

Computational Multilinear Algebra

Charles F. Van Loan

Department of Computer Science

Cornell University

Outline

- Review the Kronecker Product (KP) Operation
- Explain Why the KP is Increasingly Important in Scientific Computing
- Illustrate Some Nicely Solved KP Problems
- Discuss KP Methods for Decomposing 3-dimensional Tensors (Arrays)

**Review
of the
Kronecker Product**

Definition

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} b_{11}c_{11} & b_{11}c_{12} & b_{11}c_{13} & b_{12}c_{11} & b_{12}c_{12} & b_{12}c_{13} \\ b_{11}c_{21} & b_{11}c_{22} & b_{11}c_{23} & b_{12}c_{21} & b_{12}c_{22} & b_{12}c_{23} \\ b_{11}c_{31} & b_{11}c_{32} & b_{11}c_{33} & b_{12}c_{31} & b_{12}c_{32} & b_{12}c_{33} \\ \hline b_{21}c_{11} & b_{21}c_{12} & b_{21}c_{13} & b_{22}c_{11} & b_{22}c_{12} & b_{22}c_{13} \\ b_{21}c_{21} & b_{21}c_{22} & b_{21}c_{23} & b_{22}c_{21} & b_{22}c_{22} & b_{22}c_{23} \\ b_{21}c_{31} & b_{21}c_{32} & b_{21}c_{33} & b_{22}c_{31} & b_{22}c_{32} & b_{22}c_{33} \end{array} \right]$$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} B \quad m_1\text{-by-}n_1 \\ C \quad m_2\text{-by-}n_2 \end{array} \right\}$ then $B \otimes C$ is a $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (m_1m_2)\text{-by-}(n_1n_2) \text{ matrix of scalars} \\ m_1\text{-by-}n_1 \text{ block matrix with } m_2\text{-by-}n_2 \text{ blocks} \end{array} \right.$

Properties

Quite predictable:

$$(B \otimes C)^T = B^T \otimes C^T$$

$$(B \otimes C)^{-1} = B^{-1} \otimes C^{-1}$$

$$(B \otimes C)(D \otimes F) = BD \otimes CF$$

$$B \otimes (C \otimes D) = (B \otimes C) \otimes D$$

Think twice:

$$B \otimes C \neq C \otimes B$$

$$B \otimes C = (\text{Perfect Shuffle})(C \otimes B)(\text{Perfect Shuffle})^T$$

The Perfect Shuffle $S_{p,q}$

$$S_{3,4} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ \hline 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ \hline 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ \hline 1 \\ 5 \\ 9 \\ \hline 2 \\ 6 \\ 10 \\ \hline 3 \\ 7 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 8 \\ 1 & 5 & 9 \\ 2 & 6 & 10 \\ 3 & 7 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{S_{3,4}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$$

Takes the length- pq “card deck” x , splits it into p piles of length- q each, and then takes one card from each pile in turn until the deck is reassembled.

Example: $(2 \times 2) \otimes (2 \times 3)$

$$B \otimes C = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} b_{11}c_{11} & b_{11}c_{12} & b_{11}c_{13} & b_{12}c_{11} & b_{12}c_{12} & b_{12}c_{13} \\ b_{11}c_{21} & b_{11}c_{22} & b_{11}c_{23} & b_{12}c_{21} & b_{12}c_{22} & b_{12}c_{23} \\ \hline b_{21}c_{11} & b_{21}c_{12} & b_{21}c_{13} & b_{22}c_{11} & b_{22}c_{12} & b_{22}c_{13} \\ b_{21}c_{21} & b_{21}c_{22} & b_{21}c_{23} & b_{22}c_{21} & b_{22}c_{22} & b_{22}c_{23} \end{array} \right]$$

Reorder rows [1 3 2 4] and reorder columns [1 4 2 5 3 6]:

$$S_{2,2}AS_{2,3}^T = = C \otimes B = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc} b_{11}c_{11} & b_{12}c_{11} & b_{11}c_{12} & b_{12}c_{12} & b_{11}c_{13} & b_{12}c_{13} \\ b_{21}c_{11} & b_{22}c_{11} & b_{21}c_{12} & b_{22}c_{12} & b_{21}c_{13} & b_{22}c_{13} \\ \hline b_{11}c_{21} & b_{12}c_{21} & b_{11}c_{22} & b_{12}c_{22} & b_{11}c_{23} & b_{12}c_{23} \\ b_{21}c_{21} & b_{22}c_{21} & b_{21}c_{22} & b_{22}c_{22} & b_{21}c_{23} & b_{22}c_{23} \end{array} \right]$$

Inheriting Structure

If B and C are { nonsingular
lower(upper) triangular
banded
symmetric
positive definite
stochastic
Toeplitz
permutations
orthogonal } then $B \otimes C$ is { nonsingular
lower(upper)triangular
block banded
symmetric
positive definite
stochastic
block Toeplitz
a permutation
orthogonal }

Factoring $B \otimes C$

If you have the { LU, Cholesky, QR} factorization of B and C ,
then you have the { LU, Cholesky, QR} factorization of $B \otimes C$...

$$B \otimes C = (P_B^T L_B U_B) \otimes (P_C^T L_C U_C) = (P_B \otimes P_C)^T (L_B \otimes L_C) (U_B \otimes U_C)$$

$$B \otimes C = (G_B G_B^T) \otimes (G_C G_C^T) = (G_B \otimes G_C) (G_B \otimes G_C)^T$$

$$B \otimes C = (Q_B R_B) \otimes (Q_C R_C) = (Q_B \otimes Q_C) (R_B \otimes R_C)$$

Factoring $B \otimes C$

If you have the { Eigenvalue, Singular Value} decomposition of B and C ,
then you *sort of* have the { Eigenvalue, Singular Value} decomposition of $B \otimes C$...

$$B \otimes C = (Q_B \Lambda_B Q_B^T) \otimes (Q_C \Lambda_C Q_C^T) = (Q_B \otimes Q_C)(\Lambda_B \otimes \Lambda_C)(Q_B \otimes Q_C)^T$$

$$B \otimes C = (U_B \Sigma_B V_B^T) \otimes (U_C \Sigma_C V_C^T) = (U_B \otimes U_C)(\Sigma_B \otimes \Sigma_C)(V_B \otimes V_C)^T$$

“Sort of”

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & 0 \\ 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \times & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \times & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & \times & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \times & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Unhappy Factoring of $B \otimes C$

If you have the CS decomposition of B and C ,
then you *do not* have the CS decomposition of $B \otimes C$.

The CS decomposition says that the blocks of an orthogonal matrix have related SVDs:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C & S \\ -S & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & 0 \\ 0 & V_2 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$C = \text{diag}(\cos(\theta_1), \dots, \cos(\theta_m)) \quad S = \text{diag}(\sin(\theta_1), \dots, \sin(\theta_m))$$

where U_1 , U_2 , V_1 , and V_2 are orthogonal.

The vec Operation

- Example...

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 10 \\ 2 & 20 \\ 3 & 30 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{vec}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 10 \\ 20 \\ 30 \end{bmatrix}$$

- In General...

$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \Rightarrow \text{vec}(X) = \begin{bmatrix} X(:, 1) \\ X(:, 2) \\ \vdots \\ X(:, n) \end{bmatrix}$$

- Big Fact...

$$Y = CXB^T \Rightarrow \text{vec}(Y) = (B \otimes C)\text{vec}(X)$$

Turning Matrix Equations into Vector Equations

Sylvester:

$$FX + XG^T = C \quad \equiv \quad (I_n \otimes F + G \otimes I_m) \text{vec}(X) = \text{vec}(C)$$

Generalized Sylvester:

$$FXH^T + KXG^T = C \quad \equiv \quad (H \otimes F + G \otimes K) \text{vec}(X) = \text{vec}(C)$$

Lyapunov:

$$FX + XF^T = C \quad \equiv \quad (I_n \otimes F + F \otimes I_n) \text{vec}(X) = \text{vec}(C)$$

“Fast” Factoring means “Fast” Solving

If $B, C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, then the m^2 -by- m^2 system

$$(B \otimes C)x = f \quad \equiv \quad CXB^T = F \quad x = \text{vec}(X), \quad f = \text{vec}(F)$$

can be solved in $O(m^3)$ flops:

$$CY = F$$

$$XB^T = Y$$

via factorizations of B and C .

More Dramatic

If $B_1, \dots, B_d \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, then the m^d -by- m^d system

$$(B_1 \otimes B_2 \otimes \dots \otimes B_d)x = f$$

can be solved in $O(m^{d+1})$ flops (instead of $O((m^d)^3)$ flops.)

**The Growing Importance
of the
Kronecker Product**

Tensoring Low Dimensional Ideas

Quadrature in one dimension:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b f(x) dx &\approx \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i) \\ &= w^T f(x)\end{aligned}$$

Quadrature in three dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \int_{a_2}^{b_2} \int_{a_3}^{b_3} f(x, y, z) dx dy dz &\approx \sum_{i=1}^{n_x} \sum_{j=1}^{n_y} \sum_{k=1}^{n_z} w_i^{(x)} w_j^{(y)} w_k^{(z)} f(x_i, y_j, z_k) \\ &= (w^{(x)} \otimes w^{(y)} \otimes w^{(z)})^T f(x \otimes y \otimes z)\end{aligned}$$

Notes on Tensoring Vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1 \\ x_1 y_2 \\ x_1 y_3 \\ x_2 y_1 \\ x_2 y_2 \\ x_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{vec} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \\ x_2 & & & \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{vec} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1 & x_1 y_2 & x_1 y_3 \\ x_2 y_1 & x_2 y_2 & x_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = y \otimes x$$

Generalizations of the Familiar

- Higher-order statistics. Instead of looking at the expected value of xx^T , look at the expected value of the *cumulant*

$$e = x \otimes x \otimes \cdots \otimes x.$$

Note that $\text{vec}(xx^T) = x \otimes x$.

- Multidimensional Arrays. Instead of looking for patterns in 2-dimensional arrays via (for example) the SVD, look for patterns in d-dimensional arrays using generalized notions of the SVD. (More later.)

Sparse Factorizations

Kronecker products are proving to be a very effective way to look at fast linear transforms such as the FFT:

$$y = F_n x = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^0 \\ \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^1 & \omega_8^2 & \omega_8^3 & \omega_8^4 & \omega_8^5 & \omega_8^6 & \omega_8^7 \\ \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^2 & \omega_8^4 & \omega_8^6 & \omega_8^8 & \omega_8^{10} & \omega_8^{12} & \omega_8^{14} \\ \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^3 & \omega_8^6 & \omega_8^9 & \omega_8^{12} & \omega_8^{15} & \omega_8^{18} & \omega_8^{21} \\ \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^4 & \omega_8^8 & \omega_8^{12} & \omega_8^{16} & \omega_8^{20} & \omega_8^{24} & \omega_8^{28} \\ \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^5 & \omega_8^{10} & \omega_8^{15} & \omega_8^{20} & \omega_8^{25} & \omega_8^{30} & \omega_8^{35} \\ \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^6 & \omega_8^{12} & \omega_8^{18} & \omega_8^{24} & \omega_8^{30} & \omega_8^{36} & \omega_8^{42} \\ \omega_8^0 & \omega_8^7 & \omega_8^{14} & \omega_8^{21} & \omega_8^{28} & \omega_8^{35} & \omega_8^{42} & \omega_8^{49} \end{bmatrix} x$$

$$\omega_8 = \cos(2\pi/8) + i \cdot \sin(2\pi/8).$$

Recursive Block Structure

$$F_8 S_{2,4} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_8^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_8^3 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\omega_8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\omega_8^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\omega_8^3 \end{array} \right] (I_2 \otimes F_4)$$

$F_{n/2}$ “shows up” when you permute the columns of F_n so that the odd-indexed columns come first.

A Sparse Factorization of the DFT Matrix

$$n = 2^t$$

$$F_n = A_t \cdots A_1 P_n$$

$$P_n = S_{2,n/2}(I_2 \otimes S_{2,n/4}) \cdots (I_{n/4} \otimes S_{2,2})$$

$$A_q = I_r \otimes \begin{bmatrix} I_{L/2} & \Omega_{L/2} \\ I_{L/2} & -\Omega_{L/2} \end{bmatrix} \quad L = 2^q, \quad r = n/L$$

$$\Omega_{L/2} = \text{diag}(1, \omega_L, \dots, \omega_L^{L/2-1}) \quad \omega_L = \exp(-2\pi i/L)$$

Different FFTs/Different Factorizations of F_n

The Cooley-Tukey FFT is based on $y = F_n x = A_t \cdots A_1 P_n x$

```
 $x \leftarrow P_n x$   
for  $k = 1:t$   
     $x \leftarrow A_q x$   
end  
 $y \leftarrow x$ 
```

The Gentleman-Sande FFT is based on $y = F_n x = F_n^T x = P_n^T A_1^T \cdots A_t^T x$

```
for  $k = t: -1:1$   
     $x \leftarrow A_q^T x$   
end  
 $y \leftarrow P_n^T x$ 
```


Matrix Transpose

$B = A^T$, corresponds to $\text{vec}(B) = S_{n,m} \cdot \text{vec}(A)$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{12} \\ a_{13} \\ a_{21} \\ a_{22} \\ a_{23} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \\ a_{12} \\ a_{22} \\ a_{13} \\ a_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Multiple Pass Transpose

To compute $B = A^T$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ factor $S_{n,m} = \Gamma_t \cdots \Gamma_1$ and then execute

$$a = \text{vec}(A)$$

for $k = 1:t$

$$a \leftarrow \Gamma_k a$$

end

Define $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ by $\text{vec}(B) = a$.

Different transpose algorithms correspond to different factorizations of $S_{n,m}$.

An Example

If $m = pn$, then $S_{n,m} = \Gamma_2 \Gamma_1 = (I_p \otimes S_{n,n})(S_{n,p} \otimes I_n)$

The first pass $b^{(1)} = \Gamma_1 \text{vec}(A)$ corresponds to a block transposition:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ A_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad B^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The second pass $b^{(2)} = \Gamma_2 b^{(1)}$ carries out the transposition of the blocks.

$$B^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad B^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^T & A_2^T & A_3^T & A_4^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $B^{(2)} = A^T$.

Semidefinite Programming

Some sample problems...

$$(X \otimes X + A^T D A) u = f.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^T & I \\ A & 0 & 0 \\ Z \otimes I & 0 & X \otimes I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \\ \Delta z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_d \\ r_p \\ r_c \end{bmatrix}.$$

See Alizadeh, Haeberly, and Overton (1998).

Symmetric Kronecker Products

For symmetric $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and arbitrary $B, C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ this operation is defined by

$$(B \otimes C)_{\text{svec}}(X) = \text{svec} \left(\frac{1}{2} (CXB^T + BXC^T) \right)$$

where the “svec” operation is a normalized stacking of X ’s subdiagonal columns, e.g.,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{svec}(X) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \sqrt{2}x_{21} & \sqrt{2}x_{31} & x_{22} & \sqrt{2}x_{32} & x_{33} \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

svec stacks the subdiagonal portion of X ’s columns.

**Some Nicely
Solved
Kronecker Product Problems**

The Nearest Kronecker Product Problem

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m = m_1 m_2$ and $n = n_1 n_2$.

Find $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times n_1}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2 \times n_2}$ so

$$\phi(B, C) = \|A - B \otimes C\|_F = \min$$

A bilinear least squares problem. But we can do better...

The NKP is a Nearest Rank-1 problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(B, C) &= \left\| \begin{array}{cc|cc} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ \hline a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \\ \hline a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} \\ a_{61} & a_{62} & a_{63} & a_{64} \end{array} \right\|_F - \left\| \begin{array}{cc} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} \end{array} \right\|_F \otimes \left\| \begin{array}{cc} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{array} \right\|_F \\
 &= \left\| \begin{array}{cccc} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{12} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{41} & a_{32} & a_{42} \\ a_{51} & a_{61} & a_{52} & a_{62} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{14} & a_{24} \\ a_{33} & a_{43} & a_{34} & a_{44} \\ a_{53} & a_{63} & a_{54} & a_{64} \end{array} \right\|_F - \left\| \begin{array}{cccc} b_{11} & & & \\ b_{21} & & & \\ b_{31} & c_{11} & c_{21} & c_{12} & c_{22} \\ b_{12} & & & \\ b_{22} & & & \\ b_{32} & & & \end{array} \right\|_F
 \end{aligned}$$

Solution Procedure

$$\phi(B, C) = \left\| \tilde{A} - \text{vec}(B)\text{vec}(C)^T \right\|_F$$

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{vec}(A_{11})^T \\ \text{vec}(A_{21})^T \\ \text{vec}(A_{31})^T \\ \text{vec}(A_{12})^T \\ \text{vec}(A_{22})^T \\ \text{vec}(A_{32})^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

An SVD solution...

$$U^T \tilde{A} V = \Sigma$$

$$\text{vec}(B_{opt}) = \sqrt{\sigma_1} U(:, 1) \quad \text{vec}(C_{opt}) = \sqrt{\sigma_1} V(:, 1).$$

The Kronecker Product SVD

Ordinary SVD:

$$U^T A V = \Sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad A = \sum_{k=1}^{r=\text{rank}(A)} \sigma_k u_k v_k^T$$

where u_k is the k -th column of U and v_k is the k -th column of V .

KP SVD:

$$U^T \tilde{A} V = \Sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\text{rank}(\tilde{A})} \sigma_k U_k \otimes V_k$$

where $\text{vec}(U_k)$ is the k -th column of U and $\text{vec}(V_k)$ is the k -th column of V .

Some Modified Least Squares Problems

How do we solve

$$(1) \quad \min \| W((B \otimes C)x - d) \| \quad (\text{weighted least squares})$$

$$(2) \quad U^T [B \otimes C \mid d] V = \Sigma \quad (\text{total least squares})$$

given that these problems

$$(1') \quad \min \| (B \otimes C)x - d \|$$

$$(2') \quad U^T (B \otimes C) V = \Sigma$$

are *easy*

Weighted Least Squares Problems

$$\min \| W^{-1/2}((B \otimes C)x - b) \|_2 \quad \equiv \quad \begin{bmatrix} W & B \otimes C \\ B^T \otimes C^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Compute the QR factorizations

$$B = Q_B \begin{bmatrix} R_B \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad C = Q_C \begin{bmatrix} R_C \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The augmented system transforms to

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} & R_B \otimes R_C \\ E_{21} & E_{22} & 0 \\ R_B^T \otimes R_C^T & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{r}_1 \\ \tilde{r}_2 \\ x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b}_1 \\ \tilde{b}_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a simple permutation of $(Q_B \otimes Q_C)^T W (Q_B \otimes Q_C)$. Solve the E_{22} system via conjugate gradients exploiting structure.

Total Least Squares

$$\text{LS:} \quad \min_{b+r \in \text{ran}(A)} \|r\|_2^2 \quad Ax_{\text{LS}} = b + r_{\text{opt}}$$

$$\text{TLS:} \quad \min_{b+r \in \text{ran}(A+E)} \|E\|_F^2 + \|r\|_2^2 \quad (A + E_{\text{opt}})x_{\text{TLS}} = b + r_{\text{opt}}$$

“Errors in Variables”

Total Least Squares Solution

To solve

$$\min_{b+r \in \text{ran}(A+E)} \|E\|_F^2 + \|r\|_2^2 \quad (A + E_{\text{opt}})x_{\text{TLS}} = b + r_{\text{opt}}$$

compute the SVD of $[A \mid b] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n+1}$:

$$U^T [A \mid b] V = \Sigma$$

and set

$$x_{\text{TLS}} = -V(1:n, n+1)/V(n+1, n+1)$$

TLS When A is a Kronecker Product

We need the last column of V in $U^T F V = \Sigma$ where

$$F = \left[B \otimes C \mid b \right]$$

First compute the SVDs of B and C :

$$U_B^T B V_B = \Sigma_B \quad U_C^T C V_C = \Sigma_C$$

If

$$\tilde{U} = U_B \otimes U_C \quad \tilde{V} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} V_B \otimes V_C & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

then

$$\tilde{U}^T F \tilde{V} = \left[\Sigma_B \otimes \Sigma_C \mid g \right] \equiv \tilde{F} \quad \text{where } g = \tilde{U}^T b$$

We need the smallest right singular vector of \tilde{F} .

Frequency Response

Suppose we wish to evaluate the following function for many different values of μ :

$$\phi(\mu) = c^T (A - \mu I)^{-1} d$$

It pays to triangularize A via the real Schur decomposition:

$$Q^T A Q = T = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\phi(\mu) = c^T Q (T - \mu I)^{-1} Q^T d \quad (O(n^2) \text{ per evaluation})$$

Solving $(F \otimes G - \lambda I) x = b.$

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_{11}G - \lambda I_m & f_{12}G & f_{13}G & f_{14}G \\ 0 & f_{22}G - \lambda I_m & f_{23}G & f_{24}G \\ 0 & 0 & f_{33}G - \lambda I_m & f_{34}G \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f_{44}G - \lambda I_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solve the triangular system

$$(f_{44}G - \lambda I_m) y_4 = c_4$$

for y_4 . Substituting this into the above system reduces it to

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_{11}G - \lambda I_m & f_{12}G & f_{13}G \\ 0 & f_{22}G - \lambda I_m & f_{23}G \\ 0 & 0 & f_{33}G - \lambda I_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{c}_1 \\ \tilde{c}_2 \\ \tilde{c}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\tilde{c}_i = c_i - f_{i4}Gy_4$, $i = 1:3$.

**Orthogonal Decompositions
of
3-Dimensional Arrays**

Think Matrix \longleftrightarrow Think Vector

Suppose $A = UBV^T$ where A and B are m -by- n and

$$U = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m] \quad V = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n].$$

Then we can write A as a linear combination of rank-1 matrices

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} (u_i v_j^T)$$

and $\text{vec}(A)$ as a linear combination of rank-1 tensors

$$\text{vec}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} (v_j \otimes u_i)$$

The SVD in Tensor Notation

If A is m -by- n and has SVD

$$A = U\Sigma V^T$$

with $r = \text{rank}(A)$, then

$$\text{vec}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} (v_j \otimes u_i) = \sum_{k=1}^r \sigma_{kk} (v_k \otimes u_k)$$

The SVD represents an mn -by-1 vector as a minimal combination of rank-1 tensors that are mutually orthogonal.

Representing $A = A(1:m, 1:n, 1:p)$

Turn A into a vector

$$\text{vec}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{vec}(A(:, :, 1)) \\ \vdots \\ \text{vec}(A(:, :, p)) \end{bmatrix}$$

and represent it as a sum of rank-1 tensors

$$\text{vec}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^p \sigma_{ijk} (w_k \otimes v_j \otimes u_i)$$

where each of the following is orthogonal:

$$U = [u_1, \dots, u_m] \quad V = [v_1, \dots, v_n] \quad W = [w_1, \dots, w_p]$$

Concentrating Mass

- It can be shown that

$$\text{vec}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^p \sigma_{ijk} (w_k \otimes v_j \otimes u_i) = (W \otimes V \otimes U)\sigma$$

where $\sigma = \text{vec}(\Sigma)$, $\Sigma = \Sigma(1:m, 1:n, 1:p)$.

- This representation is “good” if most of σ 's mass is concentrated in as few components as possible.
- But you can forget this...

$$\text{vec}(A) = \sum_{k=1}^r \sigma_{kkk} (w_k \otimes v_k \otimes u_k)$$

The Kronecker Product SVD (KPSVD)

If

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1q} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{p1} & A_{p2} & \cdots & A_{pq} \end{bmatrix} \quad A_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times t}$$

then

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i B_i \otimes C_i \quad r = \min\{pq, st\}$$

where $B_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$, $C_i \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times t}$, and $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r \geq 0$.

Moreover, $\text{vec}(B_1), \dots, \text{vec}(B_r)$ are mutually orthogonal and so are $\text{vec}(C_1), \dots, \text{vec}(C_r)$.

Algorithm ($m = n = p$ for clarity)

- Set up

$$\tilde{A} = [A_1, \dots, A_n] \quad A_i = A(:, :, i) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

and note that $\text{vec}(\tilde{A}) = \text{vec}(A)$.

- Compute the KPSVD

$$\tilde{A} = \sum_{k=1}^n \tau_k (w_k^T \otimes B_k)$$

where $W = [w_1, \dots, w_n]$ is orthogonal and B_1, \dots, B_n are each n -by- n . it follows that

$$\text{vec}(A) = \text{vec}(\tilde{A}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \tau_k (w_k \otimes \text{vec}(B_k))$$

- Compute the SVD

$$[B_1, \dots, B_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i u_i \begin{bmatrix} g_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ g_{ni} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

where $U = [u_1, \dots, u_n]$ and

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & \cdots & g_{1n} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & \cdots & g_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{n1} & g_{n2} & \cdots & g_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \quad g_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

have orthogonal columns.

- $B_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i (u_i g_{ki}^T) \Rightarrow \text{vec}(B_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i (g_{ki} \otimes u_i)$
- $\text{vec}(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n \tau_k (w_k \otimes \text{vec}(B_k)) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_k \mu_i (w_k \otimes g_{ki} \otimes u_i)$

- Set $\tilde{G} = [g_{11}, g_{21}, g_{31}, g_{12}, g_{22}, g_{32}, g_{13}, g_{23}, g_{33}]$ and compute its QR factorization

$$\tilde{G} = VR \quad V = [v_1, \dots, v_n] \text{ (orthogonal)}$$

- Since $g_{ki} = \sum_{j=1}^n r_{j,k+(i-1)n} v_j$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vec}(A) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n (\tau_k \cdot \mu_i) (w_k \otimes g_{ki} \otimes u_i) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n (\tau_k \cdot \mu_i \cdot r_{j,k+(i-1)n}) (w_k \otimes v_j \otimes u_i) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{ijk} (w_k \otimes v_j \otimes u_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_{ijk} = \tau_k \cdot \mu_i \cdot r_{j,k+(i-1)n}$$

De Lathauwer, De Moor, Vandewalle (2000)

Compute the SVDs:

$$\begin{aligned} [A(1, :, :), \dots, A(n, :, :)] &= V D_1 G_1^T \\ \square [A(:, 1, :)^T, \dots, A(:, n, :)^T] &= W D_2 G_2^T \\ [A(:, :, 1), \dots, A(:, :, n)] &= U D_3 G_3^T \end{aligned}$$

Set $\Sigma = \text{reshape}(D_3^T(W \otimes V))$ and then

$$\text{vec}(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{ijk} (w_k \otimes v_j \otimes u_i)$$

Research Goals

- To heighten the profile of the Kronecker product and develop an “infrastructure” of methods thereby making it easier for the numerical linear algebra community to spot Kronecker “opportunities”.
- To understand better the concept of “rank” as it applies to multidimensional arrays and to develop effective algorithms for its calculation.