadrigrams worse: Shakespeare had very specific patterns of usage

## Limitations of N-gram models

### Advantages:

- Does not require expensive annotated corpora
- Annotations are often disputed
- Efficacy of intermediate representations are doubtful
- We can extend to trigrams, 4-grams, 5-grams
  - Corpus size must grow exponentially larger

Main Disadvatage: Long-distance dependencies:

"The computer which I had just put into the machine room on the fifth floor crashed."

### **Practical Issues**

# We do everything in log space Avoid underflow (also adding is faster than multiplying)

$$\log(p_1 \ p_2 \ p_3 \ p_4) = \log p_1 + \log p_2 + \log p_3 + \log p_4$$

## Google N-Gram Release, August 2006



All Our N-gram are Belong to You

Posted by Alex Franz and Thorsten Brants, Google Machine Translation Team

Here at Google Research we have been using word n-gram models for a variety of R&D projects,

That's why we decided to share this enormous dataset with everyone. We processed 1,024,908,267,229 words of running text and are publishing the counts for all 1,176,470,663 five-word sequences that appear at least 40 times. There are 13,588,391 unique words, after discarding words that appear less than 200 times.

## Google N-Gram Release

- $\square$  serve as the incoming 92
- □ serve as the incubator 99
- $\square$  serve as the independent 794
- □ serve as the index 223
- $\square$  serve as the indication 72
- □ serve as the indicator 120
- □ serve as the indicators 45
- □ serve as the indispensable 111
- $\Box$  serve as the indispensible 40
- □ serve as the individual 234

http://googleresearch.blogspot.com/2006/08/all-our-n-gram-are-belong-to-you.html

## Google N-Gram Release

- $\square$  serve as the incoming 92
- □ serve as the incubator 99
- $\square$  serve as the independent 794
- □ serve as the index 223
- $\square$  serve as the indication 72
- □ serve as the indicator 120
- □ serve as the indicators 45
- □ serve as the indispensable 111
- $\Box$  serve as the indispensible 40
- □ serve as the individual 234

http://googleresearch.blogspot.com/2006/08/all-our-n-gram-are-belong-to-you.html

### **Computational Morphology**

## **Computational Analysis**

### [Goldsmith 01]

Information-Theoretic ideas - Minimum Description Length

Which "signature" (pattern) will results in the most compact description of the corpus?

		- Counts	;			
Signature Exa	em # (typ	pe) Token				
NULL.ed.ing	betray betrayed betraying	g 69	864			
NULL.ed.ing.s	remain remained	14	516			
remaining remains						
NULL.S.	COW COWS	253	3414			
e.ed.es.ing	notice noticed notices noticing	4 62				

## **Computational Analysis**

### [Dasgupta & V.Ng 07]

- Simple concatenation not enough for more agglutinated languages.
- Attempt to discover root word form. (*denial*  $\rightarrow$ *deny*)
- Assumption: if compound word is common, then root word will also : Word-Root Frequency Ratios (WRFR)

Corr	ect Parse	S	Incorrect Parses			
Word	Root	WRFR	Word	Root	WRFR	
bear-able	bear	0.01	candid-ate	candid	53.6	
attend-ance	attend	0.24	medic-al	medic	483.9	
arrest-ing	arrest	0.06	prim-ary	prim	327.4	
sub-group	group	0.0002	ac-cord	cord	24.0	
re-cycle	cycle	0.028	ad-diction	diction	52.7	
un-settle	settle	0.018	de-crease	crease	20.7	

## STATISTICAL NATURAL LANGUAGE PARSING

**POS-Tagging** 

## **POS Tagging Approaches**

- Rule-Based: Human crafted rules based on lexical and other linguistic knowledge (e.g. ENGTWOL 95)
- Stochastic: Trained on human annotated corpora like the Penn Treebank
  - Statistical models: Hidden Markov Model (HMM), Maximum Entropy Markov Model (MEMM), Conditional Random Field (CRF), log-linear models, support vector machines
  - **Rule learning**: Transformation Based Learning (TBL)
- Many English POS-taggers are publicly available
- □ Hindi / Bangla POS tagger:
  - http://nltr.org/snltr-software/

### **Deciding on a POS tagset**

NOUN	The DOG barked.	WE saw YOU.
VERB	The dog BARKED.	It IS impossible.
ADJECTIVE	He's very OLD.	I've got a NEW car.
DETERMINATIVE	THE dog barked.	I need SOME nails.
ADVERB	She spoke CLEARLY.	He's VERY old.
PREPOSITION	It's IN the car.	I gave it TO Sam.
COORDINATOR	I got up AND left.	It's cheap BUT
		strong.
SUBORDINATOR	It's odd THAT they	I wonder WHETHER
	were late.	it's still there.
INTERJECTOR	OH, HELLO, WOW, OUC	Н

f rom [huddleston-pullum 05] Student's intro to English Grammar

Coordinator / subordinator: markers for coordinate / subordinate clauses POS distinctions based on analysis of syntax and semantics

### Penn Tagset

Tag	Description	Example	Tag	Description	Example
CC	Coordin. Conjunction	and, but, or	SYM	Symbol	+,%, &
CD	Cardinal number	one, two, three	TO	"to"	to
DT	Determiner	a, the	UH	Interjection	ah, oops
EX	Existential 'there'	there	VB	Verb, base form	eat
FW	Foreign word	mea culpa	VBD	Verb, past tense	ate
IN	Preposition/sub-conj	of, in, by	VBG	Verb, gerund	eating
JJ	Adjective	yellow	VBN	Verb, past participle	eaten
JJR	Adj., comparative	bigger	VBP	Verb, non-3sg pres	eat
JJS	Adj., superlative	wildest	VBZ	Verb, 3sg pres	eats
LS	List item marker	1, 2, One	WDT	Wh-determiner	which, that
MD	Modal	can, should	WP	Wh-pronoun	what, who
NN	Noun, sing. or mass	llama	WP\$	Possessive wh-	whose
NNS	Noun, plural	llamas	WRB	Wh-adverb	how, where
NNP	Proper noun, singular	IBM	\$	Dollar sign	\$
NNPS	Proper noun, plural	Carolinas	#	Pound sign	#
PDT	Predeterminer	all, both	**	Left quote	(' or ")
POS	Possessive ending	's	"	Right quote	(' or '')
PP	Personal pronoun	I, you, he	(	Left parenthesis	$([, (, \{, <)$
PP\$	Possessive pronoun	your, one's	b –	Right parenthesis	(], ),
RB	Adverb	quickly, never	,	Comma	,
RBR	Adverb, comparative	faster		Sentence-final punc	(.!?)
RBS	Adverb, superlative	fastest	:	Mid-sentence punc	(: ; – -)
RP	Particle	up, off			
<b>T</b> .	0 (	1.5. 6.6	1	<i></i>	

Penn Treebank [Marcus etal

Figure 8.6 Penn Treebank Part-of-Speech Tags (Including Punctuation)

Figure: jurafsky-martin ch.8 (2000)



"I miss the good old days when all we had to worry about was nouns and verbs."

[palmer: grammar (1984)]

## Stochastic POS-tagging

Markovian assumption : tag depends on limited set of previous tags

□ HMM:

maximize P(word|tag) \* P(tag| previous n tags)

 Maximize the probability for whole sentence, not single word

$$S = \underset{t_1...t_n}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \prod_{i=1,n} P(w_i \mid t_i) P(t_i \mid t_{i-1})$$

## Stochastic POS-tagging

 Secretariat/NNP is/VBZ expected/VBN to/TO race/VB tomorrow/NN

 People/NNS continue/VBP to/TO inquire/VB the/DT reason/NN for/IN the/DT race/NN for/IN outer/JJ space/NN

□ to race vs. the race

- $\square$  P(VB|TO)P(race|VB) = .00001  $\square$  P(NN|TO)P(race|NN) = .000007
- $\square P(NN|TO) = .021$ P(race|NN) = .00041 $\square$  P(VB|TO) = .34 P(race|VB) = .00003
- $\square$  P(NN|TO) P(*race*|NN)
- $\square$  P(VB|TO) P(*race*|VB)
- to/TO race the/DT race
- Stochastic POS-tagging

## GROUNDED LANGUAGE MODELS

Unsupervised POS and Syntax: Grounded Models

### Language Acquisition : Domains

Perceptual input



[heider/simmel 1944] [hard/tversky 2003]

• Discovery Targets:

ullet

semantics: objects, 2-agent actions, relations

- lexicon : nominal, transitive verbs, preposition
- lexical categories: N VT P Adj
- constructions: PP VP S
- sense extension (metaphor) [nayak/mukerjee (AAAI-12)]

### Linguistic input

- input = description commentaries transcribed into text
  - 48 descriptions in English / 10 : Hindi
- Unconstrained description by different subjects:

•the little square hit the big square

- they're hitting each other
- •the big square hit the little square
- •circle and square in [unitelligible stammer]
- •the two squares stopped fighting

•छोटा बक्सा	बडा बक्सा मे		कुछ	बातचीत	होती है
little box	big box	between	some	talk	happens

### **POS categories - Unsupervised**



[mukerjee nayak 12] based on ADIOS [solan rupin edelman 05]

### Language Structures : Verbs

$$1. \left[ \begin{array}{c} the \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} big \\ large \\ the \rightarrow square \end{array} \right] \rightarrow square \\ the \rightarrow square \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{c} scares \\ approaches \\ chases \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ the \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{c} small \\ little \end{array} \right] \right]$$

$$2. \begin{bmatrix} ball \\ box \\ door \\ square \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} moved \\ moves \\ runs \end{bmatrix}$$

[mukerjee nayak 12]

### Hindi Acquisition: Word learning

[BS]		[ <b>S</b> S]		[C]		[IN]					
word(s)	$A_{ij}^{rel}$	$A^m_{ij}$	word(s)	$A_{ij}^{rel}$	$A_{ij}^m$	word(s)	$A_{ij}^{rel}$	$A_{ij}^m$	word(s)	$A_{ij}^{rel}$	$A^m_{ij}$
बक्सा	.77	.37	बक्सा	.62	.44	गौला	.83	.54	अन्दर	.80	1.30
baksA/box			baksA/box			golA/ball			andar/in		
बडा(badA/	.85	.18	छोटा(chota/	.90	.25	बक्से	.63	.27	बाहर (bA-	.78	.73
big) बक्सा			small) बक्सा			के(ke/-)			har/out)		

### **Incipient Syntax**