# **Romanagari Detection in Twitter**

Hrishikesh Terdalkar Shubhangi Agarwal

# **PROBLEM DEFINITION**

Romanagari is Devanagari-script-based-language written in Roman script. Given random collection of roman-script tweets, we want to find out tweets that are English-Hindi codemixed (or pure Hindi), tag the individual words as well as entire tweet with language prediction.

#### **Challenges**:

- 1. Twitter small-ish max. 140 character text, huge inflections.
- 2. Lack of clean or good annotated datasets for training and testing.

# **DATA COLLECTION**

#### **Used Datasets**

- ▶ *Rovereto Twitter n-gram Corpus*: is an n-gram dataset. 42 million n-grams.<sup>[1]</sup>
- ▶ *NLTK tweet\_samples*: English tweets collection, part of NLTK Corpora containing 20,000 tweets.
- IITB Hindi Devanagari Corpus: Devanagari script Hindi corpus containing around 1200 files.<sup>[2]</sup> It has roughly 220,000 lines (2.85 million words). We converted this to Roman script to use for training.

#### **Collected Datasets**

- *Hindi-English Tweets Corpus:* (Code-mixed) Using Twitter's REST API. 38,264 tweets of rich code-mixed quality. skip-gram on 94 most-frequent Hindi words, 4,371 pairs, obtained 335,672 tweets from this.
- Social Media: gchat, WhatsApp, Facebook: (Code-mixed) handpicked codemixed text from social media such as Google-talk, WhatsApp, Facebook. Overall 297 lines of Hindi and 390 lines of Marathi were collected.

# Preprocessing

#### Tools:

various bash, awk, sed, grep, tr, python, js scripts, SRILM Tools, NLTK Tools **Cleaning and Statistics** 

Rovereto (RTC) corpus contains lot of noise. We only took n-grams that *do not* contain *any* special characters, and added up demographic information to obtain frequency of n-grams for n = 1, 2, ..., 6. This reduced total size of corpus from 250 GB to 1.2 GB.

Tweets Cleaning For tweets, removed duplicates, retweets and tweets containing URLs, accents. Also lower-cased the entire corpus. Replaced mentions by word

#### "HANDLE".

Resulted in final corpus 59,287 Hindi and 3187 English tweets, tagged with start-end markers <s> and </s>.

#### Social Media (Handpicked)

passed it through basic\_cleaning.

tagger script to tag 297 Hindi and 300 Marathi lines.

eg: <s> <hi>bhaisaab itna mazaa kafi</hi> <en>time</en> <hi>baad aya</hi> <en>a lot of catching up</en> <hi>bhi ho gayi</hi> </s>

IITB Hindi (Devanagari) For this large Devanagri corpus we ran devToRom.js using node.js and coverted it to Roman text (3 char-look-ahead character-level).

# DATA STATISTICS

| N-grams in Training Set |            |            | Tagged Data     |             |  |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|--|
|                         | English    | Hindi      | Language (type) | Count       |  |
| 1-grams                 | 1,168,077  | 120,546    | English (lines) | 3187 tweets |  |
| 2-grams                 | 10,644,439 | 998,300    | Hindi (lines)   | 3000 tweets |  |
| 3-grams                 | 17,353,446 | 2,027,733  | Hindi (words)   | 297 lines   |  |
| 4-grams                 | 14,007,551 | 65,186,143 | Marathi (words) | 390 lines   |  |

# **SOUNDEX**

**Soundex** is a phonetic algorithm for indexing names by sound, as pronounced in English<sup>[3]</sup> Evaluate Soundex's output, using FIRE 2013 data<sup>[4]</sup> Over 30,000 transliteration pairs of (Roman Variation, Devanagari Word) 18,000 unique Hindi words, 6,500 words with 2 or more variations Variations handled by Soundex: 53.6% (all), 57% (top 1000), 62.3% (top 100)

# SIMPLE NGRAM - MODEL

| <i>q</i> is query, with     | Sound                        | lex applied |  |  |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| $q = w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$ | $w_n$                        |             |  |  |
|                             | Mode                         | Model v1    |  |  |
| $w\_score(w, L)$            | max <sub>n</sub>             | (ngram ar   |  |  |
|                             |                              | C           |  |  |
| $q_score(q, L)$             | $\sum_{z \in \mathcal{L}} z$ | [w_score(a  |  |  |
| , (j, )                     | $-w \in q$                   | -           |  |  |

# SRILM PROBABILISTIC NGRAM-MODEL

Calculates backoff weights context found with its backoff weight.

Perplexity = Confusion Less perplexity = more Confidence.

#### RESULTS

| Tweets Tagged using Various Models |         |       |         |                 |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
|                                    | English |       | Hind    | Hindi (codemix) |  |  |  |  |
|                                    | Correct | Wrong | Correct | Wrong           |  |  |  |  |
| Simple v1                          | 3165    | 22    | 352     | 2648            |  |  |  |  |
| Simple v2                          | 3176    | 11    | 97      | 2903            |  |  |  |  |
| SRILM (ppl=20k)                    | 2052    | 1135  | 1777    | 1223            |  |  |  |  |
| SRILM (ppl=25k)                    | 1920    | 1267  | 1936    | 1064            |  |  |  |  |
| Wordratio(40,20)                   | 2076    | 1111  | 2687    | 313             |  |  |  |  |
| Wordratio(50,10)                   | 890     | 2297  | 2921    | 79              |  |  |  |  |

# **CS671:** Natural Language Processing

Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur

Model v2  $\sum_{n} \sum_{i} n$ round  $w \in q$   $\in L$ *j* is an ((ngram around w)  $\in$  *q*)  $\in$  *L*  $[w,L]^2$  $\sum_{w \in q} [w\_score(w,L)]$ 

- Learning probabilities of words in vocab based on n-gram probabilities (*previous-context*)
- Evaluation of the TestData gives the conditional probability of each word in the best ngram
  - p(B15200|A35200...) = [1gram]0[-1.0867]
  - 3 zeroprobs, logprob= 81.4182 ppl= 33360.6 ppl1= 61561.1

# WORD-RATIO(M, N) MODEL

Based on word-tags output by SRILM Model

- $hi_{frea} = hi_{count}/total_words$
- $en_{frea} = en_{count}/total_words$
- **if**  $(hi_{freq} + en_{freq}) < M$  then mark as Other
- else if  $(hi_{freq} > N)$  then mark as Hindi
- else mark as English

Tested for (40, 20) and (50, 10)

# **CONCLUSION AND FUTURE**

- wordratio based sentence tagging works well with n-gram-probabilistic word tagging
- dependent on nature and statistics of data.
- ▶ soundex effective (resolves 53% variations on average, 62.3% for top 100)

#### Future

- soundex alternatives
- multiple datasets with different conditioned statistics
- "goodness measure" on models

### References

- [1] A. Herdagdelen. Rovereto twitter n-gram corpus. [Online]. Available: http://clic.cimec.unitn.it/amac/twitter\_ngram/
- [2] Iitb hindi corpus. [Online]. Available: http://www.cfilt.iitb.ac.in/Downloads.html
- [3] Soundex indexing system. [Online]. Available: www.archives.gov/research/census/soundex.html
- [4] Datasets of fire 2013. [Online]. Available: http://cse.iitkgp.ac.in/resgrp/cnerg/qa/fire13translit/
- [5] U. Barman, A. Das, J. Wagner, and J. Foster, "Code mixing: A challenge for language identification in the language of social media," EMNLP 2014, p. 13, 2014.
- [6] A. Das and B. Gambäck, "Identifying languages at the word level in code-mixed indian social media text," in Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Natural Language *Processing, Goa, India,* 2014, pp. 169–178.
- [7] S. Gella, K. Bali, and M. Choudhury, "'ye word kis lang ka hai bhai?' testing the limits of word level language identification."
- [8] A. Stolcke et al., "Srilm-an extensible language modeling toolkit." in INTERSPEECH, 2002.
- [9] Latin-to-roman transliteration. [Online]. Available: http://www.hindidevanagari.com/transliteration/
- [10] Twitter package nltk. [Online]. Available: http://www.nltk.org/howto/twitter.html

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are grateful to Prof. Amitabha Mukerjee and our senior M S Ram for valuable insights. We would like to also thank friends and family for help in data collection and tagging.



{ hrishirt, sagarwal } @ cse.iitk